Exhibit 1

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE GENERAL CAUSATION TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFFS' EXPERTS

Case No.: 4:22-md-03047-YGR MDL No. 3047

In Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation

	Page 1
1 2 3	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4	COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS SPECIAL JUDICIAL COUNCIL TITLE [RULE 3.400] COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS NO.
5	5255 SOCIAL MEDIA CASES
6 7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: For Filing Purposes:
8 9	Cristina Arlington Smith, et al., 22STCV21355 v. TikTok, Inc., et al., Los Angeles Superior Court
10 11 12	/
13	VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF ANNA LEMBKE, MD Wednesday, June 18, 2025 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
14	1 Market Street, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94147
15 16 17	
18	Stenographically reported by: LORRIE L. MARCHANT, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CRC
19	California CSR No. 10523 Washington CSR No. 3318
20	Oregon CSR No. 19-0458 Texas CSR No. 11318
22	Job No.: MDLG 7396506 California Firm Registration No.: 48
23 24	GOLKOW - VERITEXT
25	877.370.DEPS fax 917.591.5672 deps@golkow.com

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES		Page 4
2	1 APPEARANCES 2	
3 Attorneys for the JCCP Plaintiffs:		
4 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN BY: KELLY K. McNABB, ESQ.	Unidentified participants via Zoom:	
5 LIVIA JARAMILLO, ESQ.	3 (Participants did not e-mail reporter their	
250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor	appearance information)	
6 New York, NY 10013 (212) 355-9500	4	
7 kmcnabb@lchb.com	Isaac LaGrand	
BY: DONALD C. ARBITBLIT, ESQ.	5 Clinton Richardson	
8 MATIAS BUSTAMANTE, ESQ.	Patrick Andrews	
LEXI J. HAZAM, ESQ. (via Zoom) 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	6 Rob Chambers	
9 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111		
0 (415) 956-1000	Lindsay Stevens (Baron & Budd)	
darbitblit@lchb.com	7 Patrick Nutter	
1 mbustamante@lchb.com lhazam@lchb.com	Yale Fu	
2	8 Marcela Interiano	
Attorneys for the Defendants TikTok Inc., and	Callie Davidson (WSGR)	
3 ByteDance, Inc.:	9 Jennifer Emmel	
4 KING & SPALDING LLP	10	
5 BY: KATHRYN LEHMAN, ESQ.	11oOo	
1180 Peachtree Street		
6 Atlanta, GA 30306	12	
(404) 572-2716 7 klehman@kslaw.com	13	
Klehman@ksiaw.com	14	
Attorneys for Defendant Snap, Inc.:	15	
9	16	
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON, LLP 0 BY: JONATHAN H. BLAVIN, ESQ.	17	
0 BY: JONATHAN H. BLAVIN, ESQ. 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor	18	
San Francisco, CA 94105	19	
(415) 512-4011		
jonathan.blavin@mto.com	20	
BY: PRISCILA E. CORONADO, ESQ. (via Zoom) 350 S. Grand Ave, Floor 50	21	
Los Angeles, CA 90071	22	
4 (213) 683-9100	23	
priscila.coronado@mto.com	24	
5 (Continued)	25	
Page 3		Page 5
•	1 INDEX	Page.
1 APPEARANCES	2 INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2 2 Attaches for Defendants Meta Platforms Lea 6/1/2	3 EXAMINATION BY PAGE	
3 Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a		
	4 MR. ERCOLE 7	
Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC; Facebook Payments,	4 MR. ERCOLE 7 MS. BARNHART 331	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.:		
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: 5 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	MS. BARNHART 331	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ.	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8OO 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 lbarnhart@cov.com	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8OO 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC:	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8OO 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ.	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 B lbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and 10 Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8OO 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Blbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Blbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 Miami, FL 33131-3075 Miami, FC-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ.	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Blbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE0000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Blbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian-ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Fittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096)	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present:	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 B lbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian-ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167 21 article entitled "From Planning	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167 21 article entitled "From Planning to Publication: Developing	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 110 110 110 111 111 111 112 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 130 13	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 B lbarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke 16 Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke 19 Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167 21 article entitled "From Planning to Publication: Developing	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8oOo 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167 21 article entitled "From Planning to Publication: Developing DSM-5-TR" Lembke	
4 Inc.; Facebook Operations, LLC; and Siculus, Inc.: COVINGTON & BURLING LLP BY: LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, Building 5 Suite 1000 Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 632-4700 Ibarnhart@cov.com Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: BRIAN ERCOLE, ESQ. 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075 (305) 415-3416 brian.ercole@morganlewis.com BY: KATHERINE A. VAKY, ESQ. One Oxford Centre, 32nd Floor Pittsburg, PA 15219 (412) 560-7474 katherine.vaky@morganlewis.com Also present: James VonWiegen, Videographer Dan Lawlor, Trial Tech (Continued)	MS. BARNHART 331 5 MR. BLAVIN 358 MS. LEHMAN 381 6 MS. McNABB 411 MR. ERCOLE 412 7 8000 9 INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 11 Lembke Exhibit 1 Defendants' Joint Notice of 20 12 Deposition of Plaintiff's Retained Expert Anna Lembke and 13 Request for Production of Documents 14 Lembke Exhibit 2 Invoice, date 2/1/2023 32 15 (LEMBKE000001 - LEMBKE000008) Lembke Exhibit 3 Expert Report, Anna Lembke, MD, 34 dated 4/18/2025 17 Lembke Exhibit 4 Curriculum Vitae of Anna Lembke, 55 18 MD (LEMBKE000009 - LEMBKE000096) Lembke Exhibit 5 New York Times article 73 20 Lembke Exhibit 6 American Psychiatric Association 167 21 article entitled "From Planning to Publication: Developing DSM-5-TR" Lembke 23 Exhibit 7 Declaration of Anna Lembke, MD 171	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

	Page 6		Page 8
1 2	I N D E X INDEX OF EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	1	Do you remember that?
3	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	2	A. Yes.
4	Lembke Exhibit 8 American Psychological 263	3	Q. We'll get into some specifics a little bit
5	Association article entitled	4	later, but is it fair to say that you've been
6	"Limiting Social Media Use Decreases Depression, Anxiety,	5	deposed as a expert witness before?
7	and Fear of Missing Out in Youth with Emotional Distress: A	6	A. Yes.
′	Randomized Controlled Trial"	7	Q. Okay. And how many depositions as an
8	Lembke Exhibit 9 ResearchGate article entitled 266	8	expert have you sat for in the past?
9	"Social media reduction or	9	A. I don't remember.
10	abstinence interventions are providing mental health benefits	10	Q. More than 50?
	- reanalysis of a published	11	A. No.
11	meta-analysis" Lembke	12	Q. More than more than 25?
12	Exhibit 10 Article entitled "Understanding 332	13	A. No.
13	Perceptions of Problematic Facebook Use"	14	Q. More than 20?
14	(META3047MDL-020-00093973 -	15	A. No.
14	META3047MDL-020-00093985) Lembke	16	Q. More than ten?
15	Exhibit 11 Document entitled "Young 374 consumers and social media,"	17	A. I think I have it here in one of my
16	dated February 2025		appendices of my report.
17	Lembke Exhibit 12 Document entitled "TikTok 399	19	Well, I can't tell from here whether this
	History 101 - US"		prior testimony was in deposition or in court, but
18	(TIKTOK3047MDL-056-00965196 - TIKTOK3047MDL-056-00965332)		÷ •
19			here there are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,
20 21	000 QUESTIONS THE WITNESS WAS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER:		12, 13 prior testimonies listed. Some of those are
22	Page Line		depositions; some of them are not.
23 24	40 24 oOo	24	So it's probably less than ten.
25		25	Q. Okay. And even though you've been through,
	Page 7		Page 9
1	WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 2025	1	you know, maybe maybe ten, maybe less than ten
2	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	2	depositions, I just want to talk a about a couple
3	8:44 a.m. PDT	3	of the ground rules for today if that's okay.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on the record.	4	A. Sure.
5	My name is James VonWiegen.	5	Q. You understand that you have to tell the
6	The time is 8:44 a.m., June 18th, 2025.	6	truth here today?
7	The deponent is Anna Lembke in the	7	A. I do.
8	deposition of social media.	8	Q. And if I ask a question and you don't
9	The court reporter is Lorrie Marchant and	9	understand it, will you ask me to repeat it or
10	will now swear in the witness.	10	rephrase it?
11	THE STENOGRAPHER: My name is	11	A. I will.
12	Lorrie Marchant. I'm a California Certified	12	Q. And if you answer a question, I'm going to
	Shorthand Reporter. My CSR license number is 10523.	13	assume that you heard and understood it; is that
14	I will go ahead and swear in the witness		fair?
	now.	15	A. That's fair.
16	ANNA LEMBKE,	16	
17	FIRST DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS		
18	EXAMINATION BY MR. ERCOLE		anything that would prevent you from giving
19			truthful, accurate, and honest testimony here today?
20	Q. Good morning, Dr. Lembke. How are you	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		21	Q. If you do need a break during the course of
	doing?	22	
22	A. I'm doing well.	23	
23	Q. Good.		
24	•		question that's pending; is that fair?
25	bit earlier.	25	A. I will do that. I'd like to say that I'd

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Page 10 1 like to minimize the breaks and take a short lunch, A. TikTok, Snapchat, Meta, YouTube. 2 Q. How do you define, Dr. Lembke, social 2 if needed, because I'd like to get done as quickly 3 media? 3 as possible. A. Social media is an online interactive Q. Sure. That's fair. And we'll do our best 5 to accommodate you. We may -- probably will need to 5 platform that allows people to exchange messages, 6 take some breaks just because there's a lot of 6 videos, photos, or other forms of digital media in a 7 realtime interactive way and/or respond to what 7 people involved and the court reporter is sort of 8 other people have posted. 8 nodding her head right now. Other than you, she's 9 the second most important person here today. Q. Anything else in your definition? 10 A. That may not be a complete definition. I Before we start, it looks like you have 11 would probably need a more specific example. You 11 some binders in front of you; is that right? 12 could ask me, "Do you think this is social media?" 12 A. Yes. Q. And how many binders do you have in front 13 And I could say yea or nay. 13 Q. Do you consider the defendants in this case 14 of you? 15 to be social media platforms? A. Three. 15 16 A. Yes, I do. 16 Q. Okay. And what are they? A. I have my report from April 18th, 2025. 17 Q. Do those social media platforms have 17 18 That's the JCCP report. 18 different features? A. What do you mean by "different features"? 19 I have my report from May 16th, 2025. 19 Q. Well, you -- there are, I think you 20 That's the federal report. 20 21 mentioned, four or five different platforms that are 21 And I have a document of things like 22 at issue in this case; correct? 22 materials considered. 23 Q. And so -- and the document with the A. Yes. 24 24 materials considered is in -- is in a third binder; Q. And do those platforms have different 25 features? 25 is that correct? Page 11 A. Yes. A. Those platforms are more alike than 1 Q. Okay. And at a break, when we take a 2 different. 3 break, are you comfortable if we copy those and mark 3 Q. Well, that wasn't my question; right? 4 those as exhibits for this deposition? 4 Do they have different features; yes or no? A. Sure. 5 MS. McNABB: Objection. Q. But at least, just so I understand, what 6 THE WITNESS: Those platforms are more 7 are in those binders are your JCCP expert report 7 alike than different. They do have differences, but 8 that you submitted; is that correct? 8 they're more alike than different. A. One binder is my JCCP report. One binder 9 BY MR. ERCOLE: 10 is my federal report. And one binder is the list of 10 Q. Are there social media platforms -- strike 11 materials considered. 11 that. 12 Q. Thank you. 12 Are there other platforms that fall within We may use some jargon today, but I want to 13 your definition of social media other than the 13 14 defendants who have been sued here today? 14 make sure we're kind of on the same page about the 15 definitions of some of those terms and concepts. 15 A. Yes. Today I'm going to use sort of psychiatric 16 Q. And can you -- what platforms are those? 17 disorders and mental health disorders to mean the 17 Can you list them for me or name them for me? A. Reddit, X, various e-mail platforms. 18 same thing. 19 Is that okay with you? 19 There's a long list. 20 A. I'm comfortable with that. Q. When you say "various e-mail platforms," 20 Q. Do you know who the defendants are in this 21 what do you mean by that? 22 case? And by "this case," I mean the JCCP 22 A. People exchanging e-mails is a form of 23 litigation. 23 social media.

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

What's distinct about the defendants'

25 platforms is that they are addictive by design.

Page 12

Page 13

24

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Who are they?

24

25

Page 14 Page 16 1 They have certain distinctive features that make 1 the definition that you're using, but I might not be 2 able to answer your question --2 them addictive. BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. We'll get into those -- those issues a 4 little bit later. I'm just trying to understand 4 Q. Okay. 5 your concept of social media. 5 A. -- if you phrase it in that way. Are there -- what other -- you mentioned Q. Okay. You gave a definition of social 7 there's a long list of platforms that would fall 7 media; correct? 8 within your definition of social media. A. I attempted to give a definition of social Any others come to mind right now? 9 media, but I qualified it by saying that it wasn't 10 MS. McNABB: Sorry. Objection. Misstates. 10 an exhaustive definition and that I really would THE WITNESS: I feel like I answered the 11 be -- need to be presented with the specific 11 12 platform and look at the features to be able to say 12 question. I don't have memorized the exhaustive 13 whether or not I thought it was a form of social 13 list of different types of social media platforms 14 that are out there. 14 media. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: I also think that there's a distinction 15 Q. Any others besides the ones that you 16 between addictive social media and social media 17 identified that come to mind right now? 17 platforms that don't have the same design features 18 that make them addictive. 18 A. No. 19 Q. If I use the term "social media platforms" 19 O. Sure. 20 today, I'm going to be referring to all of the 20 We're not even talking about any of this. 21 I'm just trying to get a baseline understanding of 21 social media platforms, not just the defendants' 22 platforms that are at issue in this case. 22 the concepts we're going to use today; okay? 23 Is that okay with you? 23 I'm just going to let you know, when I'm 24 24 asking you a question about social media platforms, A. Not really. 25 MS. McNABB: Object. 25 I'm going to be referring to any platforms that Page 15 Page 17 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 would fall within the definition of social media 2 Q. That's not okay with you? 2 that you gave; okay? 3 A. No, that's not okay with me. 3 MS. McNABB: Objection. BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 Q. Okay. 4 MS. McNABB: And just for the record, I 5 Q. Do you understand that? A. I understand that you're saying that. But 6 would object to doing so. It may depend on the 7 I'm also telling you that if you ask me a question 7 question. MR. ERCOLE: Okay. Well, you can object 8 and just use the generic term "social media," I will 8 9 all you want. 9 likely not be able to answer that question. Q. Okay. Did you use the generic term "social 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 10 Q. If I ask you about defendants' -- I will be 11 media" in your report? A. I didn't use a generic term of social 12 specific about the defendants' platforms if I'm 13 asking you questions about defendants' platforms. 13 media, no. I was talking about the defendants' 14 Do you understand that? 14 platforms. 15 A. I do. 15 Q. Okay. How does your definition of social Q. Okay. And if I'm asking you questions 16 media compare to the definition of digital media? 17 about social media platforms, I will be referring to Is there a difference between the two? 17 18 social media in general as you defined it. 18 A. Yes. Q. What's the difference? 19 Do you understand that? 19 20 A. To me that's problematic. 20 A. Digital media is the broad umbrella Q. Okay. Can be problematic, but that's going 21 category, and social media is a subtype of digital 21 22 to be the definition I'm using in my question. 22 media. 23 Do you understand that? 23 Q. And when you say "subtype of digital MS. McNABB: Objection. 24 media" -- well, when you say "broad umbrella 24 25 THE WITNESS: I understand that that may be 25 category," what do you mean by that?

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Page 18 Page 20 1 A. It's the superset. 1 are spending in the context of addictive or 2 Q. What would fall within the definition of 2 problematic use. 3 digital media but not social media? But you're correct, it's not a formal A. Online pornography, online gambling, online 4 study, so if you want to use the term "anecdotal," 5 shopping, video games. 5 that's okay. Q. How does -- is social media use different 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 than smartphone use? 7 Q. Are you aware of any studies that have A. Yes. 8 looked at how much time minors spend on social 9 Q. Okay. And what's the difference? 9 media? 10 A. Smartphone use refers to the device. And 10 A. Yes. 11 social media refers to a digital media platform that 11 Q. And what studies are those? 12 can be accessed from the device. A. Most recently I looked at a Pew report of 12 13 O. And is social media use different from 13 what teenagers are doing online, what social media 14 Internet use? 14 and what media in general they're using most A. Social media use is something that people 15 frequently. 16 can do on the Internet, but it's not the only thing Q. We'll get into -- I'll probably ask you 17 that people can do on the Internet. Like, given 17 some questions later about your practice and some of 18 that people spend -- especially teens spend so much 18 the folks you treat, so we'll put a placeholder 19 time on social media, I can infer that when they're 19 there. 20 using the Internet, they're on social media for a 20 Let's mark this as Exhibit 1. 21 good portion of that time. 21 (Marked for identification purposes, Q. Just to get back to my question, Internet 22 Lembke Exhibit 1.) 23 use is a broader concept than social media use; 23 (Discussion off the stenographic record.) 24 24 correct? BY MR. ERCOLE: 25 A. Yes. 25 Q. Dr. Lembke, have you seen this document Page 19 Page 21 Q. Okay. Have you done -- have you conducted 1 before? A. I'm not recalling it if I have. 2 your own study to understand how much time teens Q. Do you see that it's a notice of your 3 spend on social media? 4 deposition, which is taking place today? A. I've not conducted my own formal study. 5 Do you see that on the first page? 5 But I see -- in clinical care, I've done informal A. Yes, I see that. 6 assessments of how much time people are spending on Q. Okay. And if you turn to page 3 of this 7 social media. Q. And when you say "clinical care," what are 8 notice, there's a category entitled "Documents 9 Requested." 9 you referring to? 10 A. My psychiatric practice. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. So the patients you see? 12 Q. Have you seen these document requests 12 A. Yes. Q. Okay. So anecdotally based upon treating 13 before? 14 patients you see, you have a sense of how much time 14 A. I don't understand your question. 15 Q. Have you seen this list of documents 15 teens spend on the Internet; is that correct? 16 A. No. I wouldn't say that that -- that's my 16 requested before? A. A copy of my curriculum vitae, 17 answer to that question. 17 18 publications, et cetera? Q. Okay. So anecdotally based on the 19 treatment of patients in your practice, you have an 19 Q. Now, I'm asking you -- well, have you ever 20 understanding of how much time teens spend on social 20 seen this document before? A. If it's in my materials considered or you 21 media; is that correct? 21 22 know for a fact that I have been given this, then I 22. MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates.

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

23 will take you at your word. I don't remember this

Q. Okay. Do you -- were you -- were you asked

24 specific document.

THE WITNESS: Given my clinical experience

24 working with people of all ages who get addicted to

25 social media, I have a sense of how much time people

Page 22 Page 24 1 to collect the documents that have been requested 1 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 2 here? 2 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me if I MS. McNABB: Objection. 3 possess notes and records from patients that I've Just to the extent it's getting into 4 treated with social media addiction? 4 5 attorney-expert privilege, you don't have to ask --BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 answer the question. 6 Q. Yes. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I won't answer 7 A. I have individual HIPAA-protected notes and 8 it. 8 records, yes. 9 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. And you haven't collected those and 10 Q. Okay. Have you collected the documents 10 provided those to your counsel; correct? 11 requested here? 11 A. Of course not. 12 A. Yes, I believe so. 12 Q. You also -- Request No. 6 refers to a 13 O. And that includes the documents that run 13 current patient intake form or questionnaire for the 14 from Nos. 1 through 8 on the following page? 14 last three years. Do you see that? A. So Nos. 5 and 6, I did not reference any 15 16 patients in my report, so that was not relevant. 16 A. I do see that. And I -- we don't use a patient -- I 17 Q. And I think you said you don't have a --18 actually don't know what you mean by "patient intake 18 you don't use a patient intake form or 19 form or questionnaire." I'm not sure what that's 19 questionnaire; is that right? 20 referring to. 20 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. Q. So other than Categories 5 and 6, have you 21 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say that. I 22 collected the other documents responsive to these 22 began to say that, and then I realized that I don't 23 requests? 23 know what -- what you mean here by "patient intake 24 form or questionnaire." That can have many 24 A. I believe so, yes. 25 Q. And did you provide those to your counsel? 25 different meanings. Page 23 Page 25 1 A. Yes. 1 So you really have to be more specific --Q. And with respect to Request No. 5, do you BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 3 have -- so it says (as read): Q. Okay. "Any and all documents related to any 4 4 A. -- for me to be able to answer that 5 opinions based on clinical experience, 5 question. 6 including notes and records from patients Q. So if a patient comes in to be treated by 7 referencing your April 18th, 2025, expert 7 you, is there an intake form or questionnaire that 8 report." 8 that patient has to fill out? 9 Do you see that? 9 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 10 A. I do see that, yes. 10 THE WITNESS: There is a multilayered Q. And do you have notes and records from 11 process to be seen in our clinic. It begins with a 11 12 patients that you treated for what you refer to as 12 call to an intake coordinator who does an initial 13 "social media addiction"? 13 screening about their mental health condition and 14 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 14 what kind of help they're looking for and then uses 15 THE WITNESS: I don't reference any 15 that to triage them to the correct clinic as well as 16 individual patients in my report, so to me that is 16 exploring their insurance and how they're going to 17 not relevant. 17 pay for that. 18 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Recently, the Department of Psychiatry at 19 Q. Okay. Do you recall my question, 19 Stanford University has implemented a PHQ-9, patient 20 Dr. Lembke? 20 survey questionnaire, given to all new patients to 21 A. I think I do, yeah. 21 assess baseline characteristics regarding mood and 22 Q. Okay. Do you have -- I'll repeat it. 22 suicidal ideation. 23 Do you have notes and records from patients 23 And then my clinic, which I -- in which I

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

24 see patients but also which I oversee other

25 physicians seeing patients, some of those physicians

25 "social media addiction"?

24 that you have treated for what you refer to as

Page 26 Page 28 1 will use various survey instruments, scales, as they 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 prefer for their particular mode of practice. It's 2 Q. And in this -- in this litigation for the 3 quite common to use survey scales to assess 3 JCP [sic], you are not giving opinions about any 4 particular individuals; is that correct? 4 different patient symptomatology. I personally don't use survey scales, and MS. McNABB: Objection. 6 I -- and I say that in my report. 6 THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about any 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 individual patients. I'm talking about general Q. So for the -- for the patients that come to 8 causation. 9 see you, you do not use survey scales; is that But my opinion is informed by my experience 10 correct? 10 of seeing many individual patients over many years. BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 A. I'm not one to use -- typically to use 11 12 survey scales. But the questions that I ask are 12 O. Exactly. 13 very similar and in some cases identical to the --13 And you reference that clinical experience 14 to the questions on, for example, the Bergen social 14 in your report; correct? 15 media survey or the social media disorder survey. A. Yes, I do. 15 Q. So for any patients that come to you and 16 Q. And we'll show you some of those pages 17 later. 17 that -- sorry. Any patients that you treat specifically, 18 18 But even though you -- and even though 19 there is no written documentation responding to a 19 you're relying upon your clinical experience and 20 social media scale or a questionnaire; is that fair? 20 what you've gathered from the patients you've 21 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 21 treated, you haven't produced any documents 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat 22 reflecting any of the records or notes of those 23 the question? 23 patients; right? BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 24 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 25 25 THE WITNESS: That's because I'm relying on Q. Sure. Page 27 Page 29 1 my clinical experience in aggregate. 1 For patients who you treat, there's no 2 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 written response or to -- strike that. Q. Other than the three binders of documents For patients that you treat, there's no 4 that we talked about, did you bring any other 4 written response or documentation where they filled 5 documents with you here today? 5 out some type of social media addiction scale; is

A. No.

7 Q. You're providing testimony here today in

8 connection with your JCCP expert report; right?

A. That is correct.

Q. Are you aware of any of the names of the 10

11 JCC bellwether plaintiffs?

A. Are you asking me if I'm aware of any of

13 the individuals who are plaintiffs in this case?

O. Yes. 14

15 A. No, I am not.

16 Q. So do you -- have you ever spoken with any

17 individuals who are plaintiffs in this case?

MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 18

19 THE WITNESS: I have not spoken to any

20 individual plaintiffs in this case.

21 BY MR. ERCOLE:

Q. Have you ever evaluated any of the 22

23 individual plaintiffs in this case?

24 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope.

25 THE WITNESS: I have not evaluated any

6 that correct?

7 MS. McNABB: Same objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Your question is compound.

9 I'm not quite sure how to answer it yes or no. But

10 I will say that I do not use a social media

11 addiction scale in my practice.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

12

Q. Okay. And as a result, there's no

14 documents for -- there would be no documents for you

15 to collect in response to this particular request

16 with respect to social media addiction scales filled

17 out by your patients; right?

18 MS. McNABB: Same objection.

19 THE WITNESS: We have lots of

20 documentation. They're HIPAA protected. We don't

21 practice in the absence of documentation.

So there's lots of documentation about

23 social media addiction in a given individual

24 patient, which is part of their private healthcare

25 file.

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

Page 30 Page 32 1 individual patients in this case. 1 give -- be any more precise there? 2 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 A. Probably not. 3 Q. Have you ever reviewed any of their medical 3 MR. ERCOLE: Can we mark this as Exhibit 2? 4 (Marked for identification purposes, 4 records? 5 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 5 Lembke Exhibit 2.) 6 THE WITNESS: I have not reviewed their 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 medical records. 7 Q. Dr. Lembke, have you seen these documents 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 before? 9 Q. Are you planning to give trial testimony in 9 A. I created these documents. So, yes. 10 this case? 10 Q. All right. What are they? A. They're my invoices. MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 11 11 Q. And are they invoices for the 12 Speculation. 12 13 JCCP -- strike that. THE WITNESS: I'm giving trial testimony on 14 general causation, not on any one individual case. Are these invoices for the JCCP case or for 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 the MDL case or both? 15 Q. Right. 16 A. It's hard for me to say for sure. I'm not 17 But you understand that if you're in trial, 17 recalling whether I was initially retained for the 18 MDL or the JCC. But my reports in both cases are 18 it's in connection with an individual case; right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 19 quite similar, so probably the best answer is to say 19 20 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that 20 for both. 21 there are individual plaintiffs in this case. 21 Q. And, sorry, I should have been more precise BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 too. 22 23 Q. And you plan to give trial testimony in 23 But when we're talking about MDL, I'm -- I 24 will be referring to the federal MDL case. 24 this case; right? 25 A. Yes, I do. 25 Is that okay with you? Page 31 Page 33 Q. And you plan to give trial testimony in A. Yes. 1 2 this case even though you -- sitting here today, you Q. Okay. And so that we're on the same page 3 can't identify the name of a single plaintiff? 3 with respect to that definition; right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 4 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: My role in this case does not 5 Q. Okay. And so if you look on -- and are 6 these all of the invoices that you have created and 6 require me to evaluate a single individual 7 plaintiff. 7 submitted to date? 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Yes, I believe so. 9 9 Q. And it looks like from this document the Q. Or know their names; right? 10 first recorded entry is from February 8, 2023; is 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 11 that correct? On the first page? 11 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Dr. Lembke, when were you first contacted 12 A. Yes. That's correct. 13 about serving as an expert in the social media 13 O. Okav. A. Yeah. So clearly I was wrong about it 14 litigation at large, whether this case or the MDL 14 15 case? 15 being the fall. It was February. 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. Q. Would you have been contacted before you THE WITNESS: Fall of 2023, I believe. 17 first started reviewing records in this case? 17 A. I don't remember the exact sequence of BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 19 Q. Who contacted you? 19 events. Typically, I would get a call asking A. The lawyers of Lieff Cabraser Heimann 20 whether or not I was interested and then records 20 21 & Bernstein, Lexi Hazam and Don Arbitblit. 21 would follow. (Stenographer interrupted for clarification Q. Do you know when you first learned that 22 23 of the record.) 23 there were individuals planning to sue social media 24 companies over addiction issues? 24 BY MR. ERCOLE: 25 Q. And when you say "fall of 2023," can you MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

Page 34 Page 36 1 THE WITNESS: I believe my first awareness 1 A. No. 2 was when Lieff Cabraser reached out to me and asked 2 Q. What was the difference between the two 3 me if I would be an expert witness. So 3 reports? 4 February 2023 or thereabouts, but I'm not sure. A. I added a brief description of one study. 5 I added a quote from one TikTok employee. And I BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 6 Q. We may come back to this document, but we 6 made a slight reordering of the paragraphs in 7 can put it aside for -- for the moment. 7 Opinion 5 for better flow. Q. Any changes beyond the ones that you just You submitted a expert report on 8 9 April 18th, 2025, in the JCCP cases; correct? 9 identified? A. Correct. 10 A. No, I don't believe so. 10 Q. So if you look to -- on page 2 of your JCCP 11 MR. ERCOLE: Let's mark this as Exhibit 3. 11 12 report -- and for today we're probably -- since it's 12 (Marked for identification purposes, 13 the JCCP case, I'm going to focus on your JCCP 13 Lembke Exhibit 3.) 14 report. So probably ask a lot of questions about 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Dr. Lembke, is this a copy of the report 15 what's in the -- what's Exhibit 3 and -- what my 15 16 that you submitted in the JCCP case? If you mind 16 understanding would be, in the binder that you're 17 just taking a quick look. 17 looking at; okay? A. Yes. That's okay. A. Yes. 18 18 19 19 Q. So if you turn to page 2, there's a caption Q. I'm not trying to trick you on anything 20 here. That's my understanding, this is what you 20 that says "Opinions." 21 were -- that was served on your behalf there. 21 Do you see that? A. Yes. And is this the only report that you've 22 23 submitted in the JCCP cases? 23 Q. And it says (as read): 24 24 A. Correct. "For the reasons set forth in detail 25 25 in this report, I hold the following Q. You have not submitted a rebuttal report in Page 37 Page 35 1 the JCCP cases; is that correct? 1 opinions." A. Correct. 2 Is that right? 3 Q. You also submitted an expert report in the A. Yes. 4 MDL litigation on May 16th; is that right? 4 Q. And does this section encapsulate the A. Correct. 5 opinions that you're giving in this litigation? 5 Q. And what is your -- did anything in the MDL 7 report contradict or change any of the opinions in 7 Q. You have not offered any other opinions 8 your JCCP report? 8 beyond the five that are listed there; correct? 9 A. No. A. I mean, the report is interspersed with Q. Did anything in your MDL report cite to or 10 opinions, but this is the summary of those opinions. 11 discuss any of the defense expert reports that were 11 Q. Fair enough. 12 issued in the JCCP? 12 All the interspersed language sort of fall 13 A. Yes. 13 within the five bucketed opinions that are laid out 14 Q. What did your MDL report --14 in the summary; correct? 15 A. I'm sorry. Can you ask that question A. I think that's fair. But I am here with 16 again? 16 the entirety of my report. I wouldn't want just 17 these five opinions to be all that there is to 17 Q. Yeah, sure. No problem. A. I think I misunderstood it. 18 represent my opinion. 18 19 Q. Did anything in your MDL report contra- ... 19 Q. Fair enough. 20 Did anything in your MDL report cite to or 20 Anything -- you're not giving any other 21 discuss any of the defense expert reports that were 21 opinions than what's encapsulated in Exhibit 3 and 22 submitted in the JCCP? 22 your JCCP report; right? A. Not specifically. 23 A. That's correct. Q. Did you make any new opinions in your MDL 24 MS. McNABB: Object. I -- I'll just lay my 25 report that were not in your JCCP report? 25 objection to that.

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

Page 38 Page 40 1 is part of any draft report or attorney-expert 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 I could add to that that I have read some 2 communication, you don't need to answer that. 3 of defendants' experts' reports, and I do have MR. ERCOLE: You're instructing her not to 4 answer whether she's -- whether she's written any 4 opinions in response to their reports. I did not 5 write or submit a rebuttal report, but I do have 5 rebuttal report? 6 opinions with regards to their opinions. 6 MS. McNABB: To the extent it's part of a 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 draft report or attorney-expert privilege. MR. ERCOLE: Okay. Q. Those -- the opinions you're referencing 8 9 right now with respect to defendants' experts, those MS. McNABB: So she can answer "yes" or 10 are not contained in the -- what's Exhibit 3; 10 "no," but to go into if it was part of anything that 11 could potentially be for the MDL. I'm going to 11 correct? 12 instruct her not to answer that as protected under 12 I'll rephrase that question. 13 A. Yeah. 13 Rule 26. Q. Those -- the opinions that you're 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 referencing right now with respect to defendants' 15 Q. Okay. Is there anything -- well, I'm going 16 experts are not contained in your JCCP expert 16 to ask the question, and then you can figure out 17 report, which is Exhibit 3; right? 17 whether you want to answer it or not. A. I wouldn't quite phrase it like that. My Do you have -- have you written any -- have 18 19 rebuttal to their opinions is expressed in myriad 19 you done any -- strike that. 20 ways in the report that I've already issued in this Have you written any analysis of the 21 case. But I do have other responses and 21 defense expert reports that you've -- you just 22 clarifications, if -- if asked, in -- you know, with 22 referenced? 23 regards to their reports and also their rebuttal of 23 A. I've not written a rebuttal report. 24 24 my reports. Q. Have you written anything -- any -- strike 25 Q. There's -- in your -- and I'm -- your JCCP 25 that. Page 39 Page 41 1 report is Exhibit 3. Have you written any type of analysis with So in Exhibit 3, there's no section that 2 respect to those defense expert reports that you 3 just identified? 3 addresses defendants' experts; right? A. That is correct. 4 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 5 Q. Okay. Which defendant expert reports did 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's -- I 6 you look at? 6 don't have to answer that because of confidential 7 privilege discussions with my lawyers. A. I looked at Tucker, Kishida, Auerbach, and 8 one other starting with an M that I'm not recalling 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 right now. 9 Q. All right. Well, we may disagree on that. 10 So I just want to know, are you -- you're 10 I -- let me -- let me take a look at my 11 not going to answer that question? 11 materials considered. A. I'm not going to answer that question. 12 Okay. So Douglas Tucker, Randy Auerbach, 12 13 Adriana Galván, Kenneth Kishida. Q. Okay. All right. So we'll get into, I Q. And what -- you were looking at a binder, a 14 guess, some of the -- some of your analysis of the 15 defense experts a little bit later. 15 document in a binder that you brought in with you 16 today? 16 For the JCCP case, are you aware of whether A. Yes. 17 any -- strike that. 17 Are you aware of how much any bellwether 18 Q. And has that document in that binder been 18 19 produced in this case? 19 plaintiff in the JCCP used social media? 20 20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. A. Yes, it has. Q. Okay. Have you written any type of THE WITNESS: Again, I have not evaluated 21 21 22 rebuttal analysis to those experts you just 22 any individual plaintiffs. I'm looking at general 23 identified? 23 causation. MS. McNABB: Objection. 24 BY MR. ERCOLE: 24

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

Q. Okay. So with respect to any individual

Just for the record, to the extent that it

25

Page 42 Page 44 1 plaintiffs, you can't -- there's nothing that you THE WITNESS: I can give you information 2 could tell me about them; is that correct? 2 based on my knowledge of the phenomenology of these A. I could tell you a lot if you wanted to 3 various mental illnesses. But I can't speak to 4 provide me with the information, but that was not 4 their individual cases because I was not asked to do 5 what I was asked to do. 5 that. 6 Q. Right. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: But sitting here today, because I am the 7 7 Q. You don't know whether, for instance, any 8 one that gets to ask you the questions, you can't 8 of the JCCP plaintiffs had difficult home life 9 give me any information about any bellwether 9 experiences, for instance? 10 plaintiff in the JCCP; is that right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 11 THE WITNESS: I have general knowledge 11 12 THE WITNESS: I can give you information 12 that -- that some of them had difficult home life 13 about them based on my knowledge of social media 13 experiences. I have some general knowledge of the 14 use, social media addiction, but I have not looked 14 types of mental health disorders and adverse mental 15 at any documents related to any individual 15 health consequences they suffered as a result of 16 plaintiffs. I have not looked at their personal 16 their use of social media. 17 histories or diagnoses or medical documentation. I 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 have not evaluated those documents. 18 Q. Can you tell me -- can you tell me how much 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 any JCCP plaintiff was using any particular social Q. You don't know what was going on in their 20 20 media platform? 21 lives --21 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope and asked 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 22 and answered. 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- not at that level 24 Q. -- whatsoever; right? 24 of detail, no. 25 A. I disagree with that. I don't know on an 25 /// Page 43 Page 45 1 individual level, but mental illness is based on BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 2 phenomenology, patterns of behavior that repeat 2 Q. Did you write the entirety of your JCCP 3 themselves over time. And I can --3 report? (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 4 A. Yes. 4 5 of the record.) 5 Q. Did you have support in preparing your THE WITNESS: Behavior that repeat 6 7 themselves over time. A. What do you mean by "support"? Q. Did anyone help you in preparing your And I can speak to the phenomenology of 8 9 these various mental illnesses in question, 9 report? 10 including social media addiction. 10 MS. McNABB: Brian, I will object to the BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 extent that you are asking for attorney-expert 12 Q. Okay. But at least sitting here today, 12 privilege or draft report information, which is 13 right, because this is my chance to get to depose 13 protected under Rule 26 that was adopted in the 14 you in advance of trial in the JCCP, you -- you 14 JCCP. 15 can't -- can you -- can you give me any information 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 about what was going on in the lives of any of the 16 Q. My question just asked if you -- it's a 17 particular JCCP plaintiffs? 17 "yes/no" question. MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 18 18 Did you have support in preparing your 19 report? 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. I can give you a lot 20 MS. McNABB: So, Anna, you can -- you can 20 of information about that. 21 answer "yes" or "no," but don't go into detail. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Okay. So why don't we -- why don't you 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. 23 identify one of the JCCP plaintiffs, and then tell 23 Can you say the question again? 24 me what was going on in his or her life. 24 BY MR. ERCOLE: 25 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 25 Q. Yeah.

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

1 Did you have support in preparing your JCCP 2 report?

- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And from whom did you have support?
- A. From the team of lawyers that I've been
- 6 working with, from their reference librarians. When
- 7 I asked for documents or articles that I couldn't
- 8 find on my own through Lane Library or other
- 9 Stanford resources.
- 10 Q. Have you ever heard the name Fred Gilbert
- 11 before, Dr. Lembke?
- 12 A. I'm not good with names, but it doesn't
- 13 ring a bell.
- Q. So Exhibit B to your report is a list of
- 15 the materials that -- I just want to make sure I get
- 16 this right -- that you considered; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And if you go to -- let's make sure I get
- 19 there.
- 20 So Exhibit -- it says B -- I'm sorry.
- 21 The Exhibit B to your report, I guess B1,
- 22 references "Materials Considered."
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 A. Exhibit B, Materials Considered.
- 25 Q. Yeah.

Page 47

- 1 A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Okay. And it starts with, like, 195 listed
- 3 materials; is that right?
- A. Yes.
- 5 O. And this list includes scientific and
- 6 academic studies; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- O. And how did you choose what went on when -
- 9 excuse me. How did -- strike that.
- 10 How did you choose what went into this
- 11 list?
- A. Well, first of all, what's in this list
- 13 includes material that I have been gathering and
- 14 researching predating my involvement in social media 14 well as tolerance and withdrawal.
- 15 litigation.
- 16 And I have a systematic approach for
- 17 reviewing literature. I use keywords to find
- 18 articles.
- 19 I then screen titles and abstracts to
- 20 choose the most relevant articles.
- I then read the articles that seem most
- 22 relevant to what I'm trying to figure out, including
- 23 relevant articles that ultimately agreed with my
- 24 opinion, as well as articles that ultimately didn't
- 25 support my opinion.

Page 48 Page 46 Q. And did you choose the studies listed here

- 2 because, in your view, they capture the strengths
- 3 and limitations of the -- of the -- of the data?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were there any scholarly publications or
- 6 studies that you reviewed in creating your report
- 7 but that you omitted from your Materials Considered
- 8 list?
- 9 A. I tried to include everything that I
- 10 considered.
- Q. Sitting here today, there's nothing that 11
- 12 you can identify to me that you omitted from
- 13 inclusion in this list; right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. What percentage of the -- of the 195 listed 15
- 16 materials were provided to you by counsel?
- 17 A. I don't know the exact percentage. I would
- 18 say it's a small percentage. And this is literature
- 19 that I've been reviewing for a long time.
- Q. The next section of Exhibit B, then, talks
- 21 about -- once you get through the 195 articles,
- 22 there's a title that says, I think it's B15,
- 23 "Deposition Testimony and Exhibits."
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 49

- Q. How did you select which depositions from
 - 2 the litigation that you would review?
 - A. I asked for depositions that had any
 - 4 content related to social media addiction,
 - 5 problematic social media use, or any other synonym 6 of those.
 - I asked for depositions that specifically
 - 8 referenced the sort of addictive design elements
 - 9 related to access, quantity, potency, novelty, and
 - 10 certainty.

7

- 11 I asked for documents that related to what
- 12 I call in my report the four Cs of addiction:
- 13 control, compulsions, cravings, and consequences, as
- 15 And when I read something that left me with
- 16 more questions, I -- I followed up asking for more
- 17 relevant documents or more specific documents
- 18 related to those documents.
- 19 Q. And there are approximately 40 or 41
- 20 depositions that are listed on this list.
- Did you -- were you given the full 21
- 22 transcript for every deposition?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Did you read every page of that -- of those
- 25 transcripts?

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

Page 50 Page 52 A. It depended on the deposition. Sometimes I 1 A. Yes. 2 read every page; sometimes I didn't. 2 Q. Do you know how many documents were Q. Do you know which ones you read in full? 3 produced in this litigation? A. I can't now recall, but I read in full the A. In terms of defendants' documents? 5 5 ones that were most relevant. Q. Yes. A. No. Q. Were you given every exhibit for each 6 7 7 deposition? Q. Do you know whether more than a million 8 A. Yes. 8 documents have been produced in this case? Q. So you received the depositions and then A. I don't know, but that wouldn't surprise 9 10 all of the exhibits referenced in that deposition; 10 me. 11 is that correct? 11 Q. And you reviewed 400 of them; is that 12 A. Yes, typically. 12 right? 13 Q. Did you review every exhibit for each 13 A. I believe it's 600. 642. Q. There's 642. But if you go to page B19, 14 deposition? A. No. 15 production Bates number documents. 15 Q. Did you ask to see any of the plaintiffs' 16 Do you see that? 17 deposition? 17 A. Yes. A. The plaintiffs' depositions have just 18 Q. And so it looks like for internal 19 documents, you reviewed -- you reviewed the 19 started. Q. Is that your understanding? 20 documents that run from No. 255 to 642; right? 21 A. That's my understanding, yeah. A. Oh, yes. You're correct. 21 22 Q. Did you ask to see any of those 22 Q. And that's a little under 400; right? 23 depositions? 23 A. I believe you on the math. A. So just to make sure I'm understanding your 24 Q. I'm terrible with math, but I think -- I 25 question, in this case the plaintiffs' experts have 25 think that's right. Page 51 Page 53 1 A. You did it before. 1 been deposed, like I'm being deposed now, and you're 2 Q. How did you select which document -- how 2 asking me if I asked to see their depositions? 3 did you select which documents to review? Q. No. My -- sorry. My question is a little A. I used the same criteria that I told you 4 bit different --5 before about which depositions I selected. I can go 5 A. Okay. 6 through it again. Would you like me to? Q. -- which is there are depositions of the 7 Q. Yeah. Just for these particular --7 plaintiffs; correct? 8 Strike that. 8 A. Sure. 9 Q. -- on the -- on the --There are plaintiffs and there's 10 plaintiffs' experts --10 A. Yeah. 11 O. -- documents in --11 A. Right. 12 A. Yeah. 12 Q. -- right? 13 Q. -- company documents, in particular, what 13 And then there's defendants and defendants' 14 experts; right? 14 did you ask for? A. I asked for any documents relating to A. Right. Q. So how about for the plaintiffs themselves, 16 social media addiction, problematic social media 17 did you ask to see any of their depositions? 17 use, or any synonyms for those terms. I asked for documents that spoke to the A. I did not. 19 Q. And there are about 400, it looks like, 19 addictive design elements, which I identify in my 20 report as "access, quantity, potency, novelty, 20 company documents produced from the defendants and 21 uncertainty." 21 third parties in this case that are on your 22 I asked for any documents that spoke to the 22 Materials Considered list: is that fair? 23 criteria on which we base the diagnosis of A. Yes. 24 addiction, which I summarize as the four Cs: Q. Do you know how many -- and those documents 25 control use, impulsive use, craving, and continued 25 cover all of the defendants in this case; right?

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

Page 54 Page 56 1 use despite consequences, as well as tolerance and 1 Lembke 0095. 2 withdrawal. 2 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification Q. And in response to those requests, all of 3 of the record.) 4 the documents that are listed on your -- on your 4 BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 Materials Considered list that are internal company 5 Q. Dr. Lembke, I'll represent to you this is 6 documents, those were all provided by your counsel, 6 a -- this is a printout of what was provided to us 7 right, in response to your request? 7 last -- on Friday of last week with respect to your A. That is correct. 8 Materials Considered list. Q. Did you have access to a database that With that representation, is there anything 10 would allow you to search for documents with key 10 on this -- anything on your -- that you've omitted 11 terms that you wanted to use? 11 from your Materials Considered list that you A. I might have had access. But I didn't ask 12 produced last Friday? 12 13 for that. 13 A. I'm sorry. Could you ask the question Q. Are there any company documents that you 14 again? Q. Yeah. 15 looked at or considered that are not listed on your 15 16 Materials Considered list, to the best of your 16 Is there anything that is not included on 17 knowledge? 17 this Materials Considered list that you produced 18 last Friday that should be included? 18 A. No. Q. Did you review every document on this list? A. Not that I'm aware of. 19 19 20 20 Q. Thank you. 21 Q. Did you read every document -- strike that. 21 You charge -- am I correct that you charge, 22 Did you read every page of each document? 22 in terms of compensation, a thousand dollars an hour 23 A. I tried to. Some of these documents are 23 for time spent in depositions and appearing in 24 very short. 24 court? 25 25 A. Correct. Q. Any updates that you'd like to make to your Page 55 Page 57 1 Materials Considered list sitting here today? Q. And you charge \$800 an hour for time spent MS. McNABB: Objection. 2 writing your report and reviewing documents? I'll let the witness answer, but we did A. Correct. 4 produce what we produced on Friday, so you all have 4 Q. And you do you also get paid to travel to 5 depositions? 5 that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat 6 A. That hasn't come up. I don't know the 7 your question? 7 answer. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Will you get paid -- do you get paid to --9 9 would you get paid if you have to travel to court? Q. Yeah, sure. A. You mean paid for my travel time? 10 Any updates to the -- your Materials 10 11 Considered list that you would like to make today? O. Yes. 11 A. I would like my Materials Considered list 12 A. I don't believe so, no. 13 to include my materials that were added for the 13 Q. So you -- your understanding is you don't 14 get paid at all for your travel time; is that right? 14 federal case, which were just a few things, but that 15 should be in my Materials Considered list. 15 A. That's my understanding. MR. ERCOLE: Let's mark this as 4. 16 Q. So if we turn back to Exhibit 2, which are 16 17 your invoices, if you look at the first page, 17 (Marked for identification purposes, 18 there's a -- all of the entries are from February of 18 Lembke Exhibit 4.). 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 2023. 20 Q. Dr. Lembke, I'm showing you what's marked Do you see that? 21 as Exhibit 4. And this is an updated version of 21 A. Yeah. 22 what looks like your résumé and the Materials 22 Q. And then it looks like the next invoice 23 Considered list that was produced to us last Friday. 23 begins April of 2024. 24

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

25

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

A. M-hm.

Q. And it's Bates-marked Lembke 009 through

24

25

Page 58 Page 60 Q. And so that's over a year later; is that 1 Drug Dealer, MD, preceded, or came before, my being 2 right? 2 retained in opioid litigation. A. Yes. BY MR. ERCOLE: 3 3 Q. Okay. Were you doing any work on this case 4 Q. And if I could -- for purposes of just 5 between then? 5 making this easy, if I refer to the opioid MS. McNABB: I will object just to the 6 litigation, would you understand I'm referring to 7 extent that it gets into attorney-client -- or, 7 sort of all the various cases that were brought 8 excuse me, attorney-expert privilege. 8 against the companies involved with the So if there were communications about why 9 manufacturing, distribution, and dispensing of 10 and what, you don't have to answer that. But his 10 opioid medication? 11 direct question right now, you --A. Yes. 11 12 And, Brian, you can reask it. 12 Q. You earned -- and the title of your book 13 You can answer "yes" or "no." 13 was Drug Dealer, MD; is that correct? 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 14 A. That's right. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. And you earned money on that book; right? Q. Were you doing any work on this case 16 A. Yes. 17 between February 12th, 2023, and April 11th, 2024? Q. And you charged -- with respect to your 17 A. If I didn't bill for it, I wasn't doing 18 expert opinions in the opioid litigation, you 19 work specifically on this case. But all along, I am 19 charged for your time preparing reports and 20 reading the literature related to this case. I am 20 testifying; correct? 21 doing my own work. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Have you been paid for all of these 22 Q. You made over a million dollars as an 23 invoices? 23 expert in the opioid litigation; right? 24 A. I think so, yes. 24 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 25 25 Q. Do you know how much you've been paid to THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how much it was. Page 59 Page 61 1 date for your work in this litigation? 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Oh, we can add it up. 2 Q. You don't know how much money you made in Q. Do you know how much that is? 3 3 the opioid litigation as an expert? 4 A. I haven't added it up. A. I never sat down and added it up, no. It 5 Q. I added it up. I got about \$177,000. 5 was over many years. A. M-hm. Q. How many years? 7 Q. Does that sound right? 7 A. I believe my involvement started in 2019 8 A. That sounds about right. 8 and is ongoing to this day. 9 Q. Are you getting paid for your time here Q. There was a federal MDL case in the opioid 10 today? 10 litigation; right? 11 A. Yes, I am. 11 A. Yes. Q. Dr. Lembke, did you testify in a string of 12 Q. And that was in Ohio? 13 cases against companies involved with the 13 A. There were various bellwether trials. Ohio 14 manufacturing, distribution, and dispensing of 14 was the first bellwether. 15 opioid medications? Q. And do you recall testifying in that case 16 A. Yes. 16 that you were paid a couple hundred thousand dollars Q. Did you testify on behalf of plaintiffs in 17 to prepare a report and testify as a witness in the 18 that litigation? 18 MDL litigation? 19 A. Yes. A. I wasn't paid to prepare the report. I was Q. And you were retained in that litigation 20 paid for my time and my expertise, and I did prepare 21 shortly after you released a book about how you 21 a report. And I don't remember testifying to that. 22 believe doctors were duped into prescribing opioid 22 I remember being asked similar questions to 23 medications to patients; is that right? 23 what you're asking me now in terms of how much money MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 24 24 I made. And I remember answering those questions. 25 THE WITNESS: The publication of my book 25 But I don't remember the specific amounts.

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

Page 62 Page 64 1 Q. Could it have been over \$2 million? 1 those are -- they may be related, but each of those 2 A. Doubtful. 2 were separate cases; right? 3 Q. Might have been, though; right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: You're a lawyer. I'm a 4 A. I don't think so. 4 5 physician. You know, I don't -- I'm not as familiar 5 Q. How many -- how many reports -- strike 6 with your language. 6 that. To me, it was one big case with a variety 7 In how many cases in the opioid litigation 7 8 did you prepare reports? 8 of different bellwether trials that happened over a MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 9 9 sequence of time. 10 I'm going to have -- I'm going object to 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 the line of questioning on -- on litigations outside 11 Q. Right. 12 of opioid -- or outside of the social media 12 And so given that there were different 13 litigation to the extent it's outside of the scope 13 trials in different cases, my question is, how many 14 expert reports on the plaintiffs' side did you 14 of this litigation. 15 prepare and submit in the various cases that MR. ERCOLE: Okay. 15 16 comprised the opioid litigation? BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 17 Q. You can answer the question. 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 18 answered. 18 A. Can you say it again? 19 19 THE WITNESS: I did -- I do feel like I Q. Sure. 20 How many cases in the opioid litigation did 20 answered this question. I can try to answer it 21 you prepare reports for? 21 again. A. I prepared reports for federal MDL 22 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 litigation and then some state litigation that was 23 Q. I mean, with all due respect, I don't think 24 related but separate. And I have my prior testimony 24 you -- you've answered. 25 listed here on this page. Happy to read through it. 25 Do you know the number of expert reports Page 63 Page 65 Q. But your prior testimony doesn't identify 1 that you prepared and submitted in connection with 2 the opioid litigation? 2 the cases where you prepared expert reports; right? A. If you were to look at my expert report for A. Essentially I prepared one very large 4 these various trials, you would see that they are 4 report for this case and then made modifications to 5 very similar. So in my mind, I essentially created 5 the report, depending upon what the bellwether case 6 one expert report that contained my opinions. And 6 was, where it was, and changes over time in terms of 7 then there were iterations or slight changes made to 7 who the defendants were, because that did change 8 over time. 8 that report with no change in my opinions depending 9 upon the bellwether trial. Q. Okay. So, at least sitting here today, are 10 you aware of the number of cases where you submitted 10 Q. And -- fair enough. 11 a report in the opioid litigation? 11 But each time that a report got submitted A. I'm not sure what you mean by the "number 12 in a case, you had to sign it; right? 13 of cases." To me, they were all related. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. But you understand that there was an 14 Q. Do you know how many signed expert reports 15 you submitted on behalf of the plaintiffs in the 15 Ohio case. 16 opioid litigation? 16 Then you also testified in New York; is 17 that correct? 17 A. I don't remember. Q. In about -- for the opioid litigation, A. Yes. Q. And you provided testimony in 19 your -- you charged \$500 an hour for reports; is 19 20 that right? 20 San Francisco? 21 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 21 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: I charged \$500 an hour for Q. And there was other litigation throughout 23 the United States over opioid litigation; right? 23 record review and report preparation. BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 A. Yes. 24 Q. And you charged \$800 an hour for testifying 25 Q. Okay. And you understand that each of

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

Page 66 Page 68 1 in opioids; right? 1 used as a tool, it can be for good. And when it's MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 2 used as a drug, it can be for harm. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. You've made some money off of 4 BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 Dopamine Nation; right? A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And your rates as an expert have now 6 increased; right? 6 Q. How much money have you made off of that A. Yes. 7 book? 7 8 Q. Four years ago, in 2021, you published a 8 A. I don't know. 9 book entitled Dopamine Nation; is that right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 9 A. That's right. 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. When did you start writing Dopamine Nation? Q. Are you a full-time member of the Stanford 11 11 A. And in a way Dopamine Nation, I was working 12 University School of Medicine faculty? 13 on it starting in the early 2000s on and off. It 13 A. Yes. 14 took me a long time to put those ideas together. Q. What percentage of your income this year Q. Did any of the lawyers in the social media 15 will be from expert witness work? 16 litigation, the current case that we have, provide A. About 15 percent. 16 17 any feedback or suggestions in connection with the 17 Q. What -- will you make this year more money 18 writing of that book? 18 as an expert or in connection with -- do you --19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 19 strike that. THE WITNESS: That book was written and 20 Do you get a salary as a -- as a full-time 20 21 published before I had any communication with any 21 faculty member at Stanford University? 22 lawyers about social media litigation. A. Yes, I do. 22 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 Q. And what is your salary? 24 A. It depends how you look at it. I have a 24 Q. And in Dopamine Nation, you wrote that the 25 smartphone is the modern-day hypodermic needle; is 25 base salary. I have bonuses. Page 67 Page 69 Q. How much? 1 that right? 1 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 2 A. My base salary is approximately 300,000. Q. And you said you also have bonuses; is that 3 BY MR. ERCOLE: 3 Q. It's your words. I mean, do you remember 4 4 right? 5 writing that or not? 5 A. (Nonverbal response.) A. Actually, you didn't -- you didn't get the Q. And how much are those? 7 quote quite right. 7 A. It depends. And I don't know. 8 O. Well --8 O. More than \$50,000 in bonuses? 9 A. I don't know. It's -- I don't know the 9 A. It's close, but it's not quite --10 answer. 10 Q. Okay. Give me the right quote, then. A. The modern-day hypodermic syringe. Q. What are the bonuses -- how are they 11 11 12 Q. Oh, okay. Thank you. 12 derived? 13 A. You're welcome. A. Based on performance metrics. 13 14 Q. And the modern-day hypodermic syringe was a 14 Q. Is it fair to say that sort of as an annual 15 metaphor for sort of illegal drugs or heroin that's 15 salary as a full-time faculty member at Stanford 16 often administered through a hypodermic syringe; 16 University School of Medicine, you're making about 17 \$400,000 or less? 17 right? 18 18 A. Yes. MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. Q. And in your view, is a cell phone the same 19 THE WITNESS: No. 20 as a needle full of heroin? 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. That was the metaphor, m-hm. 21 21 O. More than that? Q. Is that your -- is that your view? 22 22 A. No. Less than that. A. I believe a smartphone can be used in that 23 Q. Okay. \$350,000 or --24 way. As I've said many times, you know, it's a --24 A. I'm making approximately \$300,000. 25 both a powerful tool and a potent drug. When it's 25 Q. Okay.

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Page 70 Page 72 1 A. Yeah. 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Do you expect to be paid more than \$300,000 2 Q. But that wasn't the only case you were 3 as an expert witness this year? 3 serving as an expert in; right? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. A. I was still serving in opioid litigation. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I doubt it. 5 But all of that litigation has slowed way down. 5 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. How much -- I apologize. I didn't mean to 7 Q. Are you being paid -- are you continuing to 7 interrupt you. 8 serve as an expert for the opioid litigation? If I do interrupt you, just let me know 9 because that is not -- that is not my intent. A. Yes. 10 Q. How much have you made as an expert in the A. Yeah. 10 11 opioid litigation this year? 11 Q. So I apologize for that. MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. A. I don't remember what I made in 2023 in 12 12 THE WITNESS: Very little. 13 13 opioid litigation. I really don't. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 Q. How about 2022? Do you remember? Q. How much is "very little"? A. No. 15 15 A. I don't know exactly. If I had to guess, Q. Dr. Lembke, when do you think social media 16 17 \$500. I don't -- I don't remember. 17 addiction, sort of as you've defined it, first arose O. In 2024 was -- did the amount of -- how did 18 in the population? A. I think it arose in approximately the 19 the amount of money you got as an expert witness 19 20 compare to what you were paid as a salary at 20 five-year period between 2010 and 2015. I mean, it 21 Stanford? 21 was probably already present. But in terms of 22 22 coming to greater awareness and clinical awareness, MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 23 THE WITNESS: What do you mean, how did it 23 I would peg it starting around 2010 to 2015. Q. When did you see the earliest signal of 24 compare? 25 /// 25 social media addiction? Page 71 Page 73 BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 A. Probably around 2010. 1 2 MR. ERCOLE: Mark this as Exhibit 5. 2 Q. Yeah. 3 (Marked for identification purposes, 3 Did you make more, less? What's the --4 Lembke Exhibit 5.) 4 A. I made less. 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 Q. Okay. How much less? 6 Q. Dr. Lembke, are you -- are you familiar MS. McNABB: Same objection. 6 7 with this document? 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember exactly. 8 Maybe a third. I made a third of what I make in my A. Yes. 9 Q. And this is an interview you gave to the 9 salary. 10 New York Times just a few months ago; right? 10 And you -- you have the invoices. You can A. That is correct. 11 add it up. 12 Q. So I want to refer you to -- it looks like 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 it's the third page where it has -- starts with you Q. You were also serving as an expert witness 14 published Dopamine Nation in 2021. 14 in the opioid litigation in 2024? 15 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 16 A. M-hm. 16 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 Q. And then a question is posed. And then you 18 answer that question. And if you look down at the Q. How about 2023? How did the amount you got 19 as an expert, both opioids and this litigation, 19 bottom of the page --20 20 compare to what you were earning as a salary at A. M-hm. 21 Q. -- it says -- the answer you gave was 21 Stanford?

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

"Then roughly 2015, 2016 we started

media addiction, online shopping, a huge

to see the earliest signal of social

22 (as read):

23

24

25

23 speculation.

MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope and

25 here that I made \$5,200 in social media litigation.

THE WITNESS: Well, in 2023 you have it

22

Page 74 Page 76 1 increase in online gambling addiction." 1 question. 2 Is that correct? Have you ever used any of the defendants' 3 A. Yeah. 3 platforms in this case? A. Yes. I have personally used YouTube. 4 Q. At least, according to the Times interview, 5 then, you identified 2015 and 2016 as the time when Q. Any other platforms? 6 you started to see the earliest signal of social A. I have looked over the shoulders and had 7 media addiction; right? 7 patients show me their usage on defendants' 8 platforms. I've seen my kids usage when they show A. So I was speaking about when social media 9 addiction presented in clinical care. And there's 9 me on defendants' platforms. But I have not 10 naturally a delay between the onset of a disease in 10 personally used the platforms of other defendants. 11 a population with exposure to a toxin and when Q. So other than YouTube, you haven't used any 11 12 people actually go and seek help. 12 of the other defendants' platforms; correct? So my opinion is that social media 13 13 A. That's correct. If you're defining "use" 14 addiction began to arise around 2010, but we didn't 14 as I personally got on there and was posting, 15 commenting, liking, I have not done that. 15 start seeing people coming into clinic until a Q. Do you still use YouTube? 16 little bit later. 16 17 Q. Do you know when the first social media 17 A. Yes. Q. What do you use YouTube for? 18 platform was created? 18 A. It probably depends on how you're defining A. Basically entertainment, some news. 19 20 "social media," but I don't. Q. Anything else? 20 Q. How about the first defendants' platform? 21 A. Generally not. 22 Do you know when that --22 Q. Have you ever used YouTube Shorts? A. I don't, no. 23 A. No. 24 24 Q. Do you have any social media accounts of Q. Do you know what YouTube Shorts is? 25 your own? 25 A. Yes. I've seen -- I've seen YouTube Page 77 Page 75 1 A. I have e-mail. 1 Shorts, but I don't -- I try not to consume YouTube 2 Q. What type of e-mail platform do you use? 2 Shorts because they're so addictive. And I know A. Stanford.edu. 3 that once I would start watching them, it would be 3 4 Q. Do you think e-mail is addictive? 4 difficult to stop. 5 A. Not generally. Q. So in your view, if you, Dr. Lembke, start Q. Can be, though? 6 watching YouTube Shorts, you will quickly become 7 A. I think that it's possible to engage in 7 addicted to YouTube Shorts; is that your testimony? 8 compulsive fixation on e-mail or zero inbox, but A. Not in a deterministic way. But I think 9 it's generally not meeting threshold criteria for 9 the platform is addictive, and I find that I 10 the kinds of harm that we're talking about when 10 personally -- when I start to watch YouTube Shorts, 11 it's difficult for me to maintain a sense of how 11 we're talking about addiction. 12 Q. How about Outlook? Do you have Outlook? 12 much time I've spent there. And it's generally not 13 13 good for my well-being. 14 Q. Would you consider that a form of social 14 Q. How many times have you watched YouTube 15 media? 15 Shorts? 16 A. No. 16 A. A handful of times. Especially when it's Q. Do you have any accounts with respect to 17 interspersed with -- they intersperse it now with 18 the defendants' platforms in this case? 18 the regular YouTube. So, you know, they're trying 19 A. No. 19 to get you to click on it, or at least that's what Q. Have you ever been on any of the 20 it feels like. 20

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Q. You've never used YouTube Shorts, though;

How would you define "used," Dr. Lembke?

A. What do you mean by "used"?

Q. I think it's pretty self -- self-evident.

21 Q.22 right?

23

24

25

22

23

24

21 defendants' platforms?

Q. Which platforms have you been on?

Q. Yeah. Strike that. That's a good

A. When you say "been on the platform" ...

A. Yes.

Page 78 Page 80 A. Okay. Well, as you know, YouTube is a 1 right? 2 platform that you don't need to have an account in 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 order to view YouTube. So without an account, I 3 THE WITNESS: Can you read me a quote? I'm 4 have gone onto the YouTube platform and I have 4 not -- I think it would help to know what you're 5 watched videos. 5 specifically referring to. Q. And have you watched videos on YouTube 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Shorts before? 7 Q. Sure. 8 A. Yes. On page 16 of your -- your book -- sorry, 9 page 15 of your book Dopamine Nation, you write 9 Q. What videos did you watch on YouTube 10 Shorts? 10 (as read): "I wanted to indulge in that moment 11 A. I don't remember. The content was not 11 12 of mounting sexual tension that finally 12 memorable. gets resolved when the hero and heroine Q. You've spoken publicly about your addiction 13 14 to romance novels; is that right? hook up." 14 A. Yes. 15 Right? A. Okay. Yes. Q. And I think you also linked an increase in 16 17 your reading of romance novels to when you got a 17 Q. Right. 18 Kindle; is that right? And so is that -- like, my understanding 18 19 A. Yes. 19 from your book, and tell me if this is wrong, is Q. And you classified your addiction to a 20 that you felt like you were getting addicted to this 21 erotic genre of romance novels; right? 21 particular genre of literature, not to the Kindle; 22 right? 22 A. Yes. A. I specifically talk about how it was the 23 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 24 Kindle that allowed me the kind of access that 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 created the addiction. 25 /// Page 79 Page 81 Q. So you were addicted to the Kindle or to BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 2 the genre of literature or both? Q. And you were reading them for this sort of MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 3 moment of -- of sexual tension and when that gets 3 4 THE WITNESS: The Kindle is essentially a 4 resolved between the hero and heroine; is that 5 technological device that allowed me easy access to 5 correct? 6 the genre, thereby increasing my vulnerability to MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 6 7 compulsive overconsumption of that genre. 7 THE WITNESS: The whole thing was -- it BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 wasn't just that one moment. It's all the buildup 9 to that moment. Q. You wanted to read content about that 10 genre; right? 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Well, I wanted to read romance novels --11 Q. And you enjoyed reading those novels; 12 Q. Right. 12 right? 13 A. -- ves. 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: Initially I enjoyed them. Q. And were there particular sections of 14 15 romance novels that you felt like you were addicted 15 And then even when I wasn't enjoying them later on, 16 to? 16 I still felt a compulsion to read them. 17 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. BY MR. ERCOLE: THE WITNESS: It was the whole frame and 18 Q. And you believed that those romance novels 18 19 design of those novels that I was addicted to. 19 were designed to hook you; right? The content was fairly irrelevant as long 20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I believe that they're 21 as it followed a specific pattern that I had -- that 21 22 had become habituated for me and was reinforcing. 22 written according to a certain standardized formula BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 that's meant to be highly reinforcing. And it was Q. You write in your book about your addiction 24 certainly for me. 24 25 to scenes in those novels regarding sexual tension; 25 ///

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	BY MR. ERCOLE:	1	But you've written and you've given lots of
2	Q. Right.	2	interviews about how you were addicted to this genre
3	And, in fact, on page I mean, you wrote	3	of erotic romance novels; right?
4	on page 15 of your book (as read):	4	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
5	"I just wanted my fix, and these	5	THE WITNESS: I do believe that I developed
6	books written according to a formula were	6	a mild addiction to erotica. But I always qualify
7	designed to hook me."	7	that by saying my mild addiction is not the same as
8	Right?	8	a severe addiction. Addiction is a spectrum
9	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	9	disorder.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree with what I	10	I wouldn't want to trivialize somebody's
11	wrote there.	11	more severe addiction by comparing it to my
12	BY MR. ERCOLE:		addiction. Every person is different in terms of
13	Q. Aren't all books designed to hook the	13	the degree of psychopathology.
14	reader?	14	BY MR. ERCOLE:
15	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	15	Q. And you would agree that other books
16	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily, no.	16	written with the formula that we've been talking
17	BY MR. ERCOLE:	17	about also can be addictive to readers; right?
18	Q. What books are not designed to keep their	18	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
19	readers engaged?	19	THE WITNESS: It depends on that person's
20	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	20	vulnerability to that particular medium. Not
21	THE WITNESS: Textbooks, dictionaries,	21	everybody is a reader. Not everybody is going to be
22	various how-to manuals. I mean, there are a	22	reinforced by a certain type of storytelling.
23	gazillion different types of books that are not	23	But, yes, I believe that I'm not the only
24	written for compulsive page turning.	24	one out there who's developed a mild addiction or
25	///	25	even a more severe addiction to books, to certain
	Page 83		Page 85
1	BY MR. ERCOLE:	1	genres of books.
2	Q. What books are written for compulsive page	2	BY MR. ERCOLE:
3	turning?	3	Q. So let me sticking with that with
4	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	4	that theme, let me ask you some questions about in
5	THE WITNESS: Generally the kinds of books	5	your view what people can become addicted to.
6	that are based on a sort of formulaic plot	6	Can people become addicted to phones?
7	structure, fast-paced, chapters that end on a cliff	7	A. The actual device itself?
8	hanger so that you feel compelled to continue	8	Q. Use phone use.
9	reading to find out what happens.	9	A. Use of a smartphone?
10	It's the same narrative formula that's used	10	Q. Sure.
11	for highly reinforcing TV shows or serials, these	11	A. Yes.
12	types of things.	12	Q. Can people become addicted to the Internet?
13	BY MR. ERCOLE:	13	A. Both the phone and the Internet are a
14	Q. And in your view, those types of books are	14	portal to various forms of digital media that people
15	designed to be addictive; right?	15	can get addicted to.

21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 Q. Can people become addicted to Victorian

20 cause harm in their lives.

23 fiction novels?

19 people to watch too much Netflix such that it can

Q. Can people become addicted to Netflix?

MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.

THE WITNESS: I think it's possible for

16

17

18

24 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 25 THE WITNESS: What's a Victorian fiction

MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.

18 reader in and to make it difficult for the reader to

19 put them down. I -- I wouldn't use -- when I use

21 of psychopathology. Addiction is itself a brain

22 disease with documentable harms related to it.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

20 the word "addictive," I'm really referring to a form

So I don't usually use that word casually.

THE WITNESS: They're designed to pull the

16

17

23

24

Page 86	Page 88
1 novel?	1 Is it possible for someone to become
2 BY MR. ERCOLE:	2 addicted to outtakes of American Idol?
3 Q. Do you know any Victorian fiction novel?	3 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
4 A. Do you know any Victorian	4 THE WITNESS: It's possible.
5 Q. How about Pride and Prejudice, books like	5 BY MR. ERCOLE:
6 that?	6 Q. Is it possible for someone to become
7 A. Uh-huh. Those older novels were written in	7 addicted to Three Stooges episodes?
8 a very different way that I think is has more	8 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
9 friction, requires more heavier cognitive lift to	9 THE WITNESS: Unlikely. There aren't
10 engage with them.	10 enough of them.
11 It's possible, but it's less likely than	BY MR. ERCOLE:
12 the modern novels that we have now.	Q. Could someone become addicted to something
13 Q. Can people become addicted to listening to	13 called Mr. Pimple Popper?
14 rap music?	MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.	15 THE WITNESS: Any kind of video for which
16 THE WITNESS: It's possible, I suppose, if	16 there's a medium by which they can be delivered with
17 rap music is very reinforcing to them and they	17 these design features that make them addictive, so
18 continue to consume in an out-of-control, compulsive 19 way that leads to consequences.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19 way that leads to consequences.20 BY MR. ERCOLE:	19 quantity, the kind of interactive elements that
	20 increase the potency, an algorithm that tailors the 21 delivery of those videos for the individual and what
 Q. Can people become addicted to praying? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 	22 they have watched before, some degree of, you know,
23 THE WITNESS: I don't think so.	23 uncertainty, all of that creates a highly addictive
24 BY MR. ERCOLE:	24 medium.
25 Q. You don't think people can become addicted	25 You are listing a lot of different forms of
2. Tou don't timik people can become addicted	25 Tod are fisting a for of different forms of
Page 87	Page 89
1 to praying?	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as
1 to praying?2 A. I don't think so.	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of time praying, taking away from other aspects of your life, wouldn't that be something that you would 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed.
 1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE:
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of time praying, taking away from other aspects of your life, wouldn't that be something that you would consider to be addictive? MS. McNABB: Objection 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke?
 1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question.
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of time praying, taking away from other aspects of your life, wouldn't that be something that you would consider to be addictive? MS. McNABB: Objection (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was?
 to praying? A. I don't think so. Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of time praying, taking away from other aspects of your life, wouldn't that be something that you would consider to be addictive? MS. McNABB: Objection (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria for diagnosing addiction. 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection.
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE:	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE:
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question?
 1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering.
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question?
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.)	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE:
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure.
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure. 19 Could someone become addicted to something
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they 20 were meeting criteria, the four Cs, tolerance,	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure.
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they 20 were meeting criteria, the four Cs, tolerance, 21 withdrawal. That's what I would look at for every	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure. 19 Could someone become addicted to something 20 called Mr. Pimple Popper? 21 A. I feel like I
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they 20 were meeting criteria, the four Cs, tolerance,	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure. 19 Could someone become addicted to something 20 called Mr. Pimple Popper? 21 A. I feel like I
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they 20 were meeting criteria, the four Cs, tolerance, 21 withdrawal. That's what I would look at for every 22 individual in every individual situation.	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure. 19 Could someone become addicted to something 20 called Mr. Pimple Popper? 21 A. I feel like I 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
1 to praying? 2 A. I don't think so. 3 Q. Even if it's if people derive pleasure 4 from it and it's reinforcing and they spend lots of 5 time praying, taking away from other aspects of your 6 life, wouldn't that be something that you would 7 consider to be addictive? 8 MS. McNABB: Objection 9 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 10 THE WITNESS: Those are not the criteria 11 for diagnosing addiction. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Can people become addicted to outtakes of 14 American Idol? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 16 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 17 of the record.) 18 THE WITNESS: Again, it would matter on the 19 individual. It would matter on whether or not they 20 were meeting criteria, the four Cs, tolerance, 21 withdrawal. That's what I would look at for every 22 individual in every individual situation. 23 BY MR. ERCOLE:	1 content. And the content really doesn't matter as 2 much as the design features and the recursive 3 feedback loop that's created that gets people into 4 these rabbit holes where they're watching many 5 different types of videos on a platform that 6 promotes that kind of addictive feed. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 Q. Do you remember my question, Dr. Lembke? 9 A. I feel like I answered your question. 10 Q. Do you remember what it was? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Do you remember my question? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Badgering. 15 THE WITNESS: Do you want to repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 Q. Sure. 19 Could someone become addicted to something 20 called Mr. Pimple Popper? 21 A. I feel like I 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 23 THE WITNESS: I answered. I answered

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

Page 90 Page 92 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 fairly simple. 2 Q. Okay. But someone could -- is it -- I'm Can someone get addicted to watching a 3 just asking, is it possible? 3 particular type of video on a platform? Is it possible that someone could become MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 4 5 addicted to watching Mr. Pimple Popper videos? THE WITNESS: I feel that I've answered 5 6 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 6 that question. 7 THE WITNESS: People can certainly get 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 8 addicted to watching videos that are delivered on a 8 Q. So the answer is, no, they can't? platform that's designed to be addictive. 9 A. It's not a "yes" or "no" answer. 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Okay. Can someone get addicted to work? 10 11 Q. So --MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 11 A. So people can get addicted to watching 12 12 THE WITNESS: We do occasionally see people 13 videos on YouTube. 13 who are, quote-unquote, workaholics, yes. Q. Can they get addicted to particular types 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 of videos? 15 Q. Can someone get addicted to playing A. The content is not as important. 16 basketball? Q. So in your -- your testimony is you can't 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 18 get addicted to watching one particular type of 18 THE WITNESS: It would sort of depend. 19 video; is that right? 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: 20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates and 20 Q. They could, someone could; right? 21 speculation. 21 Possible? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's not what I said. 22 22 A. Unlikely. 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 Q. Is it possible? 24 Q. Can you get addicted to watching one 24 A. Unlikely. 25 particular type of video on YouTube, for instance? 25 Q. Unlikely doesn't rule something out, does Page 91 Page 93 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 1 it? 2 THE WITNESS: Typically what happens when 2 A. I mean, I'd rather not speculate on, you 3 people get addicted to YouTube is they'll get drawn 3 know, a long list of scenarios. I can tell you that 4 in by a certain type of video, but very quickly the 4 I have never seen a patient who's been addicted to 5 medium will overtake the significance of the content 5 basketball. 6 itself. And they'll find themselves down a rabbit Q. How about addicted to exercise? 7 hole where they're watching a video that they never 7 A. Yes, we do see that. 8 planned or intended to watch, because the content is 8 Q. How about addicted to learning? 9 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 9 less important than the recursive feedback loop and 10 10 the design features that engage them on that THE WITNESS: No. 11 platform. 11 BY MR. ERCOLE: 12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 12 Q. You can't get addicted to learning? 13 Q. So my question is a little bit different; A. Learning is, by definition, a positive 13 14 right? 14 pursuit that humans would generally agree is good 15 for us. And my question -- you're here giving 16 testimony as a general causation expert; is that 16 So unless learning is taken and drugified 17 right? 17 in some way by, again, as I talk about in my report, 18 being made more accessible and more potent or 18 A. Yes. Q. And you haven't looked at any of the facts 19 bountiful, more novel, more uncertain, if that 20 of any of the individual plaintiffs in this case; 20 happens, then it's really not learning anymore. 21 right? 21 It's something else happening through the 22 application of technology. 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 Q. And when you say "drugify," what are you Q. So my question now -- I'm trying to 24 referring to? 25 understand your theory of addiction. My question is A. As I talk about in my report, the design

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

Page 94 Page 96 1 and not sleep because they're on the platform, that 1 elements on the defendants' platforms include making 2 these digital media more accessible, especially more 2 would not be a good thing. 3 accessible to kids, more bountiful, more of it. Q. Can you become addicted to playing with 4 Quantity and frequency do matter. 4 cats? More potent because of the recursive 5 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 6 feedback loops with the posts, comments, shares, 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 7 likes, et cetera. 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: More uncertain in terms of intermittent 8 Q. Do you know one way or the other? 9 positive feedback. I talk about that in my report. 9 A. I've not seen that clinically and I -- that Q. Right. 10 doesn't make sense to me. I'm just trying to understand what you mean Q. Can you become addicted to water? 11 11 12 by "drugify." 12 A. I did have a patient who got addicted to A. Yeah. 13 water. She was an alcoholic in recovery who Q. What -- so you're using "drug" as a verb; 14 realized that if she drank enough, she could become 15 right? 15 hyponatremic and be altered. A. M-hm. 16 Q. Can you become addicted to listening to 16 17 Q. Okay. And what does that mean? Does that 17 podcasts? 18 mean take something and make it more like a drug? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 18 A. Through the application of technology, in 19 THE WITNESS: It would depend on the 20 the modern age, we have taken drugs that have been 20 person. It would depend on the medium. Really, in 21 around for centuries and drugified them by making 21 each case, you would really have to look at whether 22 them more accessible, more potent, more bountiful in 22 or not they were meeting addiction criteria. 23 terms of the overall supply, more novel. And 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 24 technology and digital devices and digital media Q. It is possible, though, correct, in your 25 have done the same thing with even behaviors that we 25 view? Page 97 Page 95 1 typically think of as good for us, like learning or 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 2 THE WITNESS: It's possible. 2 human connection. 3 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Right. 4 Q. Would you agree that people overuse and 4 So learning can then become addictive; is 5 trivialize the term "addiction"? 5 that right? A. I think that that can happen. A. Your question was, can learning be 7 Q. You've said that in interviews; right? 7 addictive? And I would say learning in the spirit of 8 A. Probably. 9 9 the way that most people think about it is not MR. ERCOLE: How about we stop here and 10 addictive because learning is healthy. 10 take a break, if that works? I want to give -- I think we've probably But if we create a, quote-unquote, learning 12 platform and we essentially turn it into a video 12 been going for an hour and a half at least. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:32. 13 13 game, then you're not really dealing with learning 14 anymore. You're dealing with a medium that promotes 14 We're off the record. 15 (Recess taken from 10:32 to 10:46.) 15 compulsive overuse. 16 THE COURT: The time is 10:46. We're back Q. But if you're -- if you're using a platform 17 to learn about math or science, isn't that a good 17 on the record. BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 thing? 18 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. Q. Dr. Lembke, a couple of follow-up questions 20 from some of the matters we talked about before the THE WITNESS: It depends. 20 21 break. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 Q. Can be a bad thing? 22 How much money have you made from A. If the platform is not really geared toward 23 Dopamine Nation? 24 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 24 learning and instead geared toward optimizing watch 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 25 time, you know, getting kids to stay up all night

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

Page 98	Page 100
1 BY MR. ERCOLE:	THE WITNESS: Two of them do.
2 Q. More than a million dollars?	2 BY MR. ERCOLE:
3 A. I don't know.	Q. Which ones use them of the age group that
4 MS. McNABB: Objection.	4 you identified?
5 BY MR. ERCOLE:	5 MS. McNABB: Same objection.
6 Q. More than \$2 million?	6 THE WITNESS: The 18 year old and the
7 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification	7 22 year old.
8 of the record.)	8 BY MR. ERCOLE:
9 THE STENOGRAPHER: "More than a million	9 Q. And do your children have smartphones?
10 dollars?"	MS. McNABB: Same objection.
And you objected.	11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. McNABB: Object to scope.	12 BY MR. ERCOLE:
THE STENOGRAPHER: And, I'm sorry, your	13 Q. And with respect to the and the 22 year
14 answer was, Doctor?	14 old sorry, the 20 year old and the 23 year old,
THE WITNESS: I don't know.	15 they don't use social media at all; is that correct?
16 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
17 BY MR. ERCOLE:	17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you say
18 Q. More than \$2 million?	18 that again?
MS. McNABB: Objection to scope.	19 BY MR. ERCOLE:
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	20 Q. Sure.
21 BY MR. ERCOLE:	21 The 20 year old and the 23 year old, they
Q. More than \$3 million?	22 don't use social media at all?
MS. McNABB: Same objection.	A. Not to my knowledge.
24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	24 Q. With respect to your children who are 18
25 ///	25 and 22 respectively, what social media platforms do
Page 99	Page 101
1 BY MR. ERCOLE:	Page 101 1 they use?
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE:	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE:
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media?
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE:	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE:
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then?
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children?	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: My children are 18, 20, 22,	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they 20 did, but not on their own personal devices.
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: My children are 18, 20, 22, 21 and 23.	Page 101 1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they 20 did, but not on their own personal devices. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE:
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: My children are 18, 20, 22, 21 and 23. 22 BY MR. ERCOLE:	1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they 20 did, but not on their own personal devices. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 Q. When they started in when your children
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: My children are 18, 20, 22, 21 and 23. 22 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 Q. Do they currently use social media	1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they 20 did, but not on their own personal devices. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 Q. When they started in when your children 23 started in high school, did you get them
1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 Q. Dr. Lembke, could you have made more than 3 \$5 million off of Dopamine Nation? 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. Do you know for sure? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. You also mentioned I think that your 10 kids had used some of the social media platforms 11 we've been talking about; is that right? 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What do your how old are your 16 children? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. How sorry. How old are your children? 19 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: My children are 18, 20, 22, 21 and 23. 22 BY MR. ERCOLE:	1 they use? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I believe they're both I 4 believe the older one is on Instagram. And they 5 both use YouTube. And the younger one is on 6 Instagram, YouTube, and Snapchat, and looks at 7 TikTok. 8 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 Q. Do you know when they started using social 10 media? 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. When they started high 13 school. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Did they use social media before then? 16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 17 THE WITNESS: They didn't personally have 18 digital devices before then. They might have used 19 their friends' phones. It's very likely that they 20 did, but not on their own personal devices. 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 Q. When they started in when your children

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

	Page 102		Page 104
1	Page 102 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.	1	Page 104 children that you've identified had the trouble?
2	BY MR. ERCOLE:	2	A. The youngest one.
3	Q. Did they have personal devices when they	3	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
	started in high school?	4	BY MR. ERCOLE:
5	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	5	Q. The one who's currently 18?
6	THE WITNESS: I already answered that	6	A. Yes.
	question.	7	Q. Was he addicted to something?
8	BY MR. ERCOLE:	8	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
9	Q. Did you allow them to use social media when	9	THE WITNESS: I believe he was using
	they were in high school?		defendants' platforms in an addictive way.
11	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	11	BY MR. ERCOLE:
12	THE WITNESS: I essentially had no more	12	Q. Which platforms was he using in an
	control over them at that point.		addictive way?
14	BY MR. ERCOLE:	14	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
15	Q. When they were in high school?	15	THE WITNESS: Instagram, Snapchat, YouTube,
16	A. M-hm.		TikTok.
17	Q. Did they have smartphones when they were in	17	BY MR. ERCOLE:
18	high school?	18	Q. Did he also have a video game addiction?
19	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	19	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. They purchased their	20	THE WITNESS: He also struggled with
21	own smartphones, got their own smartphone plans with	21	managing his video game consumption.
22	their own money.	22	BY MR. ERCOLE:
23	BY MR. ERCOLE:	23	Q. In your view, did he have a video game
24	Q. As their parent, did you tell them you	24	addiction?
25	shouldn't be obtaining these smartphones because	25	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
	Page 103		Page 105
1	it's like a syringe with heroin?	1	THE WITNESS: It was heading in that
2	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	2	direction.
3	THE WITNESS: I didn't use that exact	3	BY MR. ERCOLE:
4	language, but I did express my concern, in	4	Q. In your view, was he addicted to social
5	particular, about the defendants' platforms.	5	media?
6	BY MR. ERCOLE:	6	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
7	Q. About smartphones generally?	7	THE WITNESS: It was heading in that
8	MS. McNABB: Objection.	8	direction.
9	THE WITNESS: Mostly about the defendants'	9	BY MR. ERCOLE:
	platforms and the smartphones as a portal to those	10	Q. What did you do to address that issue?
	platforms. But primarily about social media, the	11	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
	defendants' platforms.	12	THE WITNESS: We took his smartphone away
13	BY MR. ERCOLE:		from him.
14	Q. Did you ever tell your kids that they can't	14	BY MR. ERCOLE:
	bring their smartphones into the into your home	15	Q. How long did you take it away from him?
	because you viewed smartphones as a syringe with	16	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
	heroin? MS McNAPP: Objection Scope	17	THE WITNESS: One year.
18	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	18	BY MR. ERCOLE:
19	THE WITNESS: Three of my children seemed	19	Q. Does he now have a smartphone?
	able to moderate their consumption. One of my	20	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
	children had more trouble, and so we took his	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	smartphone away.	22	BY MR. ERCOLE:
23	BY MR. ERCOLE:	23	Q. Is he addicted to social media now?
24	Q. Was which and I'm not asking for	24	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
25	particular names, but which of the the four	25	THE WITNESS: It's a constant struggle.

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

1	Page 106	1	Page 108
1	BY MR. ERCOLE:	1	BY MR. ERCOLE:
2	Q. Is he addicted to video games now?	2	Q. Sure.
3	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	3	Parental control are you aware there's
4	THE WITNESS: It's an ongoing struggle.		applications that you can download onto your phone
5	BY MR. ERCOLE:		as a parent and limit the access and usage that
6 7	Q. Anything else that you believe he's addicted to?		children have to the Internet or other Internet sites and platforms?
			*
8	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No.	8 9	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I never I never used any
10	BY MR. ERCOLE:		5
10 11		11	of those. BY MR. ERCOLE:
	Q. When did you first get Internet in your house?	12	Q. Dr. Lembke, with respect to your clinical
13			practice, in 2024, how many approximately
14	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. BY MR. ERCOLE:		again, approximately how many hours a week did you
15	Q. I was I was reading some article about		spend seeing patients?
	that, and I just wanted to ask a follow-up question.	16	A. I see patients about one to two days per
17	A. Yeah. So when our eldest started high		week.
	school, we got Internet to the house.	18	Q. And on those days, how many hour like,
19	Q. Do you remember when that was? Like, 2017?		how many hours during that time will you spend with
20	A. Let's see. She's 23 now. So she would		patients?
	have been 16 then.	21	A. So it's a full day on Tuesdays and a half
22	That sounds about right.		day on Mondays.
23	Q. So 2017 was the first time that you ever	23	Q. When you say "full day," what does that
	had Internet in your in your house?		mean?
25	A. Yes, that sounds about right. I can't give	25	A. 8:00 to 5:00, 8:00 to 4:00.
1	Page 107 you an exact date, but that's approximately correct.	1	Q. And a half day is like 8:00 to 12:00 or so?
2	Q. Have you with respect to your your	2	A. Yeah.
	18-year-old son, or son who's now 18 years old, you	3	Q. And that's currently; is that right?
	mentioned you took his smartphone away for a for	4	A. (Nonverbal response.)
	a year and then you gave it back to them him; is	5	Q. How about in 2024? How about last year?
	that correct?	_	Was that the same, similar, increased, decreased?
7	A. (Nonverbal response.)	7	A. The same.
8	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	8	Q. And on a on an average day where you're
9	BY MR. ERCOLE:		there for the full day, approximately again, not
10	Q. Have you ever blocked his access to social		holding you to this, but approximately how many
	media platforms?		patients will you see?
12	A. No. Those parental controls are far too	12	A. It averages between 10 and 20.
	complicated. I can't figure them out.	13	Q. You're and what is the is there a
14	Q. Did you ever try and do it?		specific name for the I should know this, but I
15	A. I think I tried at one point and couldn't		don't.
	figure it out.	16	Is there a specific name for the clinic or
17	Q. What platform did you try and do it with?	17	-
18	A. I don't remember.	18	A. It's called the Stanford Addiction Medicine
19	Q. Did you ever did you ever use any		Dual Diagnosis Clinic.
20	parental controls applications separate from the	20	Q. What does "dual diagnosis" mean?
21	parental control features on the defendants'	21	A. Dual diagnosis means that the patients who
22	application to monitor or limit your son's usage?		are seen in our clinic have a psychiatric disorder
23	MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.	23	of any kind, as well as a co-occurring addictive
24	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "parental		disorder of any kind.
2-			-
	control"? Could you say the question again?	25	Q. Your am I correct that your clinic

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

Page 110 1 refers patients who are -- can I say "clinic"? Is

- 2 that okay?
- What word should I use for that?
- 4 A. It is a clinic.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I want to make sure I get that right.
- 8 My understanding -- and tell me if I'm
- 9 wrong, but my understanding is your clinic refers
- 10 patients under 18 to a child psychiatry practice; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 A. So I am the TOBI director of our recovery
- 13 clinic, which is an adolescent Addiction Medicine
- 14 Dual Diagnosis Clinic.
- So 18 and over goes to the adult clinic,
- 16 and anybody under 18 goes to the youth recovery 17 clinic.
- 18 Q. Do you personally treat individuals under
- 19 18?
- A. Very rarely.
- 21 Q. When you say "very rarely," what does that
- 22 mean?
- A. Typically, I see patients in the adult
- 24 clinic, but many of those patients began using and
- 25 got addicted to defendants' social media platforms

Page 113

1 in their teens.

- Q. And just sticking with the patients that
- 3 you treat, when was the last time you treated
- 4 someone who was under 18?
- 5 A. I can't remember. It's been some time.
- 6 Q. And in order for a patient to come in to
- 7 your clinic, he or she must already have an
- 8 addiction or be diagnosed with an addiction; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you treat mental disorders occurring in
- 12 the absence of an addiction?
- 13 A. Occasionally, we will have a patient who is
- 14 there because they are wondering if they are
- 15 struggling with addiction, and we might make the
- 16 determination that they're not. And then we might
- 17 provide some assessment for them. But generally
- 18 they wouldn't remain in our clinic without a
- 19 diagnosis of some degree of either addictive use or
- 20 misuse or risky use or physiologic dependence
- 21 without a use disorder.
- 22 So there -- it's a -- it's a spectrum
- 23 disorder, and there are many different types of use
- 24 disorders that don't necessarily meet a threshold
- 25 criteria for an active addiction.

1 We also have many patients who are in

2 long-term recovery from addiction. And most of our

Page 112

- 3 treatment is focused on their co-occurring
- 4 psychiatric disorders.
 - So we're managing their schizophrenia,
- 6 their eating disorder, their bipolar disorder, their
- 7 depression. And their addictive disorder is more
- 8 remote; certainly a point of attention and regular
- 9 consultation, but not active for them.
- 10 Q. Would you agree that the majority of
- 11 patients you treat have either a substance abuse
- 12 disorder or a chemical dependency problem?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Has that ever been true?
- 15 A. Yes. It was true in the past.
- 16 Q. When was that true?
- 17 A. I would say that was true when I began in
- 18 practice in the late 1990s. But with the advent of
- 19 digital media, social media, other forms of
- 20 addictive media, we began seeing more and more
- 21 patients with behavioral addictions to gambling, to
- 22 pornography, to social media, to gaming. And those
- 23 patients now make up a significant portion of our
- 24 practice.

Page 111

- 25 Q. How about in 2021? Would you agree that
- 1 the majority of patients that you treated either had
 - 2 a substance abuse disorder or a chemical dependency 3 problem?
 - 4 A. The majority, yes.
 - 5 O. How about in 2022?
 - 6 A. You know, I don't -- I don't have numbers
 - 7 on this. I -- I can't tell you what the percentage
 - 8 is of one type of addiction versus another.
 - 9 My overall sense is that the number of
 - 10 people presenting with what we call behavioral
 - 11 addictions -- that is, addictions to a behavior, a
 - 12 process, rather than to something they ingest -- has
 - 13 steadily been increasing over the last two decades,
 - 14 corresponding with the advent of the Internet and
 - 15 various forms of addictive digital media, including
 - 16 the defendants' platforms.
 - 17 So we're just seeing more and more over
 - 18 time. I think there was a real acceleration of this
 - 19 problem during COVID, understandably, with more and
 - 20 more people spending more time online. So we saw
 - 21 many more since COVID in terms of people addicted to
 - 22 social media and other forms of digital media.
 - 23 Q. For social media, is -- would you consider
 - 24 WhatsApp to be social media?
 - A. I'm not that familiar with the WhatsApp

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

Page 114

- 1 platform. I've not studied it. But it sounds like
- 2 a form of social media, yeah.
- 3 Q. How about LinkedIn?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How about Pinterest?
- 6 A. I haven't really studied Pinterest, but I
- 7 would think so.
- 8 Q. Discord?
- 9 A. Yes, I think so.
- 10 Q. Tumblr?
- 11 A. Not familiar with Tumblr.
- 12 Q. Prime Video?
- 13 A. Not familiar with Prime Video.
- 14 Q. Have you treated patients suffering from an
- 15 addiction to television?
- 16 A. No. And I wouldn't really expect to.
- 17 Television is not interactive and tailored for the
- 18 individual consumer the way that social media is.
- 19 Q. How about -- I'm just going to throw out an 20 example.
- 21 How about Netflix? Is that -- is that --
- 22 A. I've certainly seen patients who consume
- 23 far too much Netflix in combination with other
- 24 digital media platforms. Many of our patients
- 25 consume more than one social media platform or

- Page 116
- 1 addicted to looking at things on the Internet that
- 2 are not social media?
 - A. Absolutely. But it's not the Internet,
- 4 per se, that they're addicted to. It's not the ones
- 5 and zero that allow for the medium itself. It's the
- 6 platforms. And when people are compulsively surfing
- 7 the Internet, they're typically doing so on
- 8 defendants' platforms or other addictive digital
- 9 media.
- 10 Q. Okay. When you say people are
- 11 compulsive -- like, I'm trying to understand this.
- 12 Like, what basis do you have to suggest that when
- 13 people are compulsively surfing the Internet, they
- 14 are typically doing so on defendants' platforms?
- A. Survey studies done in adolescence, in kids
- 16 and minors, and that's the focus of this litigation,
- 17 show that much of the time, if not most of the time,
- 18 kids are on the Internet, they are on defendants'
- 19 platforms.
- Q. What studies?
- 21 A. As I mentioned, there are Pew surveys out
- 22 there asking teens where they're spending their time
- 23 and then ranking them. I think that defendants'
- 24 platforms appear in the first ten and certainly some
- 25 of the defendants here in the first five. I think

Page 115

- 1 one -- more than one digital media platform.
- 2 Q. How do you go about determining whether or
- 3 not a patient's use disorder or, in your view,
- 4 addiction is specific to social media versus their 5 phone?
- 6 A. It's very clear to me that the phone is
- 7 simply the portal. If the phone didn't give them
- 8 access to defendants' social media platforms, the
- 9 phone would have no salience for them.
- 10 Q. How about -- how do you go about
- 11 determining whether use disorder addiction is due to
- 12 social media versus the Internet generally?
- 13 A. Social media is one of the forms of digital
- 14 media that people can access through the Internet.
- 15 Without the Internet, social media would not be 16 possible.
- 17 But it's the addictive social media
- 18 platforms that create the pathology. If the
- 19 Internet gave people access to things that weren't
- 20 designed to be addictive, they wouldn't spend time
- 21 on the Internet.
- 22 Q. Well, haven't you ever, you know, heard the
- 23 phrase "surfing the Internet"?
- 24 A. M-hm.
- 25 Q. Have you -- do you think people can get

Page 117 1 TikTok is number one. And then Insta, Snapchat, and

- 2 YouTube are both in there as among the most popular
- 3 platforms that minors will use when they're on the
- 4 Internet.
- 5 Q. Any other studies?
- 6 A. I'm not recalling any other specific
- 7 studies, but I believe that that's well-established.
- 8 Q. If you -- in your -- in your practice, have
- 9 you diagnosed someone with social media -- is it
- 10 social media addiction, or what do you call -- what
- 11 do you call sort of a -- someone who compulsively
- 12 uses social media? What is -- what language do you
- 13 use for that?
- 14 A. I use "social media use disorder" just to
- 15 be consistent with the DSM, which uses the term "use
- 16 disorder" to describe addiction to various
- 17 substances. If it's alcohol, then it's called
- 18 alcohol use disorder. If it's nicotine, it's
- 19 nicotine use disorder. Gambling disorder and social
- 20 media use disorder.
- 21 Q. And we'll get into the, sort of, DSM
- 22 language and what that recognizes or doesn't
- 23 recognize in a little bit, but ...
- But you use -- so if you're -- if someone
- 25 presents to you and you believe that person has

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

Page 118 Page 120 1 social media use disorder, that's what you would 1 judgment? A. It can be made in various ways. If it's in 2 write in your records; is that correct? 3 a clinical setting, it will be the mental healthcare A. Yes, or something equivalent. I might -- I 4 provider making that judgment. If it's in a 4 might write "social" -- if I felt it met threshold 5 population study, it will be one of the scales 5 criteria for addiction, I would write "social media 6 use disorder" or "social media disorder" or "social 6 making that judgment. In my opinion, parents are pretty good at 7 7 media addiction." 8 making that judgment. And often parent concern is If I felt that it was harmful use but not 9 meeting full criteria for addiction, because this is 9 what will drive a youth coming into our clinic to be 10 a spectrum disorder, I might -- I might write 10 evaluated. 11 "compulsive over-engagement with social media, risky Q. And when you say scales are driving that --11 12 that judgment, what do -- what do you mean? What 12 social media use, harmful or problematic social 13 are you referring to when you say "scales"? 13 media use." A. The various validated scales out there to 14 To me, these are all getting at the same 15 assess for social media addiction. 15 basic construct which is that this individual is Q. Of your patients that suffer from an 16 using social media in a way that's ultimately 17 harmful for their physical and/or mental health or 17 addiction, what percentage have you diagnosed with 18 either social media addiction or social media use 18 harmful in their lives in some other way. 19 disorder? Q. It sounds like, though, that there's a --20 A. I haven't counted exactly how many, but if 20 in your view, a distinction between compulsive or 21 I had to estimate, I would say it's probably in our 21 even harmful use of social media and addiction to 22 social media; is that right? 22 clinic population -- well, in the adult population 23 that we see, it's probably about 5 to 10 percent, if A. It's a spectrum and it's a judgment call 23 24 I had to guess. 24 when your compulsive overuse or risky use 25 In our youth population, especially 25 transitions into a use disorder. Page 119 Page 121 1 When we're dealing with a severe social 1 post-COVID, we're seeing very high rates of kids 2 media use disorder, it's quite obvious to all. 2 coming in with social media addiction. I don't know 3 the exact number. Again, if I had to guess, I would 3 Pretty much anybody could identify it as 4 put it maybe somewhere around 20 to 30 percent. 4 problematic. When you get down into the more milder Q. And those -- the youth clinic that you're 6 forms, you know, it can be a judgment call. Whether 6 referring to, in terms of assessing whether someone 7 this has really crossed over into addiction, as you 7 has addiction or not, they're using your definition 8 know or you may know, there is no brain scan or 8 of addiction; right? 9 blood test to diagnose addiction. We base it on 9 A. Who are -- who are you referring to? 10 phenomenology, which is these patterns of behavior 10 Q. Whoever is making the diagnosis in the

11 that are highly recognizable and highly consistent 12 with the same patterns of behavior that we see when 13 people get addicted to drugs and alcohol. 14 Q. Like opioids; right? 15 A. Like opioids, yeah. Q. And so, in your view, social media 17 addiction, to use your term, is the same as opioid 18 addiction; right? 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 20 THE WITNESS: There are many similarities. 21 I didn't say they're exactly the same. There are 22 many similarities. And then the overall gestalt is

Q. When you say "judgment," who makes that

23 centrally the same disease process.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

11 youth clinic that you're referring to --A. Right. Q. -- right? So you talked about how you are involved 15 with the youth clinic --A. Right. Q. -- is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You don't see patients in the youth

20 clinic, though; right? A. No. 21 22 Q. Okay. But presumably, whoever is 23 diagnosing kids who come in for youth clinic is 24 using a particular definition of "social media use 25 disorder" or "social media addiction"; correct?

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

24

Page 122 Page 124 A. They're using the validated, standard Q. Video gaming? 2 definition which I summarize in my report with the 2 A. Yes. Q. Texting? 3 four Cs, tolerance and withdrawal. That's not my 3 4 definition. That definition mirrors definitions 4 A. No. 5 that you will find in the DSM, in -- you know, 5 Q. Working too much? A. So we do see that. It really depends, 6 definitions put forward by the World Health 7 Organization, the EPA, in the medical literature, in 7 though, on the particular person and their 8 circumstance. It's usually not the primary 8 the various scales. Q. We may disagree about that, but we'll get 9 diagnosis. 10 to that in a second. 10 Q. Have you diagnosed someone with that, being 11 addicted to their job? But for whatever it's -- whatever it's A. I don't believe I've diagnosed that. 12 worth, they're using the definition laid out in your 12 13 report; correct? Q. Have you ever given interviews where you've 13 14 said you've been addicted to work? 14 A. Yes. A. I don't remember. 15 Q. Okay. I think in the -- do you -- when you 15 16 diagnose patients with social media addiction or 16 Q. Too many interviews? 17 social media use disorder, do you diagnose them with 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 18 an addiction to a particular type of platform or to 18 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 social media generally? 19 Q. How about addicted to exercising? Diagnose 20 A. We always ask them what platforms they're 20 people with that? 21 using, how much they're using those platforms. So 21 A. Yes. 22 we look at the specific platform, and we look at use 22 Q. Diagnose people -- how about diagnosing 23 more broadly. 23 patients with being addicted to reading books? Q. Would you -- you'd have to write something A. No. Actually, that's not true. I had one 24 25 in the records; right? 25 patient who I'm recalling now was addicted to Page 123 Page 125 1 A. M-hm. 1 romance novels. Q. Do you write "social media use disorder Q. What types of romance novels? 3 addiction," or do you specify addiction to a A. It doesn't matter. It's the -- the overall 4 particular platform? 4 genre, the easy accessibility, the voluminous A. We'll often write both. 5 quantity that's available now. Q. Does -- if you -- does insurance cover Q. How about any other -- we've talked about 7 social media -- you've diagnosed people with 7 patients who come to your clinic for treatment? A. Most of the time. 8 social -- sorry, strike that. 9 O. Does insurance cover treatment for social 9 Excuse me. You've diagnosed patients with 10 media use disorder or addiction? 10 social media use disorder or addiction, and we've A. Typically they will cover those visits. 11 talked about a bunch of other behavioral addictions Q. If you write in your records that someone 12 12 that you've also diagnosed people with. 13 needs treatment for social media use disorder or What other ones have you diagnosed 13 14 patient -- what other behavioral addictions have you 14 social media addiction, will insurance cover that? 15 A. Yes, they'll cover it, at least to my 15 diagnosed patients with? 16 knowledge. 16 A. Those are the main ones. Q. You also diagnosed someone as being Q. Have you diagnosed any of your patients 17 18 with an addiction to online shopping? 18 addicted to water; correct? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I did not formally diagnose that. Again, 20 Q. Online gambling? 20 that was a very particular circumstance of an 21 individual who had a very severe alcohol addiction, 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Pornography? 22 wasn't drinking alcohol, and then used water as a 23 replacement. I have not encountered any other 23 A. Yes. 24 person who used water in that way. 24 Q. Dating apps?

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

In my using that example, I was really

A. Yes.

25

Page 126 1 trying to highlight that once people develop the 2 disease of addiction, they're very vulnerable to 3 getting addicted to other things outside of their 4 specific drug of choice. Q. Is there any type of behavior that someone 6 couldn't get addicted to? A. Sure. 8 Q. Can you give me an example? A. I think a better way for me to answer that 10 than giving specific examples is to just emphasize 11 that the medium is really what matters. And the 12 application of technology and the creation of design 13 features that make these various reinforcing 14 behaviors more potent, more accessible, more

- 15 bountiful, more novel, more uncertain, can turn16 something that isn't necessarily that inherently
- 17 addictive into something that is very addictive,
- 18 including behaviors that we typically think of as
- 19 healthy, like human connection.
- 20 So, you know, friendship in the spirit --
- 21 in -- in the ways in which we mean that term,
- 22 friendship is not addictive; right? Friendship is
- 23 healthy. It's positive.
- 24 But if you take friendship and create a
- 25 medium whereby human connection has now become a

Page 128
1 are gambling in order to get addicted to gambling.

- are gamoning in order to get addicted to gamoning
- $2\,$ You need other people. You need the platform on
- 3 which gambling happens. You need, for example,
- 4 casinos or slot machines, right, or lottery tickets.
 - The digital medium has just expanded and
- 6 made more potent and more accessible many different
- 7 forms of gambling. And I would even argue that
- $8 \; {
 m social \; media \; has \; gamblification \; or \; gamification \; in}$
- 9 it so that it's in many ways very similar to
- 10 gambling. But you're gambling on, you know, what is
- 11 the next social reward that might be coming your
- 12 way.
- 13 Q. With respect to social media addiction or
- 14 social media use disorder, have any of your patients
- 15 ever committed a crime in order to access social
- 16 media?
- 17 A. I'm not sure I know the law well enough to 18 comment on that.
- 19 Q. How about any of your patients ever stolen
- 20 a car in order to be able to access social media?
- 21 A. I don't know of anyone who's stolen a car
- 22 to access social media.
- 23 Q. How about any of your patients ever winding
- 24 up in a dangerous neighborhood in order to access
- 25 social media?

Page 127

- 1 drug, then all of a sudden you've got an addiction
- 2 because we are evolved to make human connections.
- 3 And the way -- the way that our brain gets us to
- 4 making the connections is to make it pleasurable or
- 5 reinforcing so that we want to do it again.
- 6 Q. So your testimony is that social media has
- 7 taken friendship and made it addictive; right?
- 8 MS. McNABB: Objection.
- 9 A. That's right, or at least defendants' 10 platforms.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Defendants' platforms.
- But there could be other social media that
- 13 has done the same thing; right?
- 14 A. There could be, sure.
- 15 Q. Yeah.
- With respect to gambling, right, gambling
- 17 can be on -- people can be addicted to gambling
- 18 online, but they also can be addicted to gambling,
- 19 in your view, outside of the Internet; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And in that instance, that's an example of
- 22 someone actually being addicted to the -- sort of
- 23 the aspect of gambling itself without any sort of
- 24 enhancement from media; correct?
- A. Well, you need to have a place where people

- 1 A. I'm not aware of that, no.
 - Q. Any of your --
- 3 A. Because, really, why would you have to --
- 4 it's -- it's available everywhere. No need to go to
- 5 a specific geographic location to access it.
 - Q. How about any of your patients ever
- 7 physically assaulted someone in person in order to
- 8 access social media?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What happened there?
- 11 A. Frequently kids will become highly
- 12 disregulated if their parents try to restrict their
- 13 access to social media, including trying to assault
- 14 the parents or hurt themselves.
- 15 Q. And when you say that, you're speaking
- 16 about what you've learned from your work in the
- 17 child clinic; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes. So we're -- we have a team-based
- 19 approach to care. We discuss cases.
- 20 Q. In your view, you would consider the
- 21 United States to be an addicted nation; right?
- A. I'm -- have I said that in an interview
- 23 before? Is that why you're asking me that?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- A. Okay. I think that -- that it's clear that

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

Page 129

Page 130 Page 132 Q. -- there's a sentence that states, if you 1 the rates of addiction are increasing in the 2 United States, again, because of our increased 2 turn over to the next page (as read): 3 access to highly potent, reinforcing drugs and 3 "If the behavior is rewarding or 4 behaviors of all sorts. 4 problem-solving, the individual will 5 continue to engage in it, especially Q. And you've also opined that "We've always 6 been addicted to something for as long as our 6 given unlimited access, abundant 7 species has been on the planet"; correct? 7 quantity, high potency, novelty, and A. Yes. But the number of people who are 8 uncertainty." 9 getting addicted is increasing because of the 9 Do you see that? 10 increased -- increased access and the number of 10 A. Yes, I do see that. 11 addictive products that are available today. 11 Q. And it says (as read): Q. Do you stand by that statement, that "We've 12 "Over time, the individual finds it 13 always been addicted to something for as long as our 13 difficult to stop even when they want to, 14 species has been on the planet"? 14 eventually resulting in continued A. Yes. 15 compulsive use despite harm." 15 Q. Do you agree that our brains have -- in 16 Do you see that? 17 your view, our brains have been wired to be 17 A. Yes, I see that. Q. And you stand by those opinions; correct? 18 addicted? 18 A. I would phrase it a little bit differently. 19 A. Yes, I do. 19 20 I would say our brains evolve to reflexively 20 Q. Wouldn't this definition cover spending 21 approach pleasure and avoid pain. And that ancient 21 time with friends? 22 wiring makes us very vulnerable to addiction if we 22 A. No. 23 are in an ecosystem where we don't have to work very 23 Q. Why not? 24 hard to get highly reinforcing substances and A. Because there -- we are social creatures. 24 25 behaviors that never run out. 25 We are evolved to make connections with other Page 131 Page 133 Q. If you turn to page -- let's go to your 1 humans. That's fundamental to our survival. And 2 report, the JCCP report. 2 when we do that, in a healthy way, then that is good 3 A. Yeah. 3 for us. 4 Q. I think it's Exhibit 3. 4 But if then we take that natural reward and 5 If you turn to page 6. And it's the first 5 we adulterate it in some way, like through 6 opinion where you say (as read): 6 defendants' platforms, then we've turned a healthy 7 "Addiction is a chronic, relapsing, 7 human behavior into a potentially addictive drug. 8 and remitting brain disease, as evidenced Q. But who's making this decision -- this 9 by continued, compulsive" --9 distinction between what's healthy and what's not Or excuse me. I apologize. I think it's 10 10 healthy? A. Well, I mean, we as a society are making 11 page 5 --11 12 12 those distinctions. A. Okay. 13 Q. -- of your report. It's the first opinion. Q. Okay. But if we look here, like, just 13 A. Yeah. 14 focus on the language, "if the behavior is rewarding 14 15 15 or problem-solving" -- do you see that? Q. And it says (as read): 16 "Addiction is a chronic, relapsing, 16 A. M-hm. and remitting brain disease, as evidenced Q. Isn't spending time with friends rewarding? 17 17 by continued, compulsive use of a A. Yes. I mean, it's -- it's what we call a 18 18 19 substance or engagement in a behavior, 19 natural reward. 20 despite harmful consequences." Q. Okay. And then "the individual will 20 Do you see that? 21 continue to engage in it," right? 21 22 A. Yes, I do see that. People like spending time with friends, so 22 23 Q. And then if you go to, like, the 1a 23 they want to spend time with friends; correct? 24 A. M-hm. 24 there --25 Q. (As read): 25 A. M-hm.

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

Page 134 Page 136 1 "Over time, the individual finds it A. They're not taking place as much as the 2 difficult to stop even when they want to, 2 negative is taking place. 3 eventually resulting in continued, Q. Have you -- but you've never actually used 4 any of the defendants' platforms except YouTube; 4 compulsive use despite harm." 5 Why wouldn't spending time with friends, 6 which is rewarding -- why wouldn't that then become 6 A. I have studied these platforms. I don't 7 something that some people find difficult to stop 7 need to be a regular consumer of these platforms. 8 even when they want to? Furthermore, in my report itself, speaking MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 9 9 of YouTube, they do their own comparison of good 10 THE WITNESS: Well, you've skipped over the 10 things about using YouTube and bad things about 11 most important distinction, which is "especially 11 using YouTube. YouTube has both positive and 12 given unlimited access, abundant quantity, high 12 negative well-being effects, and they list them. 13 potency, novelty, and uncertainty." 13 And it looks to me like the negative effects that So friendship is typically not unlimited. 14 YouTube itself has found outweigh the positive 15 You have to go outside and find the people. And 15 effects. 16 there are only physically so many people you can 16 So it's always going to be a risk-benefit 17 find and encounter. 17 analysis in a given population of users. And 18 children are a vulnerable population. And it is my 18 Furthermore, friendship takes work. 19 There's give and take. There's disagreements. opinion that the negatives outweigh the positives. 20 There's frustration. You have to negotiate. You Q. And who does that risk-benefit analysis? 21 have to tolerate differences. You can't just swipe 21 A. We're doing it right now as part of this 22 right or swipe left and find a prettier face or 22 litigation. You know, parents are doing it every 23 someone who's more interesting or somebody who 23 day, trying to raise their kids in this environment. 24 Clinicians are doing it. People who are doing 24 agrees with you. 25 Our friendships with real people, in real 25 research are doing it. Page 135 Page 137 1 life, are not necessarily novel. In order to deepen Q. We'll look at some of the documents that 2 those relationships over time, you're going to have 2 you selectively decided to use in your report a 3 to tolerate and encounter boredom and sameness. 3 little bit later, but --4 You're not always get to get newness if you really 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 5 want to maintain a quality friendship through time. 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: You're not going to be able to get Q. We talked about the -- that -- you know, 7 intermittent, positive reinforcement every time you 7 things that you've been addicted to. You mentioned 8 go talk to your friend. Maybe they'll give you some 8 romance novels in the past. 9 negative constructive criticism that you'll have to 9 Anything else that you've been addicted to? 10 take to heart that will be difficult to hear. 10 A. Not to that extent, no. So the essential difference here between 11 Q. The release of dopamine is how we perceive 12 friendship, healthy friendship, is that it has not 12 pleasure; is that right? 13 been adulterated through the digital platform and A. It's intimately involved in the experience 13 14 the specific design features that make it easy to 14 of pleasure, reward, and motivation, yes. 15 access hundreds, if not millions, of people who are Q. And pleasure can come from just about 15 16 going to tell us exactly what we want to hear, 16 anything; right? 17 exactly when we want to hear it. And if we don't MS. McNABB: Objection. 17 18 like what they say, we can just get rid of them and 18 THE WITNESS: Pleasure can come from many 19 find somebody else, et cetera, et cetera. 19 different sources, yes. So there's a real difference between 20 BY MR. ERCOLE:

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

Q. Every form of communication, digital or

22 nondigital, can potentially give someone a dopamine

Q. Even anticipating something pleasurable can

21

24

25

23 release; right?

A. Potentially, yes.

23

24

22 defendants' platforms.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

21 healthy friendship and what is happening online on

Q. Aren't all of those positive and negative

25 things you just described also taking place online?

Page 138 Page 140 1 give you a dopamine release; right? BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Yes. Q. I will do better to make sure that you 3 Q. Like listening to classical music, for 3 finish your response before I -- I respond. And if 4 instance? 4 I step on your toes, I apologize for that. And your A. Yes. 5 5 counsel can yell at me, and I will -- I will, again, 6 Q. Playing with your pet? 6 say I will try to do better. So I apologize for 7 A. Yes. 7 that. 8 Q. Successfully building a shelf in your 8 Are you aware of any study that has 9 house? 9 determined how much dopamine is released by the use 10 A. Sure. 10 of social media? Q. And what makes something addictive is the 11 A. I'm not aware of any study that quantifies 11 12 amount of dopamine the behavior releases in your 12 the absolute levels of dopamine, no. 13 view; right? Q. And by "social media," I mean the 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 14 defendants' platforms here; right? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you say the 15 15 A. Yeah. 16 question again? 16 Q. Will the amount of dopamine released depend 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 on what content is being viewed on social media? 18 O. Yeah, sure. A. I mean, the amount dopamine released is 19 In your view what makes something addictive 19 going to depend on the affinity that a given 20 is the amount of dopamine that the behavior 20 individual has for that particular medium. Some 21 releases; right? 21 people are more responsive to social media and A. Well, we don't diagnose or assess addiction 22 social cues than others. It will depend on the 22 23 based on dopamine release; right? 23 stage of their development. We know that teens are We're not at that state yet where we can 24 more sensitive to social cues than adults in 25 put somebody in a brain scan and say, "Aha, they 25 general. Page 139 Page 141 So it's going to depend on a number of 1 have addiction." 1 But addiction is a brain disease. And we 2 different factors. 3 do know that when something is pleasurable or Q. Are you -- are you aware of any study that 3 4 reinforcing, it will release dopamine. The more 4 shows that the release of dopamine is -- is more 5 dopamine that's released, the faster that it's 5 from -- sorry. Strike that. That's a terribly 6 released, the more likely is that substance or 6 phrased question. 7 behavior to be reinforcing for a given individual. 7 Are you aware of any study showing that 8 O. Right. 8 more dopamine is released from using social media 9 9 than any other form of communication? In your book you write (as read): 10 "Dopamine is used to measure the 10 A. I am aware of studies showing that the 11 addictive potential of any behavior or 11 addictive design elements on defendants' platforms 12 drug." 12 release more dopamine than social media without 13 Right? 13 those addictive design elements; that is to say, I 14 A. That's qualified as that's how 14 am inferring that more activation in the nucleus 15 neuroscientists use it. It's kind of a common 15 accumbens reward circuitry is consistent with 16 currency for measuring the addictive potential of a 16 dopamine release. I think it's reasonable to infer 17 behavior or -- yes, uh-huh. And that's in --17 that. 18 usually in rodent studies. 18 There are studies that I have cited showing 19 that the design elements like the "likes" or the 19 Q. Okay. And you stand by that statement, 20 right --20 "tailored for you" are more reinforcing, that is, A. Yes, I do. 21 21 release more dopamine, than the same image with 22 Q. -- that you wrote in your book? 22 fewer likes or for a general audience. 23 23 Q. So my question is a little bit different 24 (Stenographer admonishment.) 24 than that. 25 (Discussion off the stenographic record.) 25 A. Okay.

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

Page 144 Page 142 Q. Are you aware of any study that shows that 1 at whether or not more dopamine released -- strike 2 the -- that more dopamine released -- sorry. Strike 3 Whether more dopamine is released from a 3 that. 4 like on social media versus a compliment from 4 Are you aware of any study showing that 5 someone in real life? 5 more dopamine is released by virtue of social 6 interaction through social media versus social A. No. But I do cite to studies showing that 7 more activation in the reward pathway, a/k/a more 7 media -- social interaction in person? 8 dopamine release, occurs when a picture is liked by A. No. And that would be a very difficult 9 study to design because I don't know how you would 9 others than a picture that is not liked by others. 10 Q. Sure. 10 interact with a person in an fMRI machine. 11 But I guess my question is a little bit Q. Are you aware of any study showing that 12 the -- that more dopamine is released from the use 12 more basic. And I -- look, I just don't have the 13 scientific background here, so I'm still trying to 13 of social media than other behaviors like exercise? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 14 get all this up to speed. 15 But my understanding is, like, the -- sort 15 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any study 16 of the key here is dopamine release; right? That's 16 comparing dopamine release between social media and 17 an important part of the addiction equation? 17 exercise. A. Dopamine is a way to study the brain 18 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 19 changes that occur when people go from adaptive 19 Q. How about -- are you aware of any study 20 recreational use to maladaptive addictive use. 20 comparing dopamine release on social media to 21 dopamine released through any other behavior outside 21 O. Okay. 22 22 of social media? A. So it's a way to try to get at what is 23 going on in the brain. It's not the only way to do 23 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: Give me one second to look at 24 that, but it's -- it's, again, become a -- kind of a 25 common currency for researchers to study that 25 my report here. Page 143 Page 145 1 So on page 16 of my report, I cite to a 1 phenomenon in the brain's reward pathway. 2 study by Izuma, et al., which is basically I'm Q. If I pay my brother, for instance, 3 looking at or comparing brain stimulation involved 3 hypothetically --4 in a task related to acquiring a good reputation and 4 A. Yes. 5 comparing that to the part of the brain that gets Q. -- pay my brother a compliment and say, 6 activated during monetary reward. 6 "Hey, surprisingly, you look nice today," and 7 So essentially that you have a social 7 "surprisingly" is the key adjective in that 8 reward being compared to a monetary reward and 8 particular phrase --9 finding that a good reputation activated 9 A. Okay. 10 reward-related brain areas and overlapped with the Q. -- there -- his brain is going to release 10 11 areas activated by monetary rewards. 11 some dopamine, right, because that's a pleasurable

12 BY MR. ERCOLE: 13 Q. Okay. Any other studies? 14 A. Not that I'm aware of right now.

17 brain when someone acquires a good reputation;

16 referenced was a study involving what happens to the

Q. Okay. And the study that you just

19

A. Yes, which is very relevant to social media 20 because a lot of what people are going for on social

21 media is social validation, enhanced reputation.

22 And the medium allows for that through the addictive

23 design elements, like the likes and the rankings,

24 the shares, the comments.

Q. Are you aware of any study that has looked

12 response, I would think?

A. We can infer that, yes, his brain is

14 releasing dopamine in response to that compliment

15 if -- if it felt good to him and it wasn't delivered

16 in some kind of sarcastic way or, you know, a

17 million times or what have you.

Q. Is the -- is the -- which is a good

19 clarification, given my relationship with my

20 brother.

21 But is the same -- if someone goes online

22 and they -- someone pays them a compliment on one of

23 the -- one of the defendants' social media

24 platforms, based upon what you're saying,

25 inferentially there will be some release of dopamine

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

Page 148 Page 146 1 as well in response to that compliment; correct? 1 everything has become drugified in some way. Again, A. Yeah. That's why -- that's why it feels 2 I use that word to address the characteristics that 3 good. 3 make something addictive or accessible, more potent, 4 Q. Yeah. 4 more novel, more bountiful, et cetera. 5 Is more dopamine released when I pay my BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 brother a compliment in person versus online, or is 6 Q. Let's turn to your CV. 7 more released online versus when I pay my brother a 7 Let's turn to your CV, which is Exhibit 1 8 compliment in person? 8 to your report, which I believe is Exhibit 3 for A. So based on the behaviors that we see when 9 purposes of the record. 10 people engage in interactions online, I believe that MS. McNABB: Exhibit 4 is the updated CV. 10 11 we can infer, although there are no studies showing MR. ERCOLE: Oh, okay. We can use that 11 12 this specifically, that there's more dopamine 12 one. 13 released on those online interactions in general 13 Thank you. BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 because of the medium itself. 14 Q. Okay. You can't point me to a study saying 15 Q. Let's turn to page 4 of this document, 16 that? 16 which is on the bottom LEMBKE -0012. 17 A. No. 17 This, I think, is Exhibit 4, Dr. Lembke. 18 Q. Okay. You've opined in various -- strike 18 A. I think I'm looking at Exhibit 4, the 19 that. 19 federal report, the May report. You've done a lot of podcasts; right, 20 20 Q. It's -- it's not. I would use --21 Mrs. Lembke? 21 A. Okay. 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. Please use the -- the exhibit that we gave 23 Q. I apologize. Dr. Lembke. 23 you, just for consistency here. 24 A. Yes. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. You've opined in those podcasts that you 25 Q. Thank you. Page 147 Page 149 1 believe someone can be addicted to pain too; is that A. Yeah, you're welcome. 2 correct? Q. So on page 4 there's something that says 2 3 "Medical Licensure and Specialty Board 3 A. We do see that, yes. Q. And in your clinical practice, you view 4 Certification." 5 your job as not to take away all of your patient's 5 Do you see that? 6 pain and suffering, but to make that suffering 6 A. Yeah. 7 tolerable so that they can still find a life worth 7 Q. Okay. What is the American Board of 8 living; is that right? 8 Addiction Medicine? 9 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. Foundation. A. The American Board of Addiction Medicine is 10 THE WITNESS: I'd like to see the -- the 10 a subspecialty certification board that was created 11 context in which I said that. I think that would be 11 before addiction medicine was recognized as a 12 important. 12 medical specialty in order to certify a level of 13 13 expertise in that area. BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 Q. Do you believe that we as a society have a Q. You are no longer board certified in 15 phobia of pain? 15 addiction medicine; correct? MS. McNABB: Same objection. 16 A. That is incorrect. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I have said that, yes. 17 Q. Okay. A. That -- the American Board of Addiction 18 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 Medicine no longer exists because it's been 19 Q. Do you believe that people should seek out 20 and invite pain into our lives, physical pain and 20 preempted by the American Board of Preventive 21 emotional pain? 21 Medicine, which took under its umbrella the 22 addiction medicine boards once addiction medicine 22 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 23 THE WITNESS: I say that in the broader 23 became recognized as a medical subspecialty. 24 context of the unique challenges that I think we 24 Q. Does the American Board of Addiction 25 modern humans face living in a world where almost 25 Medicine still have a website?

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

Page 150 A. It may well do. I think it now continues

- 2 to serve as a certification for individuals who did
- 3 not go on to get their American Board of Preventive
- 4 Medicine boards.
- But today, for example, when fellows
- 6 graduate from our addiction medicine fellowship,
- 7 they will sit for their addiction medicine boards as
- 8 sponsored by the American Board of Preventive
- 9 Medicine within the broader house of medical
- 10 subspecialties.
- Q. So if I type your name into the website,
- 12 look out for the American Board of Addiction
- 13 Medicine, it won't appear then; correct?
- A. I don't know. I didn't know they still had 15 a website. If you contact them and ask them what --
- 16 was I certified between these dates, they will
- 17 certainly affirm that that is the case.
- Q. And how about for the American Board of
- 19 Psychiatry and Neurology? Do you -- are you -- do
- 20 you remain board certified there?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. When did you get your -- it says you were
- 23 recertified February 18th, 2013.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 151

- Q. And that usually lasts ten years, I think? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. When did you recertify?
- A. I recertified in 2013. 4
- 5 Q. And then how -- right. It usually lasts
- 6 ten years.
- 7 A. M-hm.
- 8 O. So have you recertified since then?
- 9 A. I recertified this past year, yes.
- Q. Did you recertify a couple of days ago? 10
- A. I've done -- I don't remember when -- yeah,
- 12 I guess I probably haven't updated this. I probably
- 13 need to update this.
- Q. Okay. 14
- 15 A. Thank you.
- Q. But you would have recertified a couple of
- 17 days before this deposition; right?
- A. No. I recertified last year.
- 19 I think that there was -- because of COVID,
- 20 they delayed the year in which you had to recertify.
- 21 So they -- there was a grace period, and I did it
- 22 last year.
- 23 Q. Okay. You are not board certified in child
- 24 or adolescent psychiatry; correct?
- A. That is correct.

Page 152

- Q. If you go to page 11 of this document. I
- 2 think it's 11.
- So it's LEMBKE 0- -- LEMBKE -19 on the
- 4 bottom right.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. It says, "Current Funding."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the -- the first entry is for funding
- 10 by the Stanford Institute for Human Centered
- 11 Artificial Intelligence?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And it's something with the title "Addicted
- 14 by Design"?
- A. M-hm. 15
- Q. What's the status of this project? 16
- 17 A. It's ongoing.
- 18 Q. What are you studying?
- A. Exactly what it says. Trying to contribute 19
- 20 to the literature on addictive media -- media
- 21 platforms.
- 22 Q. And does that include the defendants'
- 23 platforms in this case?
- 24 A. It would include the defendants' platforms.
- 25 Q. And when did funding begin in this case?

Page 153

- A. Funding began in February 2023. But it's
- 2 been greatly delayed because of personnel changes,
- 3 not in my department, but over in the Human Centered
- 4 Artificial Intelligence side of things.
- 5 Q. And when did you start work in this case?
- A. I started work February of 2023.
- 7 Q. Same -- same month you started your work
- 8 for this grant; right?
- A. The work on this grant hasn't really begun.
- 10 We were -- we got the grant on February 2023, but
- 11 we've really not begun this particular project.
- Q. What's the objective? 12
- A. The objective was to better understand the 13
- 14 addictive design elements of various forms of
- 15 digital media.
- Q. You understand that documents produced in
- 17 this case that you've reviewed are confidential;
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. How will you be able to separate what you
- 21 learn in this case that's confidential from your
- 22 work in connection with this project?
- 23 A. Well, your question suggests and implies
- 24 that what I've learned from confidential documents 25 would help me understand the addictive design

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

Page 154 Page 156 1 elements. So I appreciate that question. Q. You have not generated any peer-reviewed 2 scholarship that has analyzed the effect of social 2 I will have no problem differentiating 3 media on adolescent mental health; correct? 3 that. A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. Let's go to your peer-reviewed information. Q. You have not generated any peer-reviewed 5 I think it's page 14, which I think is LEMBKE -22 on 5 6 the bottom. 6 scholarship that analyzes the effect of social media 7 on anyone; correct? 7 Your book Dopamine Nation was not peer 8 A. That is correct. 8 reviewed; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Have you been involved in any longitudinal Q. The peer reviewed -- you then follow a 10 study or experimental study that's attempted to 10 11 category called "Peer-Reviewed Online Stanford CME 11 assess mental health outcomes from social media use? 12 Courses." A. I'm currently at the beginning stages of a 13 study in our recovery clinic, but it's just getting 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 14 underway. We're still getting IRB approval. (Stenographer interrupted for clarification Q. None of those courses are specific to 15 15 16 of the record.) 16 social media; right? BY MR. ERCOLE: A. That is correct. 17 17 18 Q. You then have something saying -- category 18 Q. You haven't started that study yet; 19 correct? 19 called "Peer-Reviewed Original Research Articles." 20 A. Yes. 20 A. No. Q. You also list on page, I think it's 41 a 21 Q. Do you see that? 21 22 number of media appearances that you've done from 22 A. Yes. 23 2015 to the present. Q. None of your peer-reviewed original 23 24 24 research articles focus on social or digital media; Do you see that? 25 A. Yes. This is an incomplete list, just 25 correct? Page 155 Page 157 A. That is incorrect. 1 because I stopped tracking. 1 Q. Okay. Which one does? Q. So many media appearances you couldn't A. So if you go to page -- page 18, No. 38, 3 track them? 4 this is a study that we did looking at social media A. Yes. 4 5 platforms as a way to monitor the opioid crisis, 5 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 6 looking specifically at which social media platforms BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 could be surveilled in order to see the harms caused Q. There are -- were some of these 8 by opioids. 8 appearances, these media appearances, coordinated as Q. Okay. None of your peer-reviewed original 9 part of a media tour to promote your book 10 research articles focused on addiction to social or 10 Dopamine Nation? 11 digital media; correct? 11 A. Some of them, yes. 12 A. That is correct. 12 Q. Did -- as part of that media tour, did you Q. None of your -- and if you go to -- like, 13 go on podcasts to talk about your book? 14 there's something that says below that A. That was mostly organic, people reaching 15 "Peer-Reviewed Perspectives, Case Reports, and 15 out to me directly, not coordinated by my publisher. 16 Reviews." Q. Are you aware that many, if not all, of 17 your video podcast appearances were uploaded to and 17 Do you see that? 18 through YouTube? A. Yes, I do. 18 Q. None of your Peer-Reviewed Perspectives, 19 A. Yes. 20 Case Reports, and Reviews have focused on social or 20 Q. Did you do that? 21 digital media; correct? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you give them permission to do that? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. None of your peer-reviewed book chapters 23 A. That's -- yes. 24 have focused on social or digital media; correct? 24 Q. Was -- did YouTube play a role in your 25 ability to promote your book? A. Correct.

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

Page 158

CONFIDENTIAL

5

A. Yes.

2 Q. Did you put any warning on the podcasts,

- 3 that watching them could be addictive?
- A. No.

1

- Q. Do you think you should ask for those 5
- 6 videos to be pulled down from YouTube?
- A. No. Because, again, it's not really the
- 8 content. It's the medium itself. And we're talking
- 9 about kids, not adults.
- Q. Kids can't watch your podcast?
- A. I don't -- I'm not saying that. But I'm 11
- 12 saying in general, when we think about the harms of
- 13 YouTube, I think we need to focus specifically on
- 14 the vulnerable subset, which is kids and teens.
- Q. Do you think social media should be banned?
- A. No. 16
- 17 Q. Do you think social media should be banned
- 18 for children under -- strike that.
- Do you think social media should be banned 19
- 20 for individuals under 18?
- A. No, not necessarily. I mean, I think we
- 22 need to look at each platform individually.
- 23 Q. Do you think any platforms -- strike that.
- 24 Do you think any of the defendants'
- 25 platforms should be banned for individuals under 18?
- Page 161
- A. What do you mean by "banned"? You mean
- 2 them not allowed to access it?
- O. Yes. 3
- A. Yes, I -- but I wouldn't put the age 4
- 5 necessarily at 18.
- Q. Where would you put the age?
- A. I'm not sure. I would certainly put it at 7
- 8 least at 13 and possibly at 16.
- Q. And for which platforms would you do that?
- 10 A. For all of the defendants' platforms,
- 11 certainly.
- Q. So for all of the defendants' platforms,
- 13 you -- your view would be that no one under 16
- 14 should be able to access them; is that fair?
- A. I didn't say that. I said I'm considering
- 16 16, but certainly 13.
- Q. Okay. So you're considering 16, but you
- 18 haven't formed a view on whether or not someone
- 19 who's under 16 should be able to access the
- 20 defendants' platforms?
- A. I have formed a view that kids under the 21
- 22 age of 13, that they probably shouldn't be able to
- 23 access the platforms because the harms outweigh the
- 24 goods.
- Q. And you're still making that decision for

- Page 160 1 minors between the ages of, I guess, 13 and 16 --
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 O. -- is that fair?
- 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.
 - BY MR. ERCOLE:
- 6 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert in
- 7 digital technology?
- A. It depends what you mean by "expert in
- digital technology." 9
- 10 I do hold myself out as an expert in the
- 11 phenomenology of social media use, social media
- 12 addiction, problematic use, and other mental health
- 13 and physical health harms related to social media
- 14 use.
- 15 Q. How about, do you hold yourself or consider
- 16 yourself to be an expert in product design?
- A. I do when it comes to social media because
- 18 I have expertise in the specific design elements
- 19 that go into making the medium addictive.
- Q. What expertise do you have into the design 20
- 21 elements of social media?
- 22 A. I've been studying them for going on
- 23 15 years. And as I talk about in my report, there
- 24 are many different design features that contribute
- 25 to the addictive nature of defendants' platforms.
- Page 159
- Q. Do you have -- do you hold yourself out as
- 2 an expert in the design of any other product beyond
- 3 social media? A. I'm an expert in the design of various
- 5 opioids and other drugs.
- Q. So, you know, about any other product
- 7 beyond opioids or social media platforms?
- A. No. I -- I guess maybe I would say digital
- 9 media. I would expand it to digital media
- 10 platforms. 11
- Q. You're an expert on the design of them?
- 12 A. Again, it depends what you mean by 13 "design."
- 14 I do feel I have expertise specifically in
- 15 what makes a platform addictive or not.
- Q. Do you have expertise in algorithms?
- A. Only to the extent that I believe that the 17
- 18 algorithms contribute to the addictive design of
- 19 defendants' platforms.
- Q. Do you -- are you an expert in how 20
- 21 algorithms are designed and created?
- 22 A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any engineering background or
- 24 training or specialization?
- A. No.

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

23

Page 162 Page 164 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert as to You didn't -- you did not do a 2 what designs -- strike that. 2 Bradford Hill analysis in your JCCP report; correct? Do you hold yourself out as an expert for A. That is correct. 4 what platform designs may be feasible or not Q. You are not offering any opinions in this 4 5 feasible? 5 case on what warnings, if any, should accompany the A. I don't understand the question. 6 use of social -- a social media platform; right? 7 A. No, that's incorrect. Q. Sure. 8 Do you understand that when you're 8 Q. You are offering an opinion on warnings in 9 designing a platform, some -- some features and 9 this case? 10 designs may be feasible and some features may not be 10 A. Yes. 11 feasible? Q. Okay. Well, where in your report do you 11 12 offer any opinion on -- on what warnings, if any, 12 A. I understand that. 13 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert in 13 should accompany social media platforms? 14 that space? A. Well, I don't discuss that in my report, A. No. 15 but I have opinions on warnings. I thought that was 15 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert as to 16 your question. 17 the features of social media platforms? Q. You're not -- my question was more, in this 18 particular case, are you offering an opinion on A. Yes. 19 that? 19 Q. And that's based upon you studying it? 20 20 A. I mean, if I'm asked my opinion on that, A. Yes. 21 Q. And you hold yourself out as an expert as 21 I'm happy to discuss my opinion. 22 to the effects of social media usage; is that right? Q. In your report itself, you don't offer any 22 23 23 opinions on that; right? 24 A. I don't believe so. I think I do reference 24 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert in 25 sleep disorders? 25 the Surgeon General's report recommending warnings Page 163 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 1 on social media for kids, and I agree with that 2 Q. Eating disorders? 2 recommendation. But I don't think I explicitly say 3 in my report that I agree with that recommendation. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Body dysmorphia? 4 But I might. If you give me a moment, I'll take a 5 5 look. A. Yes. Q. Self-harm? Actually, I think it will take me too much 6 7 A. Yes. 7 time to find it now. I'm happy to go do that at the Q. ADHD? 8 9 A. Yes. 9 Q. You're not giving an opinion on whether or 10 Q. Autism? 10 not defendants' social media platforms did or did 11 A. Yes. 11 not meet industry standards; right? 12 Q. Bipolar disorder? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. You're not giving any opinion on whether 13 Q. Do you know what a -- Dr. Lembke, do you 14 there's some safer or alternative design to 15 know what a Bradford Hill analysis is? 15 defendants' platforms; right? A. Yes. 16 A. That's incorrect. 16 17 O. What is it? 17 Q. What safer or alternative design opinion A. It's a way of looking at causality. It's a 18 are you giving in this case? 18 19 method for assessing causality. A. I specifically address the design elements

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

20 that make defendants' platforms addictive. And so

Q. So what safer design are you advocating

21 the natural conclusion from there is that a safer

22 design would eliminate or greatly reduce those

24

25 for?

23 elements.

Q. And how do you do that?

24 causing a given disease process.

Q. Did you -- strike that.

A. There are multiple criteria within

23 the relationship between exposure to a toxin is

22 Bradford Hill that you evaluate to determine whether

20

21

Page 166 Page 168 1 A. Well, let's start with access. I think it A. M-hm. 2 probably best if we go to my report on this section. 2 Q. Do you see that? Again, to clarify, I don't specifically 3 What does "TR" mean? 4 have a section where I'm talking about "These are 4 A. Text revision. 5 the safer design elements that I recommend." 5 Q. Okay. And so the DSM-5 was published in Q. Well, how about this: Are you -- is there 6 2013, I think; is that right? 7 a particular design that you articulate in your A. I believe so, yes. 8 report that defendants should be using going Q. And then it was updated at various times 8 9 forward? 9 since then: correct? 10 A. I don't specifically address that in the A. I think just once since then in 2021 or 11 report. I have opinions about that. I think my 11 2022 -- March 2022. 12 report strongly implies what my recommendations 12 Q. And can you read -- this is the -- what the 13 would be. I'm happy to answer questions about 13 American Psychiatric Association says. Can you read 14 those. But it's not in my report. 14 what the first sentence says? MR. ERCOLE: Okay. Do you -- it's 12 --A. (As read): 15 15 16 12:15. Do you want to stop and take a break, or 16 "The Diagnostic and Statistical 17 would you prefer to keep going? 17 Manual of Mental Disorders is the THE WITNESS: I'm happy to keep going. authoritative guide to the diagnosis of 18 18 19 (Discussion off the stenographic record.) 19 mental disorders for health care 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 20 professionals around the world." 21 Q. Are you familiar with the Diagnostic and 21 Q. And you disagree with that? 22 Statistical Manual published by the American A. It is one of, you know, several documents 22 23 Psychiatric Association? 23 that we use. But I think most psychiatrists take 24 A. Yes. 24 the DSM with a grain of salt, knowing that it's 25 25 highly flawed, that it's liable to be influenced by Q. And that currently is, I guess, Page 167 Page 169 1 colloquially referred to as "DSM-5"; is that right? 1 industry, that at one point one of the diagnoses was A. Yes. 2 homosexuality. That's no longer included. So, you know, it's sort of the best we Q. Okay. And is DSM-5 the authoritative guide 3 4 to the diagnosis of mental disorders for healthcare 4 have, but it's by no means the end all and be all of 5 professionals around the world? 5 how to diagnose mental disorders. A. No. Q. It goes -- this is the American 7 Q. Are you a member of the American 7 Psychiatrics Association. It also goes on to say 8 (as read): 8 Psychiatric Association? A. I think so. I might have let my most 9 "Clinicians use DSM to accurately and 10 recent membership lapse, but I have been a member 10 consistently diagnose disorders affecting 11 for many years. 11 mood, personality, identity, cognition, 12 MR. ERCOLE: Sorry. 12 and more." 13 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is this an exhibit? 13 Do you see that? 14 MR. ERCOLE: Yeah, let's mark this as 14 A. Yes, I see that. 15 Q. Is that accurate in your view? 15 Exhibit --THE STENOGRAPHER: Six. 16 A. I mean, I think it's a common language that 16 17 we use. Whether or not, you know, what the DSM is 17 MR. ERCOLE: -- 6. 18 getting at is the most accurate representation of 18 (Marked for identification purposes, 19 Lembke Exhibit 6.) 19 what's happening in terms of mental health in a 20 20 given time and place, I think -- again, it's a BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 highly flawed document but sort of what we are 21 O. So this is a document from the American 22 using. 22 Psychiatric Association, Dr. Lembke. 23 Do you see that? 23 And if you were going to interview a 24 A. Yeah, I do. 24 psychiatrist and they were going to be totally Q. And it refers to "DSM-5-TR." 25 honest with you about that, they would admit that

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

2 450	5 45
Page 170	Page 172
	1 "Addiction is a chronic, relapsing
2 Q. And you do you use the DSM-5 in your	and remitting disease with a behavioral
3 practice?	component characterized by neuroadaptive
4 A. Yes, we do. It's not the only thing we	brain changes resulting from exposure to
5 use, but we we do use it.	5 addictive drugs."
6 Q. DSM-5 and its various updates do not use	6 Correct?
7 the term "addiction" at all; right?	7 A. Yes.
8 A. That is correct.	8 Q. Okay. There's nothing in there about
9 Q. Instead, they use the term "substance use	9 exposure to particular behavior or anything like
10 disorder" or "use disorder"; right?	10 that; right? You refer to addictive drugs?
11 A. Correct.	11 A. Yes. But that's because this was pursuant
12 Q. And you use at least before this	12 to opioid litigation.
13 litigation, you used the word "addiction" as	13 Q. Okay.
14 synonymous with the DSM's language for substance use	14 A. It wasn't relevant to this case.
15 disorder; right?	15 Q. But you were trying to give a complete
16 A. Yes. I still do.	16 definition of what addiction is; right?
17 Q. And the DSM-5 has nothing in it that	17 A. I was giving an almost identical definition
18 officially recognizes a condition called "social	18 to what I have in the social media litigation
19 media addiction" or "use disorder"; right?	19 report. But because this wasn't pertaining to
20 A. That's correct.	20 behaviors, which we know can be addictive, I didn't
21 MR. ERCOLE: Sorry. Just give me one	21 include it here.
22 second.	But I include reference to DSM as a source,
23 THE WITNESS: It's okay. Take your time.	23 which includes gambling disorder and Internet gaming
24 BY MR. ERCOLE:	24 provisionally. I included reference to the ASAM
25 Q. You've in expert reports that you've	25 definition, which makes it very clear that you can
 submitted, Dr. Lembke, you've defined "addiction" in a way that does not include any behavioral addiction; right? A. Can you show me specifically what you're referring to? Q. Sure. Let's do this: Let's mark this as Exhibit 7. 	 1 get addicted to behaviors as well as to substances. 2 So my definition of addiction hasn't 3 changed between the two reports. 4 Q. So your testimony, just to be clear, is 5 that your definition of addiction as stated here is 6 the same as your definition of addiction in the 7 report that you've offered in this case? 8 A. Substantively they're almost identical.
9 (Marked for identification purposes, 10 Lembke Exhibit 7.)	9 yes.10 Q. Well, one allows for the concept of a
11 BY MR. ERCOLE:	11 behavioral addiction, this case. But the one that
12 Q. Dr. Lembke, is this a declaration that you	12 you submitted in the opioid litigation doesn't
13 submitted in one of the opioid litigation cases?	13 address that at all.
14 A. It looks like it, yes. 15 Q. Okay. And you would have signed this	J
	15 and prior testimony.
16 document at the end; is that right?	THE WITNESS: Is there a question?
17 A. Yes, I did.	17 BY MR. ERCOLE:
18 Q. And it was dated April 24th, 2022; right?	18 Q. Yeah.
19 If you look at the the last page.	I mean, isn't that correct? Is there
20 A. Ah. Yes.	20 where so let's turn to paragraph 2. (As read):
Q. And this was in connection with this report	21 "Addiction is the continued use of a
22 that you gave a definition of of "addiction" in	substance despite harm to self and others
23 this litigation case; right?	and a desire to quit or cut back."
24 A. Yes, I did.	Do you see that?
25 Q. Okay. And Opinion 1 states (as read):	25 A. Yes, I do.

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

Page 174 Q. Okay. And there's -- here you're referring 1 work of over 200 subject-matter experts? 2 to continued use of a substance; right? A. I don't know. A. Because this was pursuant to opioid 3 4 litigation. So the behavioral addictions were not 5 relevant to this case. Q. And in the report that you're giving here 7 in this particular case, you've -- your definition 7 that? 8 is (as read): 8 A. I'm sorry. Where is that 200 "Addiction is a chronic, relapsing 10 and remitting brain disease, as evidenced 11 by continued, compulsive use of a substance or engagement in a behavior, 12 A. Oh, yes. I see that now. 12 13 despite harmful consequences." Q. Any reason to dispute that? 13 14 Right? 14 A. No. 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. There's no reference "or engagement in a 16 17 behavior" whatsoever in the definition of 18 "addiction" you gave throughout the opioid 19 litigation; right? 20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates prior --21 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 22 THE WITNESS: Again, because it wasn't 23 relevant. 24 If you had -- if you had asked me at the 24 expertise. 25 time that I wrote the opioid litigation, do I 25 Page 175 1 believe people can get addicted to behaviors? I 2 certainly would have said, yes. So by admitting it here, I was not changing 3 thinking about social media. 4 my definition. It was just a different emphasis 5 because this is a different case. BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 Q. And you go on in that paragraph to 8 reference the DSM-5; right? 8 revise the existing text. A. Yes, I do. Yes. 9 Q. And, again, DSM-5 contains no reference to 10 of 2022; right? 11 social media addiction or social media use disorder; 11 12 right? 12 text revision in 2022 globally. 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 13 14 answered. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 updating the existing text. 16 Q. Correct? 17 September of 2023, too; right? 17 A. Correct. Q. Okay. In your report you attribute that to 18 18 19 the fact that the DSM-5 was issued in 2013; right? 19 A. I don't know. A. I don't think that's a fair 20 21 update in September of 2024? 21 characterization of why it's not included. Q. DSM-5-TR was published in March of 2022; 22 A. I don't know. 23 right?

Page 176

- Q. If the American Psychiatric Association was
- 4 recognizing that over 200 subject-matter experts
- 5 were involved in the development of DSM-5-TR per the
- 6 exhibit we just looked at, any reason to dispute
- 9 subject-matter experts? I'm not seeing that.
- Q. It says, "The development of DSM-5-TR."
- 11 It's the second sentence underneath that category.
- Q. And even after 200 subject-matter experts
- 16 were evaluating these issues in connection with that
- 17 publication, there was no recognition of social
- 18 media addiction or social media use disorder; right?
- A. So just to be clear, I highly doubt that
- 20 the 200 subject-matter experts were debating the
- 21 inclusion or exclusion of social media use disorder.
- 22 Typically when the DSM is being revised, they will
- 23 break off into work groups that have subject-matter
- So it wouldn't be that 200 people were

Page 177

- 1 thinking about social media. It would be that --
- 2 the subset of individuals who might have been
- But I would also say that the text revision
- 5 is just that, primarily an opportunity to revise the
- 6 2013 edition, although there can be space to
- 7 introduce new diagnoses. Typically it's a time to
- Q. Well, there was another update in September
- A. There may have been. And I'm aware of one
- But the point -- my point still stands that
- 14 the text revision is primarily for clarifying and
- Q. There was another update of the DSM-5-TR in
- - Do you know one way or the other?
- Q. Do you know whether there was another
- 23 Q. Do you know whether in connection with all
- 24 of those updates there were new diagnoses that were
- 25 added?

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Do you know, did that involve the

24

25

Page 178 Page 180 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 1 A. Yeah. 2 THE WITNESS: I believe that there were 2 Q. Based -- sorry. Based upon your 3 some new diagnoses added, yes. 3 interpretation of the defendants' data; is that BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 correct? 4 Q. And one was considered prolonged -- one was 5 5 A. Yes. 6 prolonged grief disorder was added; right? 6 Q. Okay. Any other source that you have for A. Yes, I am aware of that. 7 what percentage of daily social media users are 8 addicted to social media, in your view? 8 O. Social media addiction, social media use A. Yes. If we look at the WHO study that was 9 disorder was never added as a recognized disorder by 10 the DSM-5 and the experts investigating that issue; 10 done, the WHO study found that --11 right? 11 Q. I hate to interrupt you --12 A. That is true. But there's been a surge in 12 A. Oh, sorry. 13 research and evidence since that date. 13 Q. -- but what page are you on? Q. Since September of 2024? A. This is page 10 of my report. 14 A. Well, certainly since 2022. 15 So the WHO study using the Social Media 15 16 Disorder Scale found that problematic social media Q. Have you submitted a proposal to make 17 changes to the DSM-5? 17 use, a/k/a addictive social media or social media A. No. 18 addiction, increased from 7 percent in 2018 to 18 19 19 11 percent in 2022. Those are just two potential Q. Could you do that? 20 A. There's certainly a process to petition. 20 sources. 21 Q. Does the international classification of 21 O. So I think the numbers you've given are 22 diseases by the World Health Organization recognize 22 3 percent, 7 percent, 11 percent, or 50 percent; 23 a condition called "social media addiction"? 23 right? 24 24 A. Not yet. A. It's a wide range, clearly. 25 25 Q. Any other sources for the percent -- in Q. Or it also doesn't recognize any condition Page 179 Page 181 1 called "social media use disorder"; right? 1 your view, the percentage of daily users of social 2 media who are allegedly -- (inaudible) 2 A. Not yet. (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 3 Q. Are you aware of any colleagues who have 4 of the record.) 4 submitted a proposal to the American Psychiatric 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 Association to change the DSM-5 to include social Q. Any other sources in your view of -- that 6 media use disorder or social media addiction? 7 would reflect the percentage of daily users of 7 A. No. 8 MR. ERCOLE: Why don't we pause. Why don't 8 social media who are allegedly addicted to social 9 media? 9 we take a break now. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:28. 10 A. So TikTok internal documents found that 10 11 approximately 7 percent of active minors at 11 We're off the record. 12 nighttime were excessive users. Excessive users 12 (Recess taken from 12:28 to 1:04.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:04. We're 13 isn't exactly the same thing as addicted users, but 13 14 back on the record. 14 it's certainly a strong indicator of risk for 15 addictive use. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 And 4.8 percent of active minors at daytime Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Lembke. 16 Dr. Lembke, what percentage in your view --17 are excessive users, which, as I say in my report, 17 18 translates to 900,000 teens using TikTok excessively 18 strike that. 19 In your view, what percentage of daily 19 at nighttime and 500,000 during the day. Q. So apart from the company documents you're 20 social media users are addicted to social media? 20 21 looking at and the WHO study you referenced, any A. I don't know. I mean, if we go by 22 other sources that inform your understanding of this 22 defendants' data, you know, it's somewhere between 3 23 and 50 percent. 23 percentage question? 24 A. I mean, there are other documents I Q. That's based upon the defendants' data; is 25 reviewed that address this. I'm not specifically 25 that correct?

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

Page 182 Page 184 1 recalling them now. But in general, my reading of 1 into these terms. 2 the literature is that the amount is a fairly wide So I think you -- you referenced -- and 3 range depending upon the source, but it's certainly 3 tell me if I get this wrong. I want to make sure 4 not an insignificant percentage. 4 I'm not misquoting you -- but, like, excessive use, Q. The WHO study that you referenced did not 5 problematic use, and addiction, three separate 6 look at any usage in the United States; right? 6 concepts; correct? A. WHO study ... A. No, they're not separate. They're related. 7 I'm not remembering whether or not that 8 And different sources will use these terms in 8 9 included the United States. They relied on the 9 different ways, so it really depends on what source 10 Social Media Disorder Scale. 10 we're looking at. Q. Well, just the title of the article itself So when you're talking about problematic 11 12 use, specifically Meta defines problematic use. And 12 says, right (as read): 13 I reference that on page 25 of my report. And their 13 "Focus on adolescent social media use and gaming in Europe, Central Asia, and 14 definition of problematic use has significant 14 15 Canada"? 15 overlap with the diagnostic criteria for social 16 media addiction or social media use disorder. It's 16 A. Okay. 17 I don't recall if they included the 17 not identical, but it's quite similar. Q. So the -- so problematic use, though, you 18 United States. 18 Q. You referenced a lot of different terms in 19 said is not identical but similar to how you define 20 your answer. I think "excessive use." You used --20 social media addiction; right? 21 you also referenced "problematic use." And then you 21 A. The way that I use "problematic use" is 22 referenced "addiction." 22 more similar than not to how I define social media 23 Do you recall just doing that now --23 addiction. But other sources may use it differently 24 A. Yes. 24 in different contexts. 25 25 Q. Okay. And what's the difference between Q. -- in your answer? Page 183 Page 185 1 A. Yes. 1 how Meta defines "problematic use" in at least some Q. So what's the difference between excessive 2 of the documents you looked at and how you define 3 "addiction"? 3 use and problematic use? A. Well, first of all, all of these different 4 A. What is the difference? 5 terms refer to a range of harms that can happen. I 5 Q. Yeah. 6 think it's important to recognize that even if an A. The main difference, I would say, is that 7 individual is not meeting threshold criteria for 7 if you look at the four C's out of control use, 8 addiction, they still may be engaging in a way that 8 compulsive use, craving, continued use despite 9 causes harms. 9 consequences, plus tolerance and withdrawal; Meta's 10 definition of "problematic use" encompasses loss of 10 Excessive use is based on time alone. 11 control over time spent, loss of agency, which is 11 whereas problematic use is based on out-of-control 12 use that leads to consequences. 12 typical in addictive disorders, as well as the So Meta actually in their internal 13 consequences that result from that. 14 documents provides a -- the nice definition of 14 But the broader definition of addiction. 15 "problematic use"; that is to say, it must include 15 which maps onto the DSM, also involves craving. It

17 both control over time spent and control over 17 involves withdrawal. 18 experiences, that are then perceived to contribute 18 So I would say that there are more symptoms 19 to negative life impacts. 19 included in the diagnostic criteria for social media

20 That's a pretty good definition of 21 addiction, social media addiction. It's not 22 identical to the definition that I'm using, but

16 perceived lack of control over social media use,

23 it's -- it's a pretty strong definition.

Q. So I want to just be, like, very specific 25 and we can boil down -- like -- like, drill down 24 that it has to cause harm to the individual's life. Q. And we'll talk about that in a -- in a

16 also involves compulsions It involves tolerance. It

20 addiction than are included in problematic use. But

21 I think their definition of problematic use gets at

22 the heart of addiction and the heart of really any

23 diagnosis for any mental health disorder, which is

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

Page 186 1 little bit. But to go back to, then, the excessive 2 use point --A. Yeah. Q. -- what is the amount of use on a platform 5 that takes it from non-excessive to excessive? A. It depends on the source. People define it 7 in different ways. Sometimes in the literature 8 people define it as four hours a day, five hours a 9 day. Some of the sources, especially the internal 10 documents, talk openly about binge use. That's 11 where there's heavy consumption in one discrete time 12 period. 13 When we talk about "binge" in the field of

14 addiction, we're usually talking about within one 15 24-hour period. In this particular TikTok document, they 16

17 have their own definition for excessive use.

- Q. What's your -- putting aside what TikTok 19 has to say, do you have a definition of "excessive
- 20 use" for social media?
- A. I don't have a specific quantity of time
- 22 because it's not a diagnosis that's based on time.
- 23 Time is an important indicator. The more time, the
- 24 more likely they are to meet criteria for addiction.
- 25 But time alone is not how the diagnosis is made.

Page 187

- Q. But as a -- someone who treats people who
- 2 have addiction, do you have a -- do you have a
- 3 baseline amount of time that you -- you find to be
- 4 excessive versus non-excessive on social media?
- A. No.
- Q. For your criteria of social media
- 7 addiction, where can I go, what source can I go to,
- 8 that uses your specific criteria and says "Social
- 9 media addiction are these diagnostic criteria"?
- A. You can go to the medical literature. You
- 11 can go to -- you can get more broad descriptions at
- 12 the American Psychiatric Association. You can go to
- 13 ASAM's definition. You can -- those are three good
- 14 sources right there.
- 15 Q. So you said --
- A. Even the DSM is a reasonable source because
- 17 the DSM criteria for gambling disorder or substance
- 18 use disorder or Internet gaming are basically more
- 19 similar than not and also are similar to the
- 20 diagnostic criteria for social media addiction.
- 21 Q. Right. But we've talked about the DSM a 22 bit already.
- If I'm looking for -- like, here you've
- 24 spelled out the criteria that you believe meets the
- 25 definition of social media addiction in your report;

1 correct?

2 A. Yes.

Q. If I want to go somewhere and plug in

4 "social media addiction" to get that criteria that

5 you identify in your report, where specifically do I

Page 188

Page 189

6 go to understand the criteria for social media

7 addiction?

8 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and

9 answered. 10 THE WITNESS: I do think I -- I answered

11 it. If you want me to be a little bit more specific

12 about the medical literature, you can go to the

13 various validated social media disorder scales.

14 BY MR. ERCOLE:

15 Q. So the scales lay out the specific criteria

16 for when someone meets the definition of social

17 media addiction and when they don't?

18 A. Yes. Those -- and those criteria are very

19 similar to the criteria in the DSM, the criteria for

20 digital gaming disorder at the ICD, a coding for

21 what ASAM describes about the nature of behavioral

22 addictions.

23 Q. Right.

24 I'm not asking about behavioral addictions

25 in general. I'm asking specifically about social

1 media addiction and the defining criteria for that 2 specific diagnosis.

That -- I can't go to the DSM and look at a 4 specific diagnosis for social media addiction;

5 right?

A. What I'm trying to tell you is that the

7 diagnostic criteria for a substance use disorder or

8 gambling disorder or social media addiction or

9 social media use disorder are all more similar than

10 not. We're looking at the same basic phenomenology

11 It's just that the drug of choice is different.

12 Q. And I know that's -- that's your testimony.

13 But if I'm a practicing physician in Kansas and I

14 look at the -- go to the DSM to understand what --

15 whether there's something called "social media

16 addiction disorder" or "social media use disorder"

17 and what the criteria are, DSM is not going to give

18 me an answer to that; right?

19 A. Well --

20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and

21 answered.

22 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

23 I mean, you could go to the DSM and replace

24 "substance use" with "social media," and you would

25 capture the phenomenon.

48 (Pages 186 - 189)

Page 190 Page 192 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 1 oversee; right? 2 Q. So putting aside, like, the replacing --A. Yes. 3 replacing various disorders with existing disorders, Q. Okay. In the adult clinic, how many 4 where, as a practicing physician in Kansas, would I 4 doctors work there? 5 go to understand what specifically social media use A. We have a lot of doctors who work in that 6 disorder criteria are for -- to understand, like, 6 clinic, if you include trainees, on the order of 12 7 whether someone has that? A. I think as a practicing physician in 8 Q. How about actual, like, non-trainee medical 9 professionals? 9 Kansas, you could Google the Social Media Disorder 10 Scale, and you would have a good scale to measure A. Well, our fellows are highly trained 11 medical professionals. They all have their MDs. 11 that with. 12 They've completed full residencies. It's a 12 Q. And the Social Media Disorder Scale, do you 13 know when that was invented? 13 fellowship, so it's a very advanced subspecialty 14 training. A. Take a look at my report. 15 15 I don't know when it was invented. I cite But in terms of supervising attendings, we 16 have six MDs. We have a PA. We have social 16 to Eijnden, et al., where they have a published 17 study showing that the scale is a valid measure for 17 workers. We have PhD and PsyD psychologists. 18 social media addiction. But I don't know if that is (Stenographer admonishment.) 18 19 THE WITNESS: Louder? 19 the origin of Social Media Disorder Scale or if it 20 came from someone else earlier. 20 Okay. Q. And I think you testified before, you don't 21 Q. And then how about in the -- the child 22 use that particular scale in your practice; correct? 22 clinic? How many doctors do you have working? A. I use interview questions that are very 23 A. The child clinic has one MD, one social 24 similar to that scale, but I don't pull out a piece 24 worker, and then two trainees. 25 of paper and sort of go through the checklist. 25 Q. And in the -- in the child clinic -- which Page 191 Page 193 1 is the one that's treating adolescents; right? 1 Some clinicians do work that way. I -- I A. Yeah. 2 don't. But I ask very similar, if not identical, 3 Q. -- what questions are asked there about 3 questions as the questions on the Social Media 4 social media usage? 4 Disorder Scale. A. The same -- the same questions I've already Q. What questions do you ask your patients 6 described in terms of questions about agency or 6 with respect to social media usage? 7 control, loss of control, questions about -- excuse 7 A. Again, the four Cs, tolerance, withdrawal. 8 The Social Media Disorder Scale also adds 8 me -- consequences related to use. Indeed, 9 questions about quantity and frequency, although we 9 a -- a question about deception, and I do almost 10 don't use that to make the diagnosis. Questions 10 always ask my patients about that too. 11 about tolerance, needing more potent forms over time Deception is not in the DSM, but it's 12 to get the same effect. Questions about withdrawal. 12 well-known to be part and parcel of the disease of 13 What happens when people try to stop using? Are 13 addiction, that is to say, lying about use. So I --14 they able to stop using even when they want to? 14 (inaudible) 15 Questions about deception. Are they hiding their 15 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 16 use? 16 of the record.) THE WITNESS: Sorry. That is to say, lying 17 Q. Is there a list of questions that exist 17 18 about use. 18 somewhere? 19 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 19 Because you're giving me some broad 20 answers, but --20 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Yeah. Q. And I guess my question is a little bit --21 22 so walk me through the process. Someone comes in --22 Q. -- if I'm interested in understanding the

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

23 specific questions that get asked, where do I go to

A. Yeah. So a trained psychiatrist doesn't

25

24 find that?

23 well, let me ask this: You mentioned there are --

24 there are two clinics that you work at. There's an

25 adult clinic and a -- and a child clinic that you

Page 194

- 1 need a list. We know the criteria. We do this all
- 2 day, every day.
- 3 Q. And who was the name of the -- you said
- 4 there's one MD at the --
- 5 A. M-hm.
- 6 Q. -- child clinic. Who is -- what's that
- 7 person's name?
- 8 A. Brad Zicherman.
- 9 And, by the way, he may well use one of the 10 scales. I don't know.
- 11 Q. You would agree, right, that where the line
- 12 is drawn between a healthy use of a platform and
- 13 unhealthy use of a platform is culturally informed;
- 14 correct?
- 15 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I mean, I think every mental
- 17 health diagnosis is culturally informed.
- As I mentioned earlier, homosexuality used
- 19 to be in the DSM as a mental disorder. It's no
- 20 longer in there.
- 21 So any complex biopsychosocial disease,
- 22 which all mental illnesses are, will have some form
- 23 of cultural influence.
- 24 BY MR. ERCOLE:
- 25 Q. And whether something is -- whether a

Page 195

- 1 particular -- whether use of a -- of a substance or
- 2 whether -- a particular behavior in one culture may
- 3 be healthy, but in another culture it may not be; is
- 4 that fair?
- 5 A. Typically -- typically cultures at the same
- 6 given time in human history will recognize the same
- 7 unhealthy behaviors, so I don't think that, you
- 8 know, at a given time in history, culture to
- 9 culture, you're going to see that much difference in
- 10 what people recognize as mental illness. I think
- 11 it's more an attribute of the ecosystem, you know,
- 12 what's available to people in terms of reinforcing
- 13 goods and behaviors.
- So I -- I would argue against sort of like
- 15 a pure cultural relativism. Culture plays a role,
- 16 but it's not completely random, like, "Oh, your
- 17 culture is going to see this as healthy and my
- 18 culture isn't."
- 19 It's not like that. I think humans know
- 20 harm when they see it and will generally agree on
- 21 that.
- 22 Q. And that's regardless of -- of culture in
- 23 your view?
- 24 A. I think my answer was more nuanced than
- 25 that.

Page 196

- 1 Q. You've given an example of workaholism --
- 2 A. M-hm.
- 3 O. -- I think --
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. -- as something that is culturally
- 6 informed.
- 7 Do you agree with that?
- 8 A. I think my point there is that we now as a
- 9 culture celebrate people who work all the time to
- 10 the exclusion of other activities that they could be
- 11 doing. And I don't think that that's a healthy
- 12 trend.
- 13 Q. Have you ever diagnosed a patient under the
- 14 age of 18 with social media addiction?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When did you do that?
- 17 A. I have adult patients who have social media
- 18 addiction who have developed their addiction when
- 19 they were teenagers. So the onset of their disorder
- 20 was in their teens.
- 21 Q. Okay. I know -- right. But my question is
- 22 a little different.
- How about someone under the age of 18 that
- 24 you've diagnosed at that time with social media
- 25 addiction while they were under the age of 18?

Page 197

- A. I don't believe so. Because -- again,
- 2 because I don't typically see people under the age
- 3 of 18, not because I haven't diagnosed that.
- 4 Q. Have you ever treated a patient solely for
- 5 social media addiction and not any other diagnosis?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How often do you do that?
- 8 A. I would say that's fairly infrequent.
- 9 Typically, the patients that I see who have social
- 10 media addiction are also struggling with other
- 11 mental health issues.
- 12 Q. Do you evaluate when you're treating those
- 13 patients whether or not the other psychiatric
- 14 conditions preceded the social media use or whether
- 15 the social media use preceded the other psychiatric
- 16 conditions?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. If someone uses social media infrequently,
- 19 would you agree that he or she is unlikely to suffer
- 20 an addiction to social media?
- 21 MS. McNABB: Objection to speculation.
- THE WITNESS: I think the risk is quite a
- 23 bit lower if the use is infrequent.
- 24 BY MR. ERCOLE:
- Q. And what -- what is the line, then, between

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

Page 198 Page 200 1 frequent and infrequent or infrequent and not 1 outpatient treatment programs for a social media use 2 infrequent such that the risk increases? 2 disorder or addiction? A. Yeah. I mean, I don't have a specific A. Well, we're an outpatient treatment 4 number in mind. In general, we are more concerned 4 program. 5 with daily use when it comes to any addictive I've referred patients to 12-step groups. 5 6 substance or behavior. But for a very vulnerable 6 That's not treatment, per se, but it is a support. 7 individual, even less frequent use could put them at Q. So let's look at page 5 of your report. 7 8 And this is where you have the definition 8 risk. Q. So what would be -- would someone who uses, 9 of addiction: correct? 10 for instance, social media once a week be at risk 10 So part of the definition is (as read): "Addiction is the continued, 11 for social media addiction disorder or use disorder 11 12 in your view? 12 compulsive use of a substance or 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 13 engagement in a behavior despite harm to THE WITNESS: It really depends on the self and/or others." 14 15 person and depends on what stage they are in their Do you see that? 15 A. Yes, I do. 16 illness. 16 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 Q. And there's a harm component to your Q. And when you say "illness," what are you 18 definition; correct? 18 A. Well, this is a broad definition. I go on 19 referring to? A. Their disease of social media addiction. 20 to describe in more detail the specific diagnostic 21 So if you had somebody who had gotten 21 criteria. 22 addicted to social media and was trying to get in 22 But, yes, the harm piece is critical. 23 recovery or had been in recovery for some period of 23 Q. And can harm be minor? 24 time, even very infrequent exposure could put them 24 A. Yeah. 25 at risk for relapse. 25 Q. And can harm include sort of being Page 199 Page 201 Q. Well, how about a patient who uses social 1 irritable? 2 media every other day, so not every day but every A. Mood changes as a result of social media 3 other day? Would that -- in your view, would that 3 addiction are common, and irritability is a mood 4 person be addicted to social media? 4 state. So, yes. MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 5 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification THE WITNESS: We don't base our diagnosis 6 of the record.) 7 on quantity or frequency of use. And you'll note 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. How about be -- feeling cranky? Is that --8 that the DSM doesn't use quantity and frequency 8 9 either for diagnosing substance use disorders. It's 9 could that be a harm? 10 based on the four Cs, tolerance, withdrawal. 10 A. Cranky and irritable are synonyms to me. Q. How about feeling anxious? Could that be BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 Q. Have you ever referred a patient to some 12 harm? 13 type of -- some type of clinic to address or treat 13 A. Yes. 14 social media addiction? 14 Q. Being in a less positive mood, could that 15 A. Yes. 15 be a harm? 16 Q. How many times have you done that? 16 A. Yes. A. Scores of times, when I felt they needed a 17 Q. Arguing with your parents, could that be a

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

18 harm?

21 that be a harm?

A. Potentially, yes.

A. Potentially, yes.

Q. Spending time away from your friends, could

Q. Who makes the decision as to whether or not

A. That determination can be made by different

24 the behavior is causing some type of harm?

19

20

22

23

19 our clinic.

20

21

23

24

18 higher level of care than what we could provide in

A. The Meadows, reSTART Life. Those are two

Q. Have you referred -- are those outpatient?

A. Those are residential facilities. Yeah.

Q. Have you ever referred patients to

Q. Where have you referred them?

22 places I've referred before.

Page 202 Page 204 1 people in different contexts. 1 You could go to the DSM. You could go to the Q. So can I give you a hypothetical, and you 2 International Classification of Diseases. You could 3 let me know what you think of it? 3 go to the ASAM definition. Say I run 3 miles a day every other day for BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 several months. I run on Monday. But due to work, 5 Q. And that's going to have the -- the 6 I can't run on Wednesday. I would really like to 6 specific language that you have here? 7 run, but I just can't do it. I then get irritable A. I say here this is a shorthand way to 8 at the start of -- of work because I didn't get to 8 remember the criteria. The DSM has 11 criteria. 9 It's a lot to remember. This is a shorthand way to 9 run on that Wednesday. Am I addicted to running? 10 recall those criteria. 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 11 Q. Okay. And you don't believe that all of 11 THE WITNESS: I would not make the 12 these criteria need to be present; right? 12 13 diagnosis of addiction based on what you've told me. A. It's not a matter of what I believe. It's BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 understood that these are many different aspects of 14 15 Q. Okay. Why not? 15 the disease of addiction, and not all-comers will A. Lots and lots of reasons. There's no 16 exhibit all of these symptoms. 17 evidence of compulsive, out-of-control use. You 17 Q. In your view, you only need two of the 18 haven't told me anything about harmful consequences 18 criteria; right? 19 as a result of your running. 19 A. Again, it's not my view. It's the Q. Irritability can be a harmful consequence; 20 generally accepted criteria. 21 right? 21 And specifically the No. 2 criteria is from 22 the DSM. You need 2 to 3 criteria of that list of 22 A. It can be. But it's -- it's not in and of 23 itself sufficient; right? 23 11 to be diagnosed with a mild use disorder, 4 to 5 24 to be diagnosed with a moderate use disorder, and 6 24 It's the constellation of these symptoms. 25 I also need a sense of how pervasive and 25 or more to be diagnosed with a severe use disorder. Page 203 Page 205 1 how severe the irritability would be. Q. Okay. So I'm just focused on your report Q. Okay. And so in your report itself, you 2 right here; right? 3 discuss a shorthand description of addiction; right? So you say in paragraph F (as read): 3 "At least two criteria must be met to 4 That's the four Cs? 4 5 5 A. Yes. support a diagnosis of a use disorder." Q. If you turn to page --6 Right? 6 7 7 A. I am referencing the DSM, 11 criteria. So A. M-hm. 8 Q. -- I guess, 6, little letter E; is that 8 of those 11 criteria, 2 must be met to make a 9 right? 9 diagnosis of a use disorder in the DSM. A. Yeah. Q. Well, you -- there's no mention here in 11 your report of any of the 11 criteria; right? Q. Okay. And you also add -- and the four Cs You -- you've identified in Section E the 12 are control, compulsion, craving, consequences; is 12 13 six criteria, right, that you've -- you've created A. Yes. 14 for a definition of addiction? 15 MS. McNABB: Objection.

10 A. Yeah.
11 Q. Okay. And you also add -- and the four Cs
12 are control, compulsion, craving, consequences; is
13 that right?
14 A. Yes.
15 Q. Okay. And then you also include tolerance
16 and withdrawal as part of that; right?
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And if I wanted to go to a treatise and
19 find something identical to what you have here that
20 says compulsion, craving, consequences, tolerance,
21 withdrawal as a definition of addiction, where do I
22 go to find that?
23 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and

THE WITNESS: There are multiple sources.

Q. M-hm.
A. -- subsection small D, I will just read it
for clarification for the record.
I state (as read):
"The DSM denotes 11 different

THE WITNESS: That is --

BY MR. ERCOLE:

MS. McNABB: Form and misstates.

THE WITNESS: That is incorrect.

If you look at page 6 of my report --

52 (Pages 202 - 205)

16

17

18

19

20

24 answered.

25

CONTIDENTIAL				
Page 20	-			
1 criteria to capture the patterns of	1 has tolerance, it has withdrawal, and then it has 9			
2 behavior that are used to diagnose	2 other criteria that are encompassed by the four Cs.			
addiction. The DSM itself does not use	3 Q. Okay. How about with respect to your			
4 the term 'addiction.' Instead, it uses	4 shorthand because that's that's what's written			
5 the term 'use disorder,' as in alcohol	5 here? How many of these of the six criteria			
6 use disorder, opioid use disorder,	6 would you need?			
7 nicotine use disorder, et cetera. Such	7 A. You need at least two.			
8 terminology aligns with current views of	8 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and			
9 the condition as a brain disease, while	9 answered.			
10 minimizing labels that stigmatize	10 BY MR. ERCOLE:			
patients and create barriers to seeking	11 Q. You need at least two of your six criteria;			
treatment. Other sources may identify a	12 right?			
different number of criteria or may be	13 A. Of this shorthand version, yes			
worded differently, but such standards	14 Q. Yeah.			
generally include the central aspects of	15 A that maps onto it.			
addiction/use disorder."	16 Q. Okay. That's all I was asking. I			
17 Then I go on to say (as read):	17 appreciate that.			
18 "A shorthand way to remember these	18 A. I did I did answer that before.			
19 criteria," which is an obvious reference	19 Q. Oh, okay. Sorry. I missed that.			
back to the DSM 11 criteria, "is the four	20 A. That's okay.			
21 Cs."	21 Q. All right. So you need two of the six.			
Which I then describe and then qualify by	22 So let's go for the to the first one.			
23 saying (as read):	23 You have "control," is first one, which is			
24 "Addiction is a spectrum	24 (as read):			
25 disorder"	25 "Out-of-control use, for example,			
	, 1			
Page 20				
1 And that you need at least 2 of those	1 using more than intended."			
2 11 criteria in order to meet threshold criteria in	2 Right?			
3 the DSM.	3 A. Yes.			
4 BY MR. ERCOLE:	4 Q. So that would be if someone uses, for			
5 Q. Okay. But the the five sorry,	5 instance, social media more than they wanted to; is			
6 the six factors that you've identified, the four Cs,	6 that right?			
7 coupled with tolerance and withdrawal, those are th	·			
8 sort of short your shorthand for those	8 yeah.			
9 11 criteria; right?	9 Q. Okay. And then you have "compulsion"			
10 A. Yes.	10 well, strike that.			
11 Q. Okay. And do those in order to have	How would you define "control," then?			
12 addiction based upon the shorthand that you use, do				
13 you you need at least two of those criteria;	13 intended or planned or an inability to cut back use			
14 right?	14 when necessary.			
15 A. You need at least 2 of the 11.	15 Q. And then "compulsion" is (as read):			
16 Q. How many of the of the six that you've	16 "Mental preoccupation with using			
17 identified shorthand do you need?	17 against a conscious desire to abstain."			
18 A. Because the four Cs refer to nine of the	18 What does that mean?			
19 criteria, it's not possible to say that from my	19 A. That means a lot of mental real estate			

53 (Pages 206 - 209)

20 taken up with thinking about using the drug and a

22 using it even when an individual didn't intend to

25 thinking about and wanting to use the drug.

21 certain level of automaticity around using the drug,

So it's a kind of -- a lot of time spent

23 use it.

24

21 least two.

23 Cs; is that correct?

20 shorthand list you need X number. But you need at

Q. Okay. I'm just -- you need two of the four

A. No. You need 2 of the 11. And you've got

25 tolerance and withdrawal, which are -- so the DSM

Page 210 1 Q. And then (as read): 2 "Craving: Physiologic and/or mental 3 states of wanting." And that -- when you say "mental states of 4 5 wanting," what do you mean by that? A. Thinking about using, making plans to use, 7 sometimes coming up with rationalizations for why 8 it's okay to use even though I told myself I 9 wouldn't use. Sometimes craving can manifest as a 10 physical sign or symptom, so cramping or sweating or 10 11 something along those lines. Q. Have you -- the physical signs that you 13 just articulated, have you ever seen physical signs 14 in the context of someone using social media? 15 A. Yes. Q. Is there a difference between compulsion 17 and craving? A. There's a slight difference. Again, 19 compulsion has to do with a lot of mental 20 preoccupation with using the drug. And craving is often intrusive thoughts or 22 images of needing to use and feeling like the world 23 is going to come to an end if I don't use right now. Q. And then you have a definition of 25 "consequences"; right? Page 211 1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. And that includes (as read): 3 "Opportunity costs, other things not

Page 212 1 more potent form to get that rewarding or 2 reinforcing feeling, or even finding -- yeah, that 3 at a given dose, it's just not working as well as it 4 used to to either give pleasure or take away pain. Q. And then there's "withdrawal," right, is 6 the last one? A. Yes. 7 8 O. And (as read): 9 "Experiencing physical and mental distress in the absence of use." 11 And is -- that's how you define 12 "withdrawal"? A. Either in the absence of use or when trying 13 14 to cut back. 15 Q. Okay. And so let me go back to my running 16 example that --17 A. Okay. 18 Q. -- that I gave. 19 If you -- in that example, wasn't -- I 20 would have been in the mental state of wanting to 21 run and really, in my view, needing to run, but 22 because I wasn't able to, I became irritable; right? 23 And I, you know, became annoyed at work. 24 A. M-hm. 25 Q. Doesn't that meet both the "craving" and

4 being done as a result of addictive 5 behaviors." Do you see that? 6 7 A. M-hm. 8 Q. If you're engaging in behavior, aren't you 9 always not doing some other type of behavior? 10 A. I guess that's true. 11 Q. Okay. And so let me go back to the -- oh. 12 And then -- sorry. And then you have (as 13 read): 14

"Tolerance: Needing more time to get the same effect or finding -- or finding that a given dose" --A. This is a typo here --Q. Yeah. A. -- unfortunately. Q. -- does not -- basically does not have the 21 same effect?

22 A. That's right. 23 Q. Okay. And what -- what does that mean? A. It means that finding over time you're not 25 getting the same bang for your buck, that you need a

Page 213 1 "consequences" definition? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: No. No, it doesn't alone 4 meet either of those because of the pervasiveness 5 and severity. So you just being a little bit cranky 7 because you couldn't run would not meet threshold 8 criteria for -- for addiction. It would have to be

9 much more significant than that. 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 Q. How much more significant? 12 A. There would have to be consequences that

13 either you or others appreciated as adversely 14 impacting your life. Q. Okay. Like, can you give me -- give me --

16 give me an example?

MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 17 THE WITNESS: I mean, I can't really. 18

19 It's -- it's quite an artificial scenario that

20 you've concocted there, and I would actually need a 21 lot more information to be able to --

22 BY MR. ERCOLE:

23 Q. Okay. Well, how about your addiction to 24 erotic romance novels? What were the consequences

25 there?

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

15

16

17

18 19

20

Page 214 A. So the consequences were that I was 1 THE WITNESS: I'd rather not speculate. 2 spending less time with my kids, so less time 2 BY MR. ERCOLE: 3 invested in my family, which is an important value 3 Q. How about if someone was using social media 4 to me. I became progressively more depressed and 4 for one minute a day? 5 anxious. I was spending time all through the night MS. McNABB: Objection. 5 6 reading erotica, and so I wasn't sleeping and I was 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 going to work the next day sleep-deprived. 7 Q. Is that possible they could be addicted to 8 it? Over time, my ability to take pleasure in 9 other more modest rewards was waning, which is a 9 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 10 very common manifestation of addiction, a kind of 10 THE WITNESS: I'd rather not speculate. 11 narrowing of our focus on this one reward, less 11 BY MR. ERCOLE: 12 ability to take pleasure in other more modest 12 Q. You'd need to actually see the facts; 13 rewards. 13 correct? You know, I never got to a point where I 14 Strike that. 15 needed to be hospitalized or I needed professional 15 You'd actually need to see and understand 16 treatment or, you know, I considered hurting myself 16 the factual circumstances of that particular 17 or anything like that. But there was a slow drift 17 plaintiff to under- -- or that particular individual 18 toward the kinds of consequences that we typically 18 to understand; right? 19 see in addiction. 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. Q. In -- on -- for, I guess, the letter G, 20 THE WITNESS: The diagnosis isn't based on 21 there's a reference to (as read): 21 quantity or frequency. I would have to do an "Quantity and frequency of 22 assessment based on the four Cs, tolerance, 22 23 consumption are not included in the 23 withdrawal. 24 24 criteria for addiction, although they are BY MR. ERCOLE: 25 correlated with addictive use." 25 Q. And assessment of a particular individual; Page 215 1 Do you see that? 1 right? 2 A. Yes. A. Well, we're talking about individuals and

Page 217

Page 216

- 3 Q. Is that the same in your view for substance 4 use disorder?
- 5 A. Substance use disorder, also -- quantity
- 6 and frequency also matter a great deal.
- 7 Q. Well, for substance use disorders, are
- 8 quantity and frequency of consumption --
- 9 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification
- 10 of the record.)
- 11 BY MR. ERCOLE:
- 12 Q. For substance use disorders, are quantity
- 13 and frequency of consumption included in the
- 14 criteria for addiction?
- 15 A. As I state right here, they are not.
- Q. Is it possible, in your view, for someone
- 17 to have social media addiction if they are only
- 18 using social media one time a day for ten minutes a 19 day?
- 20 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, we don't base the 21
- 22 diagnosis on quantity or frequency of use.
- 23 BY MR. ERCOLE:
- Q. But it might be possible; correct? 24
- 25 MS. McNABB: Objection.

- 3 groups of individuals. So if we're talking about
- 4 general causation of the population level, then my
- 5 opinion about that would be informed by my knowledge
- 6 about individuals as well as large groups of
- 7 individuals.
- Q. Okay. So maybe my question wasn't clear or
- 9 I'm just asking it in a poor way. But I thought you
- 10 were saying -- when I asked, "Can someone who uses
- 11 social media for a few minutes a day be addicted to
- 12 social media?" you said you would need to know more;
- 13 is that fair?
- 14 A. M-hm. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you would need to know more
- 16 based upon the facts of that particular person's
- 17 circumstances; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. From a -- but your testimony is
- 20 you're testifying about general causation at a
- 21 population level; right?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. Okay. So at a population level, can
- 24 someone -- can people who use social media one time
- 25 a day be addicted to social -- sorry. Strike that.

55 (Pages 214 - 217)

Page 218 Page 220 1 Can people who use social media a few 1 social media addiction and risky social media use? 2 minutes a day be addicted to social media? A. Typically you would ask about use. You MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 3 would ask about harms related to use. THE WITNESS: And my answer here is the And then you would go through the 4 5 same. Time spent is relevant. The more time spent, 5 diagnostic criteria for social media addiction. And 6 the higher the risk of addiction, the more likely 6 if they met the diagnostic criteria for social media 7 they are to be diagnosed with a social media 7 addiction, you would diagnose that. 8 addiction. If they did not meet those criteria but But we don't base the diagnosis on time 9 there were still harms related to use, then you 10 spent because we recognize that some people can 10 would address those harms and characterize those as 11 spend a lot of time on social media and not 11 occurring outside of having a social media 12 necessarily be addicted, and some people can spent 12 addiction. 13 shorter amounts of time on social media and be 13 Q. And so risky behavior would be someone that 14 experienced harms related to use but just did not 14 addicted. So ... 15 meet all the other criteria? 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Right. Experienced harms related to use Q. In your practice, what was the shortest 17 amount of time someone was using social media on a 17 but didn't manifest the phenomenology that we 18 daily basis that you -- or for whom you affixed the 18 recognize as addiction characterized by these 19 "social media addiction" diagnosis to? 19 patterns of behavior that repeat themselves across A. I can't answer that. I didn't quantify 20 individuals, time periods, and drugs of choice, 21 that. I don't base the diagnosis on time spent. 21 whether it's a substance or a behavior. Q. Do you ask about how much time you're on 22 Q. Can someone engage in risky social media 23 social media? 23 use without experiencing harm, in your view?

Page 219

24

1 you've asked your patients, what was the -- I guess,

Q. Okay. And based upon that question that

- 2 the shortest amount of time on a daily basis that
- 3 someone you diagnosed with social media was using
- 4 social media?

A. Yes.

24

25

- A. Again, I can't answer that. It's not the
- 6 most important aspect of diagnosing social media
- 7 addiction. I don't keep a running tab of how much
- 8 time different patients have spent.
- Q. Okay. But -- so you can't answer it
- 10 because you -- just sitting here today, you don't
- 11 recall the shortest time period someone was daily --
- 12 using social media on a daily basis where you
- 13 diagnosed them with social media addiction?
- A. Well. I both don't recall, wasn't focused
- 15 on that; and although it's relevant, it's not a
- 16 diagnostic criterion.
- Q. You -- on letter H of your report, you talk 18 about (as read):
- 19 "Risky use substance and behaviors,
- 20 sometimes called 'misuse,' includes
- 21 behaviors associated with harm that may
- 22 or may not be coincident with addiction."
- 23 Did I read that right, hopefully?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. How do you distinguish between

Page 221 Q. Would you agree that the literature

- 2 analyzing the effects of social media use sort of

A. I mean, definitionally risky use means that

- 3 suffers a bit from inconsistent methods of testing
- 4 for social media addiction or defining social media 5 addiction?
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. 6
- 7 THE WITNESS: Not really.
- 8 BY MR. ERCOLE:

25 they're experiencing harm.

- Q. So in your view, there's a consistent
- 10 definition of social media addiction throughout all
- 11 of the medical literature?
- A. I wouldn't go that far, but I would say
- 13 that the various definitions have enough overlap to
- 14 suit me in terms of capturing the phenomenology.
- 15 And again, I would just emphasize that all
- 16 mental health disorders are diagnosed based on
- 17 patterns of behavior or phenomenology, and these
- 18 patterns are clearly recognizable when you see them.
- 19 And whether you call it one thing or another, use
- 20 these four criteria or two of those criteria and two
- 21 others, there's a point at which the overall gestalt
- 22 is the same and you're capturing that pattern of
- 23 behavior, which is clearly recognizable as an
- 24 addictive pattern.
- Q. Is there -- for the medical literature, is

56 (Pages 218 - 221)

Page 222 Page 224 1 there a standard definition of social media 1 as a result of social media addiction? 2 addiction that is carried throughout the literature? A. I am aware of individuals who have almost MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 3 died, yes. 4 answered. Q. You're not aware of anyone that has passed 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I feel like I have the 5 away as a result of social media addiction; correct? 6 same answer that I gave. A. No. But I have patients who have gotten BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 pretty close to dying as a result of social media 7 Q. That there's overlapping definitions? 8 8 addiction. A. That wasn't my answer. 9 O. And what were the -- what were the 10 Q. Is there a standard definition of 10 circumstances there? 11 "problematic social media use" that exists in the A. Body dysmorphia and anorexia, depression, 11 12 medical literature? 12 self-harm, suicidality. 13 A. Problematic social media use is often used Q. So for body dysmorphia, how in your view 14 does social media lead to body dysmorphia? 14 synonymously with social media addiction, and that's 15 generally how I use it. It is how I use it in my A. M-hm. What we see with body dysmorphia as 16 report. It's how Meta uses it. 16 a sequelae of social media addiction is a repeated But some of the literature equates -- I 17 pattern of use with a recursive feedback loop that 18 think is using "problematic social media use" 18 pushes content related to images, body images, that 19 with -- more like risky use; but, again, most of the 19 then give that individual a distorted sense through 20 literature I reviewed and that I've included is 20 negative social comparison. As well as just an 21 really focused on the addiction phenomenon. 21 enormous amount of time spent looking at themselves Q. And do all of the medical literature that 22 on social media and then fixating on various parts 23 you've cited in your report, which we'll -- we'll 23 of their face or what have you, feeling that their 24 get into in a little bit, does that -- do each of 24 face isn't right, that their nose is too big or 25 those -- do those studies provide a -- strike that. 25 their lips are too small or -- or what have you. Page 223 Page 225 Do each of those studies that you reference Q. And are you aware of any studies, 2 in your report provide a definition of social media 2 scientific studies, that have evaluated whether 3 addiction in those studies? 3 social media -- strike that. MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. Are you aware of any medical studies that 5 Speculation. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I would really need you to 6 independent of the content of social media, can lead 7 cite to it. I mean, there are so many different 7 to or cause body dysmorphia? 8 types of studies. 8 MS. McNABB: Objection to form. 9 BY MR. ERCOLE: 9 THE WITNESS: I am aware of studies showing

10 O. Sure.

Are you aware of anyone who has -- are you 12 aware of anyone for whom you've diagnosed social 13 media addiction who has suffered a -- strike that. 14

Let me go to footnote 12 of your report.

One of the statements in the -- for social 15 16 media -- one of the -- the statement at the -- the 17 sentence at the end reads (as read):

"Without treatment or engagement in 18 19 recovery activities, addiction is 20 progressive and can result in disability or premature death."

21 22

Do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of anyone who you've 25 diagnosed with social media addiction who has died 5 have evaluated whether the features of social media,

10 that the design elements of social media are what 11 substantially contribute to its addictive potential, 12 but I'm not aware of anything specifically looking 13 at body dysmorphia. But then I also didn't search 14 for that literature specifically.

15 BY MR. ERCOLE:

16 Q. How about -- you mentioned anorexia. How does social media, in -- in your 17

18 view -- how does that lead to anorexia?

A. The addictive design/nature of the platform 20 creates recursive feedback loops that optimize for

21 time spent and also push increasingly potent images

22 to the consumer. And in the case of eating

23 disorders, typically this will end up pushing the

24 user toward extreme anorexia-related content.

25 And although the content is relevant,

57 (Pages 222 - 225)

Page 226 1 what's really most relevant is the platform design 1 and not the user; right? 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates prior 2 features that promoted the individual to consuming 3 testimony. 3 that kind of extreme content and then rewarded them THE WITNESS: So the likes, the shares, the 4 in that social community for, you know, social 4 5 comments, the followers, that's all intrinsic to the 5 validation on that platform. Q. You mentioned images. What images were you 6 platform and actually a key part of what keeps 7 people engaged, is to try to get up their metrics, 7 referring to? 8 to get social validation, to, you know, get streaks A. Well, it could be images or it could be a 9 social media influencer who's encouraging consumers 9 and then keep streaks, get likes, get comments. All 10 of that is part of that recursive feedback loop. 10 and followers to lose weight, to post their weight, 11 You know, the content is relevant, but the 11 to try to get progressively thinner, to get social 12 validation through those means. 12 content is not the most important thing. It's the Q. And how about images for body dysmorphia? 13 design features that make the medium much more 13 14 reinforcing than a natural reward would be. 14 A. M-hm. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. What types of images are you referring to? 16 Q. Isn't liking something content? A. Again, my experience and knowledge of body 17 dysmorphia is based primarily on my clinical work. 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, no. 18 And what I see in patients who develop social media 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 addiction and then develop body dysmorphia is just Q. How about commenting on something? 20 that they're spending a lot of time looking at 20 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 21 themselves, looking at other people, and begin to 21 22 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 then get a very distorted view of their -- their own 23 23 bodies. Q. Isn't that content? They also have reported getting a lot of 24 A. I think that the interactive nature of the 25 platform is what contributes to its highly 25 positive feedback for, you know, getting thinner or Page 227 1 reinforcing potential. 1 using a filter, you know, changing their looks in 2 So I guess to some extent, you know, 2 some way. 3 comments are content. I could agree with that to Q. When you say "positive feedback," you mean, 4 like, third-party comments on platforms, that --4 some extent. Q. And -- and when you say "social 5 A. Likes, comments --5 6 validation," what are you -- you've used that word a Q. Hold on. Let me -- let me -- sorry. 6 7 couple time- -- phrase. 7 Let --8 A. -- followers, shares. It's all those What are you referring to? 9 A. One of the main reasons that people go onto 9 things. 10 social media is to feel better about themselves, you 10 Q. Okay. 11 know, get people to say positive things about them, 11 A. All those addictive design elements. Q. Okay. So sorry. Let me just finish my 12 to feel that they're, you know, part of a community 12 13 or part of a tribe or included or in the know. 13 question. 14 A. Okay. Q. Doesn't that all involve content, for Q. You're referring to -- when you're talking 15 instance, social validation being derived from 16 positive things that someone is saying to the user? 16 about positive feedback, you're referring to 17 third-party comments made to a user on social media; A. I mean, content can be a part of it. But 18 you can have no comments and just have likes and 18 is that fair? 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 19 followers and number of shares that are really 20 primary to that social validation piece. 20 testimony. 21 I would also add that many individuals 21 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 22 who -- who get addicted to social media are going on 22 "third-party comments." I'm ... 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 to sort of manage negative emotions and hear 24 positive affirmations. 24 Q. Sure.

58 (Pages 226 - 229)

And there are a lot of videos out there

Comments by not the social media platform

25

Page 228

Page 229

Page 230 1 that are consumed by kids that deliver a lot of

- 2 affirmations and accolades and compliments and this
- 3 kind of thing sometimes through a social media
- 4 influencer, sometimes through, you know, some kind
- 5 of AI bot. But here we're mainly talking about, you
- 6 know, social media, people who are -- other people
- 7 who are on the platform.
- Q. And that's a bad thing in your view?
- A. I believe that it can be a bad thing,
- 10 especially for a vulnerable subset of users.
- Q. Are you aware of any study out there that
- 12 has evaluated whether or not the features of social
- 13 media, independent of content, can lead to anorexia?
- A. There are studies out there evaluating
- 15 features, independent of content, showing that these
- 16 features make social media or that -- or similar
- 17 media more reinforcing. But I am not aware of
- 18 any -- any studies looking directly at that and
- 19 anorexia.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. And, again, that was also not -- not my --
- 22 my ask; right?
- My understanding is the judge did not want
- 24 duplication here, and so I didn't focus on that
- 25 specifically. I focused on addiction.

Page 231

25

7

12

- 1 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 2 With respect to suicide -- with respect to
- 3 suicide or -- yeah, let's start with suicide.
- Can social media lead to suicide in your 5 view?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And how does that happen?
- A. Well, it happens in different ways for
- 9 different consumers. But a typical trajectory would
- 10 be someone who's on social media a lot, let's say
- 11 addicted to the platform, comes to rely on the
- 12 platform in an addictive way for their identity and
- 13 their social validation, and then gets bullied on
- 14 the platform or gets extorted on the platform or,
- 15 even if it's separate from that, just eventually
- 16 gets so depressed and anxious and not sleeping and 17 not caring for themself that they're essentially
- 18 suicidal because they're depressed from excessive
- 19 social media use.
- 20 So there are different mechanisms. Since
- 21 I'm looking specifically at addiction, the mechanism
- 22 is primarily the same mechanism that we see when
- 23 people get suicidal from using drugs and alcohol,
- 24 that eventually with heavy, prolonged use, they
- 25 become depressed, anxious, unable to stop even when 25 friends they had or the friend count, the number of

Page 232

- 1 they want to, and become despondent and -- and
- 2 hopeless and take their own lives.
- Q. And I think you testified earlier, you're
- 4 not aware of anyone that has taken their own life as
- 5 a result of social media usage based upon the -- the
- 6 patients you treated; right?
- A. Based upon the patients that I've treated
- 8 in my clinical practice, that is correct. But I am
- 9 very aware of kids who have gotten, you know,
- 10 suicidal as a result of their involvement in social
- 11 media.
- 12 Q. Okay. And that's based upon people you've
- 13 treated?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Have you looked at -- strike that.
- 16 Are there any studies that you can identify
- 17 where it shows -- strike that.
- Are you aware of any studies that have 18
- 19 evaluated whether or not the features of social
- 20 media, independent of content, causes or contributes
- 21 to suicidality?
- 22 A. Give me a moment to look --
- 23 Q. Sure.
- 24 A. -- at my report.
 - Almost there. Let me check one more thing.

Page 233

- Yeah, I'm not finding anything directly
- 2 related to suicide.
- Q. Are you aware of any studies that have
- 4 evaluated whether or not the features of social
- 5 media cause or contribute to anxiety or depression,
- 6 independent of and stripping out content?
 - MS. McNABB: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: I am aware of studies showing
- 9 that the addictive design features contribute to
- 10 depression, and I described some of those in my
- 11 report.
 - BY MR. ERCOLE:
- 13 Q. What study, in particular, can you point me
- 14 to that did an evaluation of -- of the impact, if
- 15 any, of social media features, independent of social
- 16 media content, on anxiety or depression?
- 17 MS. McNABB: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Let me just reference my 18
- 19 report.
- 20 So on page 27 of my report, I review a
- 21 study by Shakya and Christakis that looked at time
- 22 spent on Facebook and worsened mental health
- 23 outcomes. And they specifically looked at
- 24 interactive features, such as the number of Facebook

59 (Pages 230 - 233)

	Page 234	1	Page 236
	times they clicked on the "like" button, including	1	Instagram's own documents stated that
	lifetime "like" count, the number of links they had	2	
	clicked in the past 30 days, the number of times	3	"Notifications make it harder for
	they updated their status in the past 30 days.	4	them to manage the amount of time they
5	3	5	spend on the app, and 32 percent say the
	not content, but the sheer amount of time and the	6	number of notifications they receive can
7	interactive engagement that creates the harm. They	7	be overwhelming.
8		8	"People with reported problematic use
9	"Our models cannot identify the	9	of Facebook received 27.4 percent more
10	mechanism by which Facebook use may lead	10	notifications than people who did not
11	to reduced well-being."	11	report problematic use and responded to a
12	THE STENOGRAPHER: I	12	greater fraction of these notifications.
13	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. A little slower?	13	And they were more likely to respond to
14	THE STENOGRAPHER: Yeah. And speak up a	14	notifications when they were about
15	little bit for me, if you would, please.	15	replies to comments they had made."
16	THE WITNESS: That's basically what I	16	People who deactivated their Facebook
	wanted to say there.	17	accounts, which can be a proxy for those struggling
18	Also, there's a study by Cheng and Davis,	18	
19			more notifications than the average user and
20		20	_
21		21	BY MR. ERCOLE:
	aggregated behavioral data for previous four weeks,	22	Q. Dr. Lembke, do you remember my original
	such as the amount of time respondents spent on the		question?
	site and the counts of interactions with close	24	A. Yeah.
1		25	Q. What was it?
23	friend, finding that 3 percent of Facebook users		
	Page 235		Page 237
	developed a severe social media addiction and	1	A. You said am I aware of any studies showing
	55 percent a mild social media addiction. That was		that the features of the social media platforms
1	independent content.		contributed to harms, I think you said anxiety,
4	F 5, 5	4	depression, or contributed to harms separate from
	people experiencing problematic use sent	5	content?
	62.7 percent more messages than those who are not	6	And so I'm trying to tell you what that
7	experiencing problematic use, despite spending only	7	evidence is. There's lots of it. I can
8	21.6 percent more time overall on Facebook.	8	Q. Yeah.
9	And by normalizing the amount of time spent	9	A keep going here.
10	on the site, people with problematic use sent	10	Q. Well, how about this: How about sitting
	38.7 percent more messages per hour. They were also	11	here right now, how about any any
	36.7 percent more likely to have sent more messages		peer-reviewed let's focus on peer reviewed
	than they received.		experimental studies that have evaluated whether or
14	•		not social media strike that.
	the design features separate from the content are	15	Any peer-reviewed experimental studies that
	what promote addictive use.	-	you can identify showing whether or not social media
17	-		features, independent of content, lead to anxiety or
1	(as read):		depression?
19		19	MS. McNABB: Objection to form.
20		20	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
21		21	"experimental studies"?
22	Ę	22	BY MR. ERCOLE:
23	1 0,	23	Q. How do you how would you define an
24	<i>J</i> 1		"experimental study"?
25	of Instagram problematic use."	25	A. Well, typically, experimental studies are

60 (Pages 234 - 237)

Page 238 Page 240 1 randomized controlled trials. You have two groups 1 because, essentially, they had people either reduce 2 or stop use of social media for a period of time. 2 of people. You do an intervention. You see the 3 outcome. Q. Yeah, right. 4 My question is different; right? Q. Anything like that? I'm asking whether or not any -- whether 5 Yes. Let's use that definition. 5 Any studies that you can identify that are 6 there's any peer-reviewed experimental studies that 7 experimental studies where the authors evaluated 7 have separated out the impact of features versus the 8 whether or not the features of social media, 8 impact of content with respect to social media? 9 independent of content, lead to anxiety or 9 A. Yeah. 10 depression? 10 MS. McNABB: Objection to form. MS. McNABB: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So I've described that there 11 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So experimental 12 12 are peer-reviewed studies that do that. I'm not 13 studies are a high bar. I'm not aware of any 13 aware of any experimental randomized controlled 14 experimental studies like that. 14 trials that do that. 15 But there are studies, as I've already BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 mentioned, that do show that features -- design Q. And the studies -- the peer-reviewed 16 17 features, independent of content, contribute to 17 studies you refer -- like, you're aware of are the 18 worsened mental health. I mentioned the Shakya and 18 Facebook studies that you've referenced? 19 Christakis study. I mentioned the Meta, Cheng and A. I also talked about the Shakya and 20 Davis study. Those are two examples, and those are 20 Christakis studies. There's also the NASEM report, 21 both peer reviewed. 21 which is -- which states -- and I'll just turn to I mean, the Facebook study was presented at 22 that in my report. 23 a -- the conference on human factors in computing 23 Q. What page are you on? 24 A. Yeah, let me get there. 24 systems. Typically to present a study at a 25 conference proceeding, there's some kind of 25 So this is page 22. In the NASEM report, I Page 239 Page 241 1 peer-review process. 1 quote (as read): BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 "In a larger sense, algorithms, which are generally proprietary, serve the end Q. I think we may have a different 3 4 understanding of what those studies show or -- or goals of keeping users engaged for as 4 5 don't show. 5 long as possible and generating revenue," But just so that the answer to my question 6 7 is clear, you're not aware of any peer-reviewed 7 And then, quote (as read): 8 experimental study that has evaluated whether or not 8 "While an algorithm may be innocuous, 9 social media features, independent of content, cause 9 the way it presents content can be 10 or contribute to anxiety or depression; correct? 10 harmful, with more sensational and MS. McNABB: Objection to form and 11 provocative posts given higher priority 12 argumentative. 12 in users' feeds, especially if the user has responded to a similar type of post THE WITNESS: I feel like I already 13 14 answered that. And if you'll give me one moment, I in the past. This practice has the 14 15 can take another look here to see if my answer is 15 potential to create distortions and give 16 different or if I can add to my answer. 16 rise to recursive feedback loops.

61 (Pages 238 - 241)

Recursive feedback can, in turn,

views, from unscientific health

25 and -- what's the M stand for?

treatments to conspiracy theories."

exacerbate problems with harmful content

and misinformation. Recursive feedback

Q. And the NASEM report that you're referring

can also promote any number of fringe

24 to is the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 content?

17

22

23

Yeah, sorry. So there's more. If you look

18 at page 81, my report, summarize some experimental

19 studies where they asked individuals to reduce their

Q. Did those studies separate features from

A. So those studies included the features

20 social media use for a period of time and then

21 analyzed the impact on mental health.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

Page 242 Page 244 1 A. Medicine. Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert in 2 Q. Medicine. 2 epidemiology? 3 That's the report you're referring to? A. I hold myself out as having expertise in 4 epidemiology. 4 5 Q. Okay. Any -- anything -- any other ones? Q. And product design too when it comes to 6 A. Not that I can recall at this time. 6 social media; right? 7 Q. Okay. Can I call it the "NASEM report"? A. When it comes to social media, yes. And 7 8 8 other addictive products. Q. Because I think that's the language you Q. Who was the -- let me ask this: Would you 10 used; right? 10 hold yourself out as an expert in the design of 11 A. Yes. 11 romance novels? Q. Okay. The National Academies of Sciences, 12 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 13 Engineering, and Medicine; right? THE WITNESS: No. 13 A. Yes. I think so, yeah. 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 Q. Okay. Three separate academies; right? Q. Who was the -- do you know who the chair of 15 A. I believe so -- well, it's one academy. 16 the committee was? 17 Q. I think it's academies; right? There are 17 A. I don't. Q. Do you know -- have you ever -- I'll 18 three separate ones; no? 18 19 A. Okay. 19 probably mispronounce -- Sandro Galea, who's the 20 Q. I don't know. Do you know one way or the 20 dean of the School of Health at Boston University. 21 other? 21 Are you familiar with him? A. I don't know for sure. I thought it was 22 22 A. No. 23 one body. 23 Q. Do you agree that the direction of the 24 relationship between social media and health is Q. They created a committee to study this 25 issue of social media and adolescent mental health; 25 difficult to determine because social media may Page 243 Page 245 1 right? 1 influence a health outcome and a health outcome may A. Yes. 2 influence social media use? Q. And they crafted or the committee created a MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. And 3 4 report; right? 4 foundation. A. (Nonverbal response.) 5 5 THE WITNESS: What's your question? Q. And you're familiar with that report? 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the -- well, let me ask 8 this: Do you agree that the relationship between 8 Q. And the committee from that report found 9 that, quote -- that its, quote (as read): social media and health is complex? 10 "Review of the literature did not 10 MS. McNABB: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, what do you mean by 11 support the conclusion that social media 11 12 causes changes in adolescent health at 12 "complex"? the population level." 13 13 BY MR. ERCOLE: Right? 14 14 Q. I mean how would you define "complex"? 15 A. M-hm. 15 A. I -- I can't answer that question "yes" or 16 Q. That's a "yes"? 16 "no." A. That's what it says, yes. 17 17 Q. Okay. Do you agree that the direction of Q. Okay. And you disagree with that 18 the relationship between social media and health is 18 19 conclusion; right? 19 difficult to determine because social media may 20 A. I disagree, yes. 20 influence a health outcome and a health outcome may Q. Okay. Did the committee have 21 influence social media use? 21 A. Well, I don't think it's difficult to 22 epidemiologists on it? 23 A. I don't know. 23 determine. I've done a systematic review of the Q. Are you an epidemiologist? 24 evidence, and I think it's clear that social media 24 A. I have expertise in that area. 25 adversely impacts mental health of kids.

62 (Pages 242 - 245)

Page 246 Page 248 Q. Okay. And so -- and, again, you disagree 1 conflicts of interest? 2 with the conclusion of the committee from the 2 A. Yes. 3 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and 3 MS. McNABB: Objection. 4 Medicine on that issue; right? BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 A. I believe that the statement that you read 5 Q. And it can be misleading if you don't? 6 is undermined by other statements in the report 6 MS. McNABB: Objection. 7 which do not support that conclusion. 7 THE WITNESS: It depends on what the Q. Okay. And, in fact, I think you put in 8 context is. I think it varies. 9 your report that you believe the -- that the BY MR. ERCOLE: 10 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and 10 Q. Do you think it's important to do that in 11 Medicine may be biased because it has members with 11 academic literature? 12 ties to industry; is that right? A. Yes. A. I mean, each NASEM report is created by a 13 MR. ERCOLE: Can we take a -- can we take a 14 unique body of individuals, and how those 14 pause, maybe like a five-minute break? 15 individuals are vetted changes from report to THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:41. We're 16 report. 16 off the record. 17 So I don't just take each report as equally 17 (Recess taken from 2:41 to 3:05.) 18 robust to a report that came from the same 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:05. We're 19 organization but addresses a different issue. I 19 back on the record. 20 think that each report needs to be taken on its own 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 terms. 21 Q. Dr. Lembke, before we broke, you mentioned 22 And as I've stated in my report, the 2024 22 the study by Shakya and Christakis. 23 NASEM report has been criticized for inadequate 23 A. M-hm. 24 representation of public health expertise as well as 24 Q. Do you recall that? 25 inclusion of individuals with financial ties to 25 A. Yes. Page 247 Page 249 Q. And it was on, I think, page 27 of your 1 industry. 1 Q. Wasn't the chair of the committee the dean 2 report. 3 of the School of Health at Boston University? 3 Is that where you referenced that? MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 4 Do you mind turning to that? 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 A. Yes. Q. Who -- do you know how many people were on 6 Q. And this is where you -- you used this as 7 the committee? 7 an example, I think, of a peer-reviewed study that A. I do not. 8 looked at the impact of social media features, Q. Do you know which individuals, in your 9 independent of content; is that right? 10 view, were tainted by industry? 10 A. Yes. A. I do not. 11 Q. And looked at what was causing or not Q. Do you know any of the names of any of the 12 causing mental health outcomes; is that right? 13 individuals around that committee? 13 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Just in your description of this 14 14 A. Not by memory. Q. Can you identify a single individual who 15 particular study -- actually, let me -- yeah, let me 16 had a conflict of interest who was on the committee 16 go back. 17 that authorized the report? 17 One of the -- the -- the nature and target A. I don't recall their names. 18 of this study was whether or not users were clicking Q. Do you know whether or not the committee 19 on a like; is that right? 20 sought advice from lots of other scholars and 20 A. That was one of the points that was 21 experts in the field in crafting the report? 21 examined, yes. Q. And when they clicked on a -- on a like, it MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 22 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 23 would take them to some other content; right? 24 A. I don't think that's necessarily true. BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 Q. Okay. Well, there's a reference here to --Q. Is it important, in your view, to disclose

63 (Pages 246 - 249)

Page 250 Page 252 Which they measure, by the way, not just as 1 how about the number of links that they had clicked 2 on in the past 30 days, right, was one of the things 2 time spent but the number of likes, the number of 3 that was evaluated? 3 status updates, the number of links, that the A. Yeah. That's different from the likes on 4 quantities of social media interaction (as read): ... "may indeed detract from more 5 someone else's content. Q. That's fair. And I apologize for the 6 meaningful real-life experiences." 7 7 question. Q. Right. 8 8 So you had an evaluation of the likes --They don't say large quantities of social 9 media interaction independent of content may detract 9 A. M-hm. 10 Q. -- correct? 10 from more meaningful life experiences; right? 11 A. Yes. A. Listen, they say in that same paragraph 12 Q. You also had an evaluation on clicking on 12 that it's the level of interaction. And they're 13 links that would take you to some other content; 13 clearly making a distinction between content and 14 what people are doing on the platform, and they're 14 right? A. Yes. 15 15 identifying those modes of interacting on the Q. Okay. And the conclusion of that study you 16 platform as the key feature of continued engagement 17 actually reference in your report, right, where you 17 contributing to worsened mental health. 18 say (as read): 18 Q. Does interaction involve content? 19 19 "Our models cannot identify the MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 20 mechanisms by which Facebook use may lead 20 THE WITNESS: Interaction can involve 21 to reduced well-being"? 21 content, but it's its own beast. 22 22 Right? BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 A. Right. 23 Q. Okay. Have you spoken with either of the 24 authors? 24 Q. So they weren't able to determine whether 25 or not features, independent of content, was 25 A. No. Page 251 Page 253 1 actually leading to reduced well-being? Q. Do you know whether either of the authors 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 2 are experts in this case? THE WITNESS: My read of this article is A. I don't believe they are. 4 that their interpretation of their results is that Q. Okay. If they were experts, would you have 5 the content is less important than the way that 5 wanted to speak with them to find out what they --6 people are interacting on the platform. 6 what conclusions they reached from their study? 7 A. Yeah, if you're referring to Christakis, I BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. But you -- you quote from the authors 8 believe that's not the same person that's 9 themselves where they said they can't identify the 9 coauthoring this article. 10 mechanism by which Facebook use may lead to reduced Q. Okay. You haven't spoken with either of 11 those authors about what -- about their findings or 11 well-being; right? A. Yeah. But it's always the case in 12 what they meant by "our models cannot identify the 13 mechanisms by which Facebook use may lead to reduced 13 peer-reviewed academic articles that they'll qualify 14 their conclusions and be hesitant at the same time, 14 well-being"; right? 15 that they will say what they really think about 15 A. No. 16 their interpretation which then follows, which is, Q. Okay. If social media were just a blank 16 17 quote (as read): 17 page with no content, do you think that could be 18 "Our results are in contrast to those 18 addictive or cause harm? 19 from previous research asserting that the 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 20 quantity of social media interaction is 20 THE WITNESS: Unlikely. 21 irrelevant and that only the quality of BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 22 those interactions matters." 22 Q. With respect to risk factors -- well, 23 23 actually, let me ask this: Are you aware of any What they conclude is (as read): 24 "Large quantities of social media 24 peer-reviewed experimental study that has evaluated 25 interaction ..." 25 whether or not the features of social media,

64 (Pages 250 - 253)

Page 254 Page 256 1 independent of content, can cause addiction? 1 addiction, but it certainly is looking at the level 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 2 and types of engagement that we would care about 3 answered. 3 when we're trying to evaluate social media THE WITNESS: So we talked about this 4 addiction. So it's relevant to social media 4 5 addiction. 5 before the break; right? 6 BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 Q. Okay. But how about specifically designed Q. I didn't ask you this question, because I 7 to evaluate this question of addiction? Any 7 8 peer-reviewed experimental study that looks at 8 double-checked. A. Oh, okay. Then ask me again --9 whether or not the -- the features of social media, 10 Q. Sure. 10 independent and isolated from content, can cause A. -- because it sounds so much like the 11 addiction or social media use disorder? 11 12 question you asked me before. 12 A. M-hm. Yeah. 13 Q. That's fair. 13 MS. McNABB: Just lay an objection for 14 asked and answered. Are you aware of any peer-reviewed 15 experimental study that has evaluated whether or not THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm looking for an 16 the features of social media, independent of 16 area in my report, and then I will answer your 17 content, can cause addiction? 17 question. MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 18 BY MR. ERCOLE: 18 19 19 answered. Q. Thank you. THE WITNESS: This strikes me as so similar A. Okay. So if you go to page 16 of my 20 20 21 report, there is a brain imaging study by Izuma, 21 to the question that you asked me before that I'm 22 et al., which we discussed before. 22 struggling to understand it. 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 Q. So can you just tell me where you are? 24 24 A. Sure. Page 16 of my report. Q. You don't understand my question? 25 A. It seems very similar to the question that 25 Q. Okay. Like, what paragraph? Page 255 Page 257 1 you already asked me that I feel I already answered. A. This is Romanette ii. 1 2 Q. Okay. Is that what that's called, 2 So maybe we can go back to the question you asked me 3 Romanette? 3 before that's very similar to this, the one just 4 A. I think so. 4 before the break. I don't see a difference between Q. Well-done. 5 5 them. 6 Q. Okay. I appreciate you didn't -- you don't A. Okay. 7 MR. ARBITBLIT: We heard a defense lawyer 7 see a difference, but I'm asking this question now. So are you aware of any peer-reviewed 8 use that term. We had never heard it. MR. ERCOLE: Okay. 9 9 experimental study that has evaluated whether or not 10 the features of social media, independent of and 10 THE WITNESS: All right. So this is an 11 imaging study that looked at -- it was a task design 11 isolated from content, can cause addiction? 12 related to acquiring a good reputation. So these 12 A. Okay. 13 individuals, like, looked at images. And then the 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: So we talked about Shakya and 14 design was related to whether or not other people 15 liked them or something similar. 15 Christakis ---BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 And that, to my mind, is akin to likes, 16 17 which is an addictive design feature, independent of Q. Yes. 17 18 content, showing activation, quote, robustly 18 A. -- which is a peer-reviewed study which 19 examines features of social media -activated reward-related brain areas and overlapped 20 with areas activated by monetary rewards. 20 O. And --Then on page 17, Romanette iii (as read): 21 21 A. -- independent of content. 22 "Sherman and colleagues found that Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Can I -- can I 23 ask a quick -- but that didn't evaluate addiction; 23 adolescents are more inclined to like 24 Instagram-like photos that have been 24 right? 25 liked by others, and viewing these photos 25 A. Well, it wasn't directly looking at

65 (Pages 254 - 257)

	Page 258		Page 260
1	compared with photos that have been less	1	I am showing you experimental studies that
2	liked, was associated with greater	2	are specifically looking at addictive design
3	activity in neural regions implicated in	3	features that activate the brain's reward pathway,
4	reward processing, social cognition, and	4	which is important to our argument for causality
5	imitation [sic]."	5	because they're showing that the brain reward
6	And as I say (as read):	6	pathway is activated by (inaudible)
7	"This study highlights that the	7	(Stenographer interrupted for clarification
8	crowd-sourced, interactive, reciprocal	8	of the record.)
9	nature of social media through likes and	9	THE WITNESS: social yeah, social
10	other similar design features increases	10	rewards and addictive design features, which is
11	the potency of the medium."		fundamental to the argument that these design
12	And increased potency is one of the		features specifically contribute to the addictive
13	mechanisms by which I'm sorry, let me finish		nature of social media.
14	Q. Yeah.	14	I'd love to keep going.
15	A by which people get addicted to social	15	If you look at page 18, Romanette vi, this
16	media.		is the work of Eva Telzer.
17	Likewise, Romanette iv (as read):	17	BY MR. ERCOLE:
18	"Davey and colleagues found that	18	Q. I don't think there's a question pending,
19	being liked in a mode akin to social		Dr. Lembke. I know you want to filibust for the
20	media activates the brain's reward		next three hours, but
21	pathway."	21	A. No, it's not filibusting [sic], I
22	Which is, again, further biological		because I have more here.
	plausibility that social media can be addictive	23	Q. Okay.
	because it activates the same reward pathway as	24	MS. McNABB: Yeah, and
	drugs and alcohol.	25	
1	Page 259 Kim, et al., is not looking specifically at	1	Page 261 BY MR. ERCOLE:
	social media addiction, but they are looking at	2	Q. So
	people with Internet addiction. And to my mind,	3	MS. McNABB: Brian, just just I'm
	that's very relevant to the discussion that we are	4	going to lay an objection
	having here because many adolescents spend lots of	5	MR. ERCOLE: Okay.
	time on social media when they're on the Internet.	6	MS. McNABB: that it's argumentative.
7	And what they find it's down-regulation of	7	And you interrupted Dr. Lembke while she
	postsynaptic D2 receptors in striatal regions, which		was trying to answer your question which is why
	is consistent with the path of physiology that we		she's going back to finish her answer. She's
	see		allowed to finish her answer.
11	BY MR. ERCOLE:	11	MR. ERCOLE: Okay. I don't well,
12	Q. Dr. Lembke, I'm really my question was		there's no question pending. So
	specific to the issue of social media addiction,	13	MS. McNABB: You
	which you said is defined in the medical literature.	14	BY MR. ERCOLE:
	·		
15	Which just, can you identify I just	15	Q. So, Dr. Lembke, let me ask
	need the name of a of a of a peer-reviewed	16	MS. McNABB: The question is withdrawn
	study that experimental study that has looked at		then?
	features of social media, independent of content,	18	BY MR. ERCOLE:
	and whether or not they cause social media addiction	19	Q. Let me ask this question
2U	as defined in the literature?	20	MR. ERCOLE: You can object.

66 (Pages 258 - 261)

21

BY MR. ERCOLE:

Q. Let me ask this question: With respect

23 to -- actually, let's go to this: You cite studies

25 your report, right, which starts at -- let's go to

24 in -- I think, in Section -- the last section of

25 I'm only about halfway through.

22 answered.

21

MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and

23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I -- I think I'm 24 doing a pretty good job answering your question, and

Page 262 Page 264 1 that. And this article was published in, it looks 2 like, 2025, at the top at least, in Psychology of 2 Sorry. 3 So if you turn to the Section 5 of your 3 Popular Media; is that right? 4 report, I guess, Opinion 5 of your report, it is on A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so I actually just want to go to 5 page 79. 5 Do you see that? 6 the -- if you turn to the second page of this 7 A. Yes. 7 document. And if you go down to the second full 8 Q. Okay. And it says (as read): 8 paragraph. 9 "Addiction to social media can And this is part of the section that is 10 adversely affect youth mental health, 10 sort of describing the state of the literature; 11 right? 11 particularly among those with co-occurring psychiatric disorders." A. M-hm. 12 12 13 Do you see that? 13 Q. And it says -- the first sentence there 14 A. Yes. 14 says (as read): "To date, the evidence for the 15 Q. And in that -- in that section of your 15 16 report, you cite a number of -- of different harmful effects of heavy SMU has not been 16 17 studies; right? 17 compelling." A. Yes. Do you see that? 18 18 19 Q. I am not ensteeped in the literature, as 19 A. Yes, I see that. 20 others are, but I want to just look at a couple of Q. Okay. And SMU refers to social media use? 20 21 those studies, if that's okay with you. 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. Sure. 22 Q. Okay. And so these authors were stating as Q. And I'd actually like to start with some of 23 of 2025 that the evidence for the harmful effects of 24 the early -- like, sorry, the most recent studies 24 heavy social media use has not been compelling; 25 that you cite in that -- in that section to take a 25 right? Page 263 Page 265 1 look at what they say about the -- the literature A. That's what it says, yes. 2 itself. 2 Q. Okay. And you disagree with that 3 conclusion; is that right? 3 So let's ... A. I mean, "compelling" is quite a vague and 4 This is ... 5 MR. ERCOLE: What number -- exhibit are we? 5 qualitative term. I'm not sure exactly what they THE STENOGRAPHER: Eight. 6 mean by that. 6 Q. Do you think that the -- do you think for 7 MR. ERCOLE: Eight. 8 litigation the evidence should be compelling? 8 Let me show you what's -- we're marking as A. I think --9 Exhibit 8. 9 10 Thanks. 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I think that the totality of 11 (Marked for identification purposes, 11 12 the evidence is convincing that social media and the 12 Lembke Exhibit 8.) 13 defendants' platforms specifically cause mental 13 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Dr. Lembke, this was a -- is a study that 14 health harms in youth. 14 15 you cite from Davis and Goldfield. 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 Do you see that? 16 Q. Let's look at another article that you rely 17 upon. 17 A. Yeah. And I'm trying to focus on the most recent 18 Q. And it says -- and it says (as read): 18 19 "Limiting Social Media Use Decreases 19 ones because I think they sort of summarize where 20 Depression, Anxiety, and Fear of Missing 20 the literature is or where it's not. Out in Youth with Emotional Distress: A MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 21 21 Randomized Controlled Trial." 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. Just -- sorry. Before 22 23 Do you see that? 23 we go on to --24 A. Yes. 24 BY MR. ERCOLE: 25 Q. Sorry. 25 Q. There's no question pending, Dr. Lembke.

67 (Pages 262 - 265)

Page 266 Page 268 1 A. Okay. 1 is written here and the state of the evidence. 2 Q. Your counsel can ask any questions they 2 There are lots of different analyses, some are 3 want to. 3 well-done, some are not well-done. The whole point of the Thrul article is to MR. ERCOLE: What exhibit -- 9. 4 4 5 (Marked for identification purposes, 5 show that Ferguson's meta-analysis and the claims 6 Lembke Exhibit 9.) 6 that he made were not well-done and to redo the 7 BY MR. ERCOLE: 7 analysis and show what it shows when that analysis Q. Exhibit 9 is an article by Johannes Thrul 8 is well-done. 8 9 and some other folks; is that right? And their findings were that social media 10 And you rely upon this article in your 10 reduction or abstinence interventions should have a 11 minimum length of one week or longer to confer 11 report; correct? A. Yes. 12 mental health benefits. 12 13 Q. Okay. And this was a meta-analysis of 13 What Ferguson, et al., did was included 14 another meta-analysis; is that correct? 14 studies that had interventions that were too short MS. McNABB: Objection. It misstates. 15 15 to be able to see the positive effects of reducing 16 THE WITNESS: This was a reanalysis of the 16 or stopping use. 17 same data that was used in a paper by Ferguson, 17 So their conclusions, the Ferguson, et al., 18 et al. 18 conclusions, are not reliable for that reason. 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 Q. Right. Q. Okay. And Ferguson, et al., was published 20 So just focusing on Ferguson, that study 21 in 2024; is that right? 21 was published in 2024 in a peer-reviewed journal; 22 correct? 22 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And if you turn to this -- the 23 23 A. Yes, it was. 24 abstract of this document. 24 Q. Okay. And that finding for Ferguson was 25 Do you see that? 25 that the social media effects were statistically no Page 269 Page 267 1 A. Yes. 1 different from zero; right? 2 Q. It says (as read): 2 MS. McNABB: Objection. THE WITNESS: It was a poorly done study. 3 "A recent meta-analysis published in 4 this journal included 27 studies that 4 And the Thrul, et al., study shows why and does a 5 experimently -- experimentally 5 reanalysis. 6 manipulated social media use and BY MR. ERCOLE: investigated their impact on mental 7 Q. Okay. And so if you look at the results 8 here, they did a reanalysis of the same studies; 8 health outcomes." 9 Correct? 9 correct? 10 A. That's what it says. 10 A. They did a reanalysis where they separated Q. And that meta-analysis author concluded 11 the studies that were short-term reductions, like a 11 12 that social media effects were statistically no 12 week or less than a week, and then studies that were 13 different from zero; right? 13 longer-term reductions in use. A. Well, that's what it says. But the whole 14 And I'm happy to explain to you if you'd 15 point of this article is to refute that claim. 15 like to understand why that's so important to do. 16 Q. Right. 16 Q. Well --A. To lump those two types of studies together So as of 2024, though, there were articles 17 17 18 being published showing that -- that for 18 will lead to spurious conclusions. 19 meta-analyses the social media effects were 19 Q. So let's look at the results. 20 statistically no different from zero; right? 20 So first, in the first sentence, Thrul A. No. 21 21 initially conducted a reanalysis of all studies; 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 22 right? And found the same effect size as reported 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 23 in the original meta-analysis; correct? Q. Was Ferguson's article published in 2024? 24 A. Yes. 24

68 (Pages 266 - 269)

Q. And it was nonsignificant; right?

25

A. I feel like you're mischaracterizing what

Page 270 A. What they're saying there is when they do 1 home," what are some of the factors that fall within 2 the same thing that Ferguson did, not surprisingly, 2 the "modeled in the home" bucket, then, that can 3 they get the same results. They're setting up the 3 cause or contribute to addiction? 4 important distinction between what Ferguson did and A. Caregivers who are themselves addicted to 5 what they did. 5 the substance or behavior. Caregivers who are not Q. And then -- they then excluded seven 6 paying sufficient attention to their children, 7 studies, right, that were not reduction/abstinence 7 neglecting -- you know, not aware of what their kids 8 interventions? And what was the overall effect? 8 are -- where their kids are or what their kids are A. They say here that was also nonsignificant. 9 doing. All of these are risk factors for addiction. 10 O. Okay. Q. And how about school-related issues? Can 11 A. But when, importantly, they used studies 11 school-related issues be a cause or contributed to 12 that were longer, they did see an effect. 12 addiction? Q. And one of the conclusions of this article 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: I'm not exactly sure what you 14 as of 2025 was that, quote (as read): 14 15 mean by "school-related issues." "More studies of various intervention 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: lengths are needed to confirm these 16 16 17 findings and strengthen the evidence in 17 O. Sure. this area of research, and studies need 18 18 Doing well in school, not doing well in 19 to balance intervention efficacy with 19 school, being bullied in school, not being bullied 20 feasibility and participant 20 in school. Are there any school-related factors 21 acceptability." 21 that can cause or contribute to addiction?

Page 271

1 someone at risk.

22

23

2 Generally school performance can be an 3 indicator of a potential addiction problem. But we 4 also see lots of kids who are doing well in school 5 and still struggling with an addiction that is 6 covert and not identified in part because they are 7 doing well in school. BY MR. ERCOLE:

9 Q. How about genetic factors? How do they 10 cause or contribute to addiction?

MS. McNABB: Objection. Compound.

24 is a known risk factor for addiction. So if trauma

25 is happening, you know, in schools, that could put

THE WITNESS: I think trauma more broadly

A. So the family studies and the twin studies,

12 which are mainly based on alcohol use disorder, show 13 an increased risk of addiction if you have a

14 biological parent or grandparent who is addicted, 15 even if you are raised out of that substance-using

16 or addictive home.

Q. And so what -- meaning if -- if -- if --17

18 when you say "raised out of that substance-using or

19 addictive home," what do you mean by that?

A. For example, if you have a biological 20 21 parent who has an alcohol use disorder and you're

22 adopted at birth and raised in a family of 23 teetotalers, you are still at increased risk of

24 addiction compared to people who don't have a

25 biological parent or grandparent --

1 factors for addiction, you've talked about -- you

24 will conclude with a need for more studies.

2 talked about social media addiction and -- at length

A. Every scientific clinical study with --

Q. With respect to, Dr. Lembke, the risk

3 here today.

22

23

25

- What are the -- what are the risk factors
- 5 for addiction?
- A. Risk factors for addiction, I generally
- 7 divide them into three large buckets: Nature,
- 8 nurture, and neighborhood.

Right?

- So nature are inherited risk factors,
- 10 including co-occurring psychiatric disorders.
- Nurturous factors are things like trauma or
- 12 what is modeled in the home in terms of how
- 13 caregivers either explicitly or implicitly condone
- 14 use of a certain substance or behavior or model
- 15 maladaptive addictive use.
- 16 And then neighborhood has to do with the
- 17 environment, the ecosystem. This is essentially
- 18 what I discuss at length in my book Dopamine Nation,
- 19 this idea that we're living in a drugified world
- 20 where we've made everything more accessible, more
- 21 bountiful, more potently reinforcing, more novel,
- 22 and in some cases more gamblified or gamified such
- 23 that even healthy behaviors can now be addictive
- 24 depending upon the medium.
- Q. And what is -- when you say "modeled in the

69 (Pages 270 - 273)

Page 272

Page 273

Page 274 Page 276 1 Q. And --1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 A. -- with addiction. 2 Q. So attention deficit disorder can be a Q. -- apart from social media, what are some 3 contributing factor for addiction? 4 of the other -- I think you mentioned -- sorry. 4 A. Yes. 5 Strike that. 5 Q. Has the -- in your view, has there been an 6 increased use of psychiatric medication across the 6 The last bucket I think you said was 7 ecological factors? 7 country? 8 A. Environmental, ecological, right. MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. Q. And apart from social media use, what are 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 some of the other ecological factors that can cause 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 or contribute to addiction? Q. And has this increased usage or 11 12 prescription of psychiatric medication led to people A. Well, access is the most important one, 13 simple access to a drug. A behavior increases 13 becoming more depressed, in your view? 14 exposure and hence the risk of getting addicted to MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 14 15 that behavior. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say that, no. 15 But other ecological factors are things 16 16 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 like poverty, unemployment, social dislocation. 17 Q. So are you -- have you ever talked about Q. How about abuse? 18 whether or not the increase of psychiatric 18 A. That would be in the nurture bucket, part 19 medication in the United States has created a 19 20 of the developmental history of that child. 20 correlation in increase in depression in the Q. How about divorce, parental divorce? Is 21 United States? 22 that a risk factor? 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope and 23 A. Potentially if it was traumatic for the 23 foundation. 24 24 child. THE WITNESS: I don't think that accurately 25 25 characterizes my views on that matter. I have Q. How about if one of the child's parents has Page 275 Page 277 1 been imprisoned for some reason? 1 written a book, as you mentioned and noted earlier, A. I think I would need to know the specifics 2 called Drug Dealer, MD, which talks about increased 3 rates of prescribing of opioids and psychotropics, 3 of that circumstance. I wouldn't want to make a 4 including antidepressants, mood stabilizers, 4 broad statement about our criminal justice system. Q. How about learning disabilities? Is that a 5 antipsychotics --6 risk factor? (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 6 7 7 A. Not necessarily. of the record.) 8 THE WITNESS: -- anxiolytics. Q. Can learning disabilities cause or 9 contribute to addiction in -- in some way, depending And I think that's problematic for a bunch 10 on the circumstances? 10 of different reasons, which isn't to say that those A. I'm not --11 medications should never be used. Obviously, I 12 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 12 prescribe them in my professional life on a regular 13 basis. 13 answered. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 14 But I don't think I've ever made the claim I'm not aware of any evidence to support 15 that increased prescribing of psychotropics causes 16 that. There may be some studies that I'm not aware 16 depression. Sometimes they don't work. Sometimes 17 of, but I don't think a learning disability per se 17 there can be a neuroadaptation where in rare cases 18 they might contribute to dysphoria in an individual 18 is necessarily a risk factor. 19 Now, if you're categorizing attention 19 as part of the varied reactions that people will get 20 deficit disorder into the bucket of learning 20 to different psychotropics. 21 disabilities, which you might do, then, yes, that is 21 BY MR. ERCOLE: 22 certainly a risk factor. But we typically Q. Do you think they're -- opioid-related

70 (Pages 274 - 277)

23 issues have caused an increase in anxiety and

MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope.

24 depression on a population level?

25

25 ///

24 learning disorder.

23 categorize that as a psychiatric disorder, not as a

Page 278 Page 280 1 THE WITNESS: It is well-documented that 1 convincing. 2 people who take opioids for long periods of time, 2 BY MR. ERCOLE: 3 especially at higher doses, are at increased risk 3 Q. How many ecological studies would you need 4 for multiple mental healthcare disorders, including 4 to say the same thing in order for there to be that 5 depression and anxiety. 5 type of causal finding? BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 7 Q. With respect to -- there are -- let me 7 THE WITNESS: I couldn't put a number on 8 strike that. 8 it. There are different types of study designs; 9 BY MR. ERCOLE: 10 is that fair to say? 10 Q. How about cross-sectional studies, 11 A. Yes. 11 cross-sectional -- let me know if you agree with Q. And would you agree that there's like a 12 this: Cross-sectional studies assess the 13 hierarchy of -- of quality study designs? 13 association between variables at one point in time? A. Sure. I could agree with that, yes. A. Yes. 15 Q. And would you agree that sort of case 15 Q. And would you agree cross-sectional studies 16 reports and case series are on the low end of the 16 can only establish correlation, not causation? 17 hierarchy of evidence, at least with respect to the 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. 18 issue of causation? 18 THE WITNESS: My answer is the same. If 19 you only have one cross-sectional study, it's A. I think when -- when we conceptualize the 20 scientific method, we would say that a case report 20 insufficient. But if you look at the totality of 21 or a case series is trumped by other study designs. 21 the evidence, you know, multiple cross-sectional 22 But I, nonetheless, think that case reports and case 22 studies combined with longitudinal studies combined 23 series are really important because they're often 23 with experimental studies combined with cohort 24 the sentinel events when you're dealing with a new 24 studies and case series and case reports and 25 disorder, including social media addiction. 25 clinical knowledge and defendants' internal Page 281 Page 279 1 The first place that a new disease like 1 documents, the totality of the evidence can -- can 2 social media addiction will present is in people's 2 provide evidence for causation. 3 homes, in doctor's offices. There always is going 3 BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 to be a delay between those sentinel events and the 4 Q. And you would agree that the concept of 5 PhD-type researchers who then put together clinical 5 correlation is different from the concept of 6 trials, you know, correlational studies, case cohort 6 causation; right? 7 studies, experimental studies. There will always be 7 MS. McNABB: Objection. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 MS. McNABB: Speculation. Q. Are you aware of any peer-reviewed -- just 10 off the top of your head -- any peer-reviewed case 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 reports or case series that have looked at the issue 11 Q. And meta-analyses are another category of 12 of specifically causation and social media? 12 study; is that right? A. I'm not aware of any as I sit here today. 13 A. Yes. Q. How about ecological studies? Those 14 Q. And would you agree that meta-analyses 15 studies track groups of people over time; is that 15 are -- are only as good as the underlying studies 16 correct? 16 that are included in the meta-analysis? 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. Foundation. 17 A. M-hm. Q. And is it fair to say that ecological 18 18 19 studies can be useful for identifying associations, 19 THE WITNESS: I would say that it's not 20 but they don't necessarily provide causal answers? 20 just a matter of the underlying studies included. 21 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 21 It's also a matter of the way that the meta-analysis THE WITNESS: I think I would disagree with 22 was designed. 23 that. I mean, any one ecological study probably 23 BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 isn't definitive, but if you get enough ecological 24 Q. Right.

71 (Pages 278 - 281)

And so it's how the meta-analysis was

25

25 studies showing the same thing, then that's

Page 284 Page 282 1 designed, and then also how the underlying studies 1 would need to go see the report. I'm happy to do 2 being meta-analyzed were designed; correct? 2 that. If you want to give me the report now, I can A. Yes. 3 walk through it with you and give you my rebuttal 3 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. 4 opinions. 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 5 Q. Okay. Well, I'm asking for you -- you came 6 Q. Okay. And --6 in here -- I didn't even know you had these rebuttal 7 7 opinions. So now I'm -- I'm coming in and I'm THE WITNESS: Sorry. 8 MS. McNABB: No, that's okay. 8 asking you to articulate them for me. 9 BY MR. ERCOLE: So sitting here today, without actually 10 Q. In the -- just to go back to the -- the 10 looking at his report, can you articulate to me what 11 rebuttal opinions you have as to Dr. Tucker? 11 meta-analysis in the Thrul article that we looked 12 at -- do you remember that? A. It's difficult for me to be specific 13 A. Yes. 13 without looking at the actual report. So I would Q. They didn't reach any causation 14 just like to qualify my response with that, first of 15 determination in that article; right? 15 all. MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 16 16 Q. Sure. 17 THE WITNESS: Their main contribution was 17 A. And I'd be really happy to look at the 18 to show that the Ferguson meta-analysis was poorly 18 report and go through it in more detail. 19 designed and hence unreliable. But in general, all of the defendants' 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 20 experts' reports tried to undermine the validity of 21 Q. They didn't reach any causation 21 the social media addiction disorder criteria. And I 22 determination in that article; right? 22 rebut that claim based on what I've written in my 23 report. 23 A. I don't believe so, no. Q. Dr. Lembke, you mentioned before that you 24 In general, the defendants' experts, in my 25 have rebuttal opinions to Dr. Tucker, Dr. Kishida, 25 opinion, are talking outside of both sides of their Page 283 Page 285 1 Dr. Galván, and Dr. Auerbach; is that correct? 1 mouths when they say that behavioral addictions and A. Yes. 2 substance-related addictions are different things, 3 Q. I get that list right? Is that the correct 3 but then establish the validity of the DSM, which 4 list? 4 has gambling disorder and Internet gaming disorder 5 A. Yes. 5 it in, as well as highlighting that dopamine can be Q. That's the correct list of experts for whom 6 released in response to all kinds of rewarding 7 you have rebuttal opinions? 7 behaviors. A. Yes. 8 For example, Dr. Galván, I think, talks 9 Q. Okay. And these opinions are opinions you 9 about how dopamine can release -- be released in 10 formulated in your head, but you haven't actually 10 response to getting a compliment from somebody, with 11 submitted any type of written report that reflects 11 which I would agree. 12 those rebuttal opinions; right? 12 So you can't on the one hand say that these 13 A. That's correct. 13 behaviors are associated with dopamine release, and Q. Okay. So let's walk through what opinions 14 then, on the other hand say but you can't possibly 15 you have in your head with respect to each of these 15 get addicted to behaviors and behaviors are wildly 16 experts; okay? 16 different from substances. How about Dr. Tucker? What rebuttal 17 In general, I rebut defendants' claims that 18 opinions do you have with respect to Dr. Tucker's 18 the scientific literature doesn't support causation 19 affirmative report in the JCCP case? 19 or that the concept of reverse causation can't be A. I would need to see Dr. Tucker's report and 20 eliminated. I've considered causation. I've 21 to go through that with you one by one to answer 21 considered reverse causation. And I've concluded 22 that. 22 that social media use, defendants' platforms, in 23 Q. Okay. Sitting -- sitting here now, can you 23 particular, are addictive by design, and that

72 (Pages 282 - 285)

24 minors, youth, who use those platforms and

25 especially those who get addicted to those platforms

24 tell me what opinions you have as to Dr. Tucker?

A. Dr. Tucker has a long report, and I really

Page 286 1 will have adverse mental health consequences as a So, again, rebutting their claim that 4 social media cannot cause mental health harms. I have other rebuttals, but I -- you know, 6 in broad brushstrokes, that's the essence, I

7 believe, of -- of their reports. And my report 8 clearly contradicts those claims. So what I'm trying to say is that although

10 I haven't issued a rebuttal report, nothing in a 11 rebuttal report that I would issue would contradict 12 what I've written in my report here. And the 13 essence of any rebuttal report will be -- is

14 captured in my existing report. You're not going to 15 find something wildly different in a rebuttal report 16 from me.

17 Q. With respect to Dr. Tucker, any other 18 opinions that -- rebuttal opinions that you have

19 other than the ones that you've just identified?

A. Yes, I do. I mean, I can speak to many 21 different claims that he made, but I really need to

22 look at the report and we'd have to go through it.

Q. So you'd need -- for each of the defense 24 experts that you've identified, the four that you've 25 identified, you would need to understand the full --

Page 287

1 in order -- in order to understand the full extent 2 of your rebuttal opinions, we'd need to walk through 3 each of those reports; is that right? A. I feel like I answered that question 5 already. Again, my -- I think the report that I 6 have written and submitted, you won't find anything

7 that deviates from my opinion herein in a rebuttal

8 report.

9 But in terms of the specific minutia of 10 various statements they make in their reports, I'd 11 really have to go through it. I wouldn't want you

12 at some later date -- I wouldn't want to say now

13 "that's all I would rebut," and then have you come

14 later and say, "Oh, but they said this and you

15 didn't rebut it." Right?

16 Does that make sense?

Q. Can you think of anything, sitting here 18 right now, that -- any other sort of -- at least

19 with respect to Dr. Tucker -- any other rebuttal

20 report opinions that you'd like to give?

A. In Auerbach's reports and in -- and in the

22 other reports, too, they make the claim that survey 23 studies or subjective readings are insufficient to

24 make a diagnosis of social media addiction. I

25 refute that.

Page 288 The subjective experience of individuals is

2 one of the main ways that we diagnose any mental

3 health disorder. We ask people a series of

4 questions, and the way that they answer those

5 questions largely determines our diagnosis.

6 We also have objective criteria, but those 7 subjective reports are very important and I would

8 say even central when it comes to a mental health

9 disorder. And I think anybody who has been to see a

10 psychiatrist or a therapist or mental health

11 specialist could relate to the experience of getting

12 asked a series of questions that is then filtered by

13 the clinician or getting a scale and answering

14 questions on a scale that has been added up on

15 numbers and getting that interpreted as the way that

16 mental health diagnoses occur.

17 Auerbach also, interestingly to me,

18 makes -- actually has a whole paragraph talking 19 about the kinds of objective data that would be

20 important and necessary, specifically, you know,

21 objective numbers of people who are using the actual

22 defendants' platforms.

23 And when I read that, I thought to myself,

24 well, that's great that he thinks that because

25 defendants' internal documents have that data, which

Page 289

1 is why those documents are such a powerful

2 indictment of the addictive nature of the

3 defendants' platforms.

Q. So -- and you've been talking about 5 Auerbach. My question was a little bit different.

Was on --

7 A. Was it really, though?

8 Q. Yeah.

9 A. Was it?

Q. I can read it back to you. 10

A. All right. Yeah, please. 11

Q. Can you think of anything, sitting here 12

13 right now, that any other -- any other rebuttal

14 opinions with respect to Dr. Tucker?

15 A. Oh, okay. That's fair.

16 Let me think about Dr. Tucker's report.

I think -- I can't recall anything specific 17

18 sitting here now about Dr. Tucker's report that I

19 haven't already addressed.

20 But, again, I reserve the right to say

21 that, you know, there probably is more in there that

22 I would rebut. But, you know, I can't recall his

23 entire report from memory sitting here now.

24 Q. Doctor, you mentioned, then -- the last

25 answer you gave was as to Dr. Auerbach; correct?

Page 290 Page 292 1 A. Yes. 1 MS. McNABB: Same objection. Q. Okay. Anything else besides what you've 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I'm -- sitting 3 articulated that you can think of now that would 3 here now, I'm not specifically recalling their 4 serve as a rebuttal opinion to Dr. Auerbach? 4 qualifications. So hard for me to speak to that. BY MR. ERCOLE: A. Not sitting here right now, no. Q. How about Dr. Galván? Anything other than 6 Q. But you -- I think you talked about 7 what you've talked about already that 7 Dr. Galván --8 would -- strike that. 8 A. I did. 9 Q. -- and you think Dr. Galván was qualified Any other -- any opinions that you have 10 other than what you've articulated already as --10 to opine on addiction; right? 11 that would serve as rebuttal opinions to Dr. Galván? 11 MS. McNABB: And also --A. I think my impression of Dr. Galván's 12 THE WITNESS: Those weren't my words 13 report was that she doesn't have expertise in 13 exactly. 14 addiction, doesn't really know much about addiction. 14 MS. McNABB: Just --15 And like I said, I think she contradicted herself in 15 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 16 validating that things like compliments and other 16 MS. McNABB: Just another objection as 17 positive interactions can release dopamine, and then 17 calling for a legal conclusion, which is not 18 saying that there's no biological plausibility for 18 appropriate expert testimony. 19 social media addiction. I think that was an 19 But you can go ahead and answer. 20 internal contradiction. 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 But I can't remember anything else right 21 O. You can answer. 22 A. I won't answer. I mean, I don't have an 22 now, sitting here. Q. And then, lastly, how about Dr. Kishida? 23 answer. 24 Any rebuttal opinions beyond what you've articulated 24 Q. You don't have an answer one way or the 25 already with respect to Dr. Kishida's? 25 other as to whether Dr. Galván is qualified to talk Page 291 Page 293 A. No. I -- I think that Dr. Kishida 1 about addiction issues? 2 willfully misunderstood what I was doing with some A. I don't think that I'm in a position to 3 simplification of language and an extended metaphor 3 make judgments about your defendants' experts and 4 to explain to a lay audience how dopamine works in 4 whether or not they're qualified. I mean, I can 5 the brain. I think that his description of the 5 make some -- I can give some impressions on where 6 circuitry for addiction and my description are more 6 they have less expertise in my opinion, you know, 7 similar than not. 7 when it comes to addiction. But beyond that, no, And I also am recalling that he made, 8 I'm not going to --9 again, I think, you know, an arbitrary and unfounded Q. Do you believe --9 10 distinction between substances and behaviors when it 10 A. -- opine on that. 11 comes to addiction. Q. Okay. Are any -- based upon your 12 Q. Anything else? 12 knowledge, expertise, are any of the defendants' A. Not that I can remember, sitting here now. 13 experts in your view not qualified to opine on 13 Q. With respect to Dr. Kishida, do you view 14 addiction issues? 15 Dr. Kishida as a qualified expert? 15 A. I mean, I'd rather not answer that. I A. Yeah. 16 don't really want to cast judgment on, you know, 16 17 other experts. I -- I have had limited exposure. 17 MS. McNABB: Object --THE WITNESS: You know -- okay. 18 All I've read of their work is their reports and 18 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. And speculation. 19 then in some cases some of the papers they've And also, she's told you she needs to see 20 20 authored. 21 the reports. 21 Q. Okay. Unfortunately, we're at a 22 deposition, so you can't just decline to answer a 22 BY MR. ERCOLE:

74 (Pages 290 - 293)

24

25

23 question.

A. Okay.

Q. So let me ask the --

Q. I think you answered the question.

25 you read that report, right, Dr. Auerbach's report?

How about Dr. Auerbach? You read his --

23

24

Page 296 Page 294 MS. McNABB: Objection. She did answer. 1 THE WITNESS: I think the content is more 2 She told you what she thinks. 2 similar than not, especially as all of defendants' MR. ERCOLE: No. 3 platforms are progressing to infinite scroll of MS. McNABB: She also has asked for the 4 short-form video. 4 5 reports. BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 MR. ERCOLE: In fact --6 Q. The content -- so, Dr. Lembke, just so I 7 MS. McNABB: She's answered to the best of 7 understand, so I can -- my notes are clear, your 8 testimony is that the content of all of the 8 her ability. 9 MR. ERCOLE: The answer was --9 defendants' social media platforms is the same? 10 MS. McNABB: That's all she's required to 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates prior 11 do in a deposition. 11 testimony. MR. ERCOLE: I didn't mean to talk over THE WITNESS: That's not what I said. 12 12 13 you. 13 BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 The answer was, "I mean, I would rather not 14 Q. Is it the same? 15 answer that," was -- was the answer. MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. 15 THE WITNESS: There's overlap in terms of MS. McNABB: And then she said, "And I 16 17 don't" -- okay. And then she said, "I have limited 17 the medium. The media between the four defendants' 18 exposure. All -- all the reading of their work is 18 platforms are looking more and more similar. They 19 their reports and then some of -- cases -- some of 19 have very similar addictive design features. 20 the papers they've authored." 20 They're competing and adapting with each other, 21 So she's, again, saying "I've had limited 21 going to the sort of vertical, phone-based, 22 exposure." 22 short-form video, which is so addictive. And She's asked you for the reports, and you 23 they're doing that presumably to get market share. 24 haven't provided them to her. So she can answer to So they're different, but I would say 24 25 the best of her ability, but she's answered your 25 they're more similar than not. Page 295 Page 297 1 question. 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 MR. ERCOLE: Okay. She hasn't, so let me 2 Q. Do you recall my question, Dr. Lembke? 3 MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 3 ask it again. THE WITNESS: Can you ask it again? BY MR. ERCOLE: 4 Q. One way or the other, sitting here today, 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 do you -- in your view, are any of the defendants' 6 O. Sure. 7 experts, who -- reports that you looked at, are any 7 Is the -- the content available on social 8 of those experts not qualified to opine on addiction 8 media platforms the same or does it differ? 9 issues? MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. MS. McNABB: Objection. Calls for legal THE WITNESS: I mean, in many ways, as I've 10 10 11 opinion. And asked and answered. 11 said, the content is irrelevant because the medium 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't believe that 12 is what makes it addictive, and the medium is 13 I'm in a position to make those judgments. 13 similar. BY MR. ERCOLE: 14 14 If you're asking me, can you see the same 15 Q. Okay. Fair enough. 15 videos on TikTok as you can see on YouTube -- is Dr. Lembke, I know you've testified before 16 that what you're asking me? 17 that the social media platforms in this case have BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 18 similar features; is that correct? 18 Q. My question, I thought, was fairly simple, 19 A. Yes. 19 which is, if a user goes on YouTube, is that user 20 Q. They also have different features too; 20 going to see the same content as that user is going 21 to interact with on Facebook? 21 right? A. Yes. 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 22 23 Q. And they also offer different content to 23 THE WITNESS: When it comes to Instagram 24 reels, YouTube Shorts, TikTok, Snapchat Spotlight, 24 users; correct? MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 25 my understanding is that there's a lot of spillage

75 (Pages 294 - 297)

25

	Page 298		Page 300
	in content that people can post, will post that	1	learn how to do math problems, for instance; right?
	similar or same content on all of those platforms or	2	MS. McNABB: Objection.
3	on multiple platforms.	3	THE WITNESS: It does have content like
4	So it's not identical content, but there's	4	that, but I think that the jury is out on whether or
5	a lot of similar content.	5	not that's really an optimal way for kids to learn.
6	BY MR. ERCOLE:	6	It is the way that kids are getting
7	Q. Dr. Lembke, the various defendants'	7	information, but I haven't seen any evidence that
8	platforms provide different content; right?	8	kids are actually learning in that way.
9	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and	9	BY MR. ERCOLE:
10	answered. She just gave you the answer.	10	Q. Do schools across the country use YouTube
11	MR. ERCOLE: She didn't, actually.	11	to help teach kids?
12		12	MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. And
13	MR. ERCOLE: First of all, there's one	13	foundation.
14		14	THE WITNESS: Let me reference my report
15	•	15	here.
16	MR. ERCOLE: Thank you.	16	If you go to
17	BY MR. ERCOLE:	17	So YouTube's own internal documents
18	Q. So let me ask my question. If you want to	18	sorry, this is page 65 of my report. I cite to
19		1	YouTube's own internal documents highlighting how
1	with the record as it is.		watching YouTube can contribute to, quote, decreased
21	But would you agree, yes or no, the various	l .	attention span, unquote.
1	defendants' platforms provide different content?	22	These internal documents hypothesize that
23	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and		inattention are or cognitive deficits are
	answered.	l .	related to the fact that, quote (as read):
25	THE WITNESS: I already answered that	25	"Electronic media exposure is
	<u> </u>		
1	Page 299 question.	1	Page 301 fast-paced; changes focus rapidly and
2	BY MR. ERCOLE:	2	grabs viewer's attention; makes it
3	Q. You can't answer that "yes" or "no"?	3	difficult to pay attention in less
4	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and	4	stimulating settings (work, school)."
5	answered.	5	Pointing to the problematic YouTube among
6	THE WITNESS: I answered the question.	-	kids and its negative impact on school performance.
7	BY MR. ERCOLE:	7	YouTube's internal documents acknowledge
8			that blue light from screens and sleep deprivation
1	Q. Can you all right. I'll ask another question.		
10	•	1	can result in lower academic performance in student/teens.
	Can you answer the question I just asked "yes" or "no"?	11	
11 12	•		And then to directly address your question (as read):
13	MS. McNABB: Same objection.		
	THE WITNESS: I think I answered it "yes"	13	"YouTube internal documents describe
1	or "no." I can't remember the exact phrasing.	14	how YouTube can lead to impulsive
15	BY MR. ERCOLE:	15	behaviors, distraction, procrastination,
16	Q. Okay. Let's focus on YouTube.	16	and problematic and is problematic for
17	YouTube has educational content; correct?	17	self-learning. YouTube documents admit
18	A. I mean, how are you defining "educational"?	18	that, quote, schools block Facebook
19	Q. How about this: Does YouTube have	19	because it's not educational, unquote.
	educational content, in your view?	20	Nonetheless, the YouTube EDU project is
21	A. YouTube has a lot of information on it.	21	geared toward increasing, quote-unquote,
1	Whether or not people are getting educated with that	22	watch time, quote, more EDU content
1	information, I think it depends on the specific	23	equals more watch time per user."
1	whatever it is and how they're using it.	24	And, again, I have not seen any evidence
25	Q. YouTube has content that allows kids to	25	that YouTube EDU promotes learning. In fact,

76 (Pages 298 - 301)

Page 3	_
1 according to YouTube's own internal documents,	1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates
2 quote, More than 70 percent of schools in the U.S.	2 testimony.
3 block YouTube, unquote. And that was in 2012.	3 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. Sorry. I take
4 BY MR. ERCOLE:	4 that back. Right.
5 Q. Is it your testimony that as a matter of	5 He works for Meta, obviously.
6 fact 70 percent of schools in the country block	6 Who am I thinking of for YouTube?
7 YouTube?	7 Give me a second.
8 A. Well, that's what it says in this document	8 Okay. So it looks like I reviewed the
9 that I've cited.	9 deposition of Fred Gilbert, the name that you
10 Q. Who created that document?	10 mentioned at the beginning of the deposition today
11 A. That's a Google document.	11 that I didn't recognize.
12 Q. Right.	I don't have anything more to say.
Who authored it?	13 Is there a question pending?
14 A. I'd have to look at the document again.	14 BY MR. ERCOLE:
15 Q. Do you understand was it a draft	15 Q. You were skimming through your report and
16 document?	16 offering stuff.
17 A. I don't believe so, no. It was an internal	17 So is Fred Gilbert the only YouTube
18 document and YouTube's own analysis of its impact on	18 deposition that you looked at in forming your
19 education and its own strategizing to try to get	19 opinion?
20 YouTube into schools and noting that schools	20 A. I don't recall sitting here right now.
21 blocking YouTube is an obstacle to doing that.	21 Q. Who is Fred Gilbert?
22 Q. Are you aware that some internal documents	22 A. I don't remember.
23 can be draft documents?	23 Q. And in forming your opinions, I'll
24 A. Yes.	24 represent to you that you cited 41 YouTube documents
25 MS. McNABB: Objection.	25 on your Materials Considered list.
Page 3	Page 305
1 BY MR. ERCOLE:	1 Does that sound correct?
2 Q. Do you know who that document was shared	1 2 A. I believe you. I didn't count them.
3 with at YouTube?	3 Q. Do you know how many documents YouTube has
4 A. I'd have to look at it again to answer that	4 produced in this case?
5 question.	5 A. No.
6 Q. Do you know whether any steps were taken	6 Q. Do you know how many pages of documents
7 based upon that document?	7 YouTube has produced in this case?
8 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form.	8 A. No.
9 THE WITNESS: I'd have to look again at the	9 Q. In your report itself, you cite to 18
10 document to answer that question.	10 internal YouTube documents.
11 BY MR. ERCOLE:	Does that sound right?
12 Q. Did you did you review any deposition	12 A. I didn't count them.
13 testimony about that document?	13 Q. With respect to those documents, are you
14 A. I can't recall, sitting here now.	14 aware of any of the circumstances behind the
Q. Sitting here now, can you identify a single	15 creation of those documents?
16 YouTube deposition that you reviewed?	16 A. Whenever I review a document, I look at
MS. McNABB: Objection. Form.	17 where it came from, who authored it, the date. I
18 THE WITNESS: Yes.	18 try to find out the circumstance in which it was
19 BY MR. ERCOLE:	19 used, if it cites data. I try to get ahold of, you
20 Q. Who? What deposition?	20 know, more information.
21 A. I reviewed Mark Zuckerberg's deposition. I	So I do my due diligence, but many times
22 reviewed Elena Davis's deposition. I believe I	22 these documents don't come contextualized. So it's
23 reviewed other YouTube depositions as well.	23 hard to know.
24 Q. And your understanding is Mark Zuckerberg	24 Q. The contextualization comes with the
25 works for YouTube?	25 deposition testimony, right, oftentimes?

77 (Pages 302 - 305)

Page 306 Page 308 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 1 authors intended or meant in those documents? 2 THE WITNESS: Possibly. 2 MS. McNABB: Same objection. 3 BY MR. ERCOLE: 3 THE WITNESS: I only ask for deposition 4 testimony if it warrants it. I don't feel I need 4 Q. Is it helpful -- you asked for 5 more information, then I don't ask for it. 5 depositions --A. Yes. BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. -- in this case; right? 7 7 Q. Did you evaluate who within YouTube saw the 8 A. M-hm. 8 various documents you cited in your report? A. Sometimes the individuals are listed who 9 Q. And you asked for depositions to help 10 understand the context of documents; is that right? 10 are involved on a given committee, for example. But MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates prior 11 sometimes they're not. 11 Q. Did you evaluate whether there were other 12 testimony. 13 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification 13 groups within YouTube that agreed with or disagreed of the record.) 14 with what was being said in those documents? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Depositions can be helpful in 15 15 THE WITNESS: I mean, I did my best to 16 understanding the context. 16 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 evaluate those various contingencies when that Q. And with respect to the YouTube documents, 18 information was available or when I think it was 19 the only deposition that you recall looking at is 19 warranted. 20 Fred Gilbert, whose title you don't know sitting 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 here today; right? 21 Q. Did you ask for information from counsel as A. I don't recall. That's right. 22 to whether there were groups within YouTube that 22 23 And I didn't feel I needed to -- to me. 23 agreed with or disagreed with the documents that you 24 the -- the YouTube documents I -- I reviewed spoke 24 were citing here? 25 for themselves. I didn't feel like they needed to 25 MS. McNABB: Objection. Objection to form Page 307 Page 309 1 be further contextualized. 1 and to the extent it would call for attorney-client Q. With respect to the documents, do you know 2 privilege. 3 when the documents were created for YouTube But you can answer the question "yes" or 4 documents? 4 "no" or to the extent you know. A. Each YouTube document was created at a 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I would just answer by 6 different date and time, and I often looked at the 6 saying, I try my best to do my due diligence to 7 dates and times. Sometimes I include dates in my 7 evaluate the documents. 8 report. Sometimes I don't. BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Do you know -- did you review any 9 Q. For the documents that are identified as 10 deposition testimony to understand what the authors 10 YouTube documents in your report, do you have a list 11 intended with respect to any of those YouTube 11 somewhere of all the people within YouTube that saw 12 documents? 12 those documents? 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and A. I don't have a list, and I'm not really 14 answered. 14 sure a list is relevant. What's relevant is that 15 THE WITNESS: I feel like I -- I answered 15 these are official YouTube documents making claims 16 that. 16 about YouTube's product which are very important to 17 BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 this case. Q. You can -- I don't think you -- we may have 18 Q. With respect to any of the documents that 19 a disagreement about that. So if you don't mind 19 you've referenced as to YouTube, are you aware of 20 answering again. 20 whether or not any of the statements or findings in A. Can you ask it again? 21 21 those documents were implemented in some way, shape, 22 Q. Sure. 22 or form? 23 With respect to those YouTube documents A. Most of these documents are discussing 24 that you reference in your report, did you review 24 design features that are already part of the 25 any deposition testimony to understand what the 25 platform.

78 (Pages 306 - 309)

Page 310 Page 312 Q. With respect to the documents that are 1 Foundation. Argumentative. 2 YouTube documents in your report, if I were to ask 2 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 3 you about a -- well, let me rephrase that. 3 MS. McNABB: Brian, can we take a break? If I were to ask you about a specific --4 MR. ERCOLE: Yeah, sure. 5 point you to a specific document that you reference 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:26. We're 6 in your report that's a YouTube document, would you 6 off the record. 7 be able to tell me about the circumstances by which 7 (Recess taken from 4:26 to 4:49.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:49. We're 8 8 that document was created? MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 9 back on the record. 10 If you want to point her to a document, 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 just show her the document, and she can give you her Q. Dr. Lembke, we were talking a bit about 11 12 analysis of it. 12 YouTube. 13 THE WITNESS: What she said. 13 Are you aware of whether therapists 14 MS. McNABB: You can answer if you want. 14 recommend to patients use of YouTube to help with 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, can you -- if you show 15 mental health issues? 16 me a specific document. A. When you say "are you aware," do you mean BY MR. ERCOLE: 17 do I think that that generally might happen or do 17 18 Q. Well, I'm just asking any of the documents. 18 you --19 19 Q. Yeah, sure. 20 Q. If I were -- can you identify for me one 20 Do you know whether therapists recommend 21 document that's a YouTube document where you could 21 YouTube videos to patients to help with mental 22 health issues? 22 explain to me the circumstances behind its creation? 23 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. A. Well, the documents are varied; right? 24 Some of the documents are e-mail exchanges and --24 THE WITNESS: I think it's possible, yeah. 25 /// 25 and in that context, you can say clearly it came Page 311 Page 313 1 from this person and it went to those people on that 1 BY MR. ERCOLE: 2 date. 2 Q. Have you ever done that? Some of the documents are PowerPoint A. I might have done, yeah, if there's a 4 presentations or other presentations that are put 4 YouTube video that sort of summarizes a bit of 5 together by individuals employed by YouTube and 5 knowledge that I think would be helpful for them. 6 communicated with other individuals at YouTube. Q. Do you recall what the video was that you 7 Sometimes it's possible to tell, you know, who it 7 recommended to one of your patients? 8 was communicated to, other times not. 9 I actually don't think that that's Q. Do you know whether other treaters within 10 particularly important. To me, what is of essence 10 the clinics you work at recommend YouTube videos to 11 here is what the documents say about YouTube 11 patients to help with mental health issues? 12 12 internal knowledge of the harms caused by their MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 13 13 social media platform, especially to kids. And THE WITNESS: I don't know. 14 frankly, it's shocking and horrific. 14 (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Q. Dr. Lembke, let me ask you this: Do you 15 BY MR. ERCOLE: 16 think that in -- by -- in formulating your opinions 16 Q. Do you use YouTube for any educational 17 that -- I'll strike that. 17 purposes? Given that you only reviewed 41 YouTube 18 A. Sometimes. 19 documents out of hundreds of thousands of documents 19 Q. What educational --20 20 that have been produced and only looked at one A. I mean --21 deposition of one YouTube person whose name -- whose 21 Q. -- purposes? 22 title you don't -- can't remember, do you think you 22 A. -- we were talking earlier about education 23 cherry-picked the documents and -- and information 23 and learning and whether what's happening when 24 in formulating your YouTube opinions here? 24 people watch YouTube is really learning or whether 25 MS. McNABB: Objection to form. 25 it's just sort of information without the necessary

79 (Pages 310 - 313)

Page 314 Page 316 1 processing for learning. A. My interviews -- many of my interviews are So given that, you know, I -- as I said at 2 broadcast on YouTube. I'm not posting those, but 3 the beginning, I watch YouTube videos for 3 they are being posted by others. 4 entertainment. I watch YouTube videos for some Q. And I think your CV cites 17 media 5 appearances of you speaking about addiction for 6 I try -- I really try hard to limit my 6 which you cited YouTube as the means for someone to 7 YouTube use. 7 watch it; right? Q. How about how-to videos? Do you ever use A. I believe you. I didn't count it. 9 YouTube for how-to videos? Q. And do you think by -- by giving you a 10 A. Yes. 10 platform for your interviews to be -- interviews Q. And how often do you watch YouTube? 11 11 about addiction to be shared, would you agree that 12 A. Probably two to three times a week. 12 YouTube has allowed you to help others battling 13 Q. And when you go on YouTube, do you search 13 addiction? 14 for particular types of content? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. A. So, yes, I've recently discovered that 15 THE WITNESS: I hope so. And as I've made 16 there's a default mode where instead of 16 clear before, you know, medium can have benefits. 17 recommending -- or I don't know if it's a default 17 It's the question of whether or not the harms 18 mode. There's a mode on YouTube where it doesn't 18 outweigh the benefits, especially when we're talking 19 recommend any videos. You have to search for what 19 about kids. 20 you want, which is much better, I found, for 20 BY MR. ERCOLE: 21 preventing mindless viewing, which I am also 21 Q. Have you ever uploaded any videos to 22 vulnerable to. 22 YouTube? Q. And you don't -- you -- I think you 23 A. No. 24 testified you don't have a YouTube account; is that 24 Q. You are -- do you know that you're featured 25 correct? 25 in videos on YouTube Shorts? Page 315 Page 317 A. I do not have a YouTube account, that's A. I was not aware of that. 1 2 correct. Q. Do you know that Stanford University made a Q. And so if you go -- go to YouTube in a 3 YouTube Short of your role at the Stanford School of 4 logged-out state and want to watch a video, you have 4 Medicine? 5 to provide some information about the content of the A. I know that they made a video recently on 6 video you want to watch; right? 6 the faculty profile, but I did not know they posted 7 A. Yeah, I have to do a search for a -- for a 7 it on YouTube Shorts. 8 particular type of video. That's right. Q. Are you going to ask them to take that Q. And when you watch YouTube on the instances 9 down? 10 where -- strike that. 10 11 On the days where you watch YouTube, how 11 Q. Do you know -- are you aware that there are 12 over 50 YouTube Shorts of you available on YouTube? 12 often will you watch YouTube? 13 A. Just once typically at the end of the day. 13 A. I wasn't --14 Q. And for how long? 14 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. A. It varies. My intention is to watch no 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I wasn't aware. And 16 frankly, I think it's irrelevant to the discussion 16 more than about half an hour, but sometimes I get 17 caught in the addictive design features. And I can 17 here, because as I've said before, it's not the 18 watch up to three or four hours sometimes, which I 18 content. It's the addictive design features. 19 almost always regret. 19 BY MR. ERCOLE: Q. Do you think you're addicted to YouTube? 20 Q. Right. A. No. But I think that I use too much So even though you believe that YouTube is 21 22. YouTube, and I -- I could become addicted to 22 addictive and even though you have videos of

80 (Pages 314 - 317)

23 lectures and other content on YouTube, you don't

24 believe you need to take any steps to remove those

25 videos from YouTube?

25 research with the public; right?

Q. You've used YouTube to help share your

Page 318 Page 320 A. I've been very public about my opinions THE WITNESS: I mean, I benefit in the 2 regarding kids and that we do need guardrails to 2 sense that my mission is to help people by educating 3 prevent kids from accessing addictive social media 3 them about addiction. And in doing that, in being 4 platforms, especially when you're talking about 4 able to do that, yes, I benefit from that. 5 under 13 and even, you know, older in some cases. 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: The way I think about addictive social 6 Q. You get publicity from that, right, too? 7 MS. McNABB: Objection. 7 media is the way I think about any other legal drug. 8 THE WITNESS: That's not my goal; but, yes, 8 So I'm not out there advocating that, you know, we 9 ban sales of alcohol. Alcohol is a highly addictive 9 that has also happened. 10 drug. Most people who consume alcohol will not get 10 BY MR. ERCOLE: 11 addicted to alcohol, and there are some benefits to Q. And with respect to any videos or content 11 12 involving you that has been uploaded or shared on 12 consuming alcohol. Alcohol is a social lubricant. 13 social media, have you ever requested that there be 13 Alcohol is recreational fun. But it's very clear that a subset of 14 some disclosure associated with that content? 15 individuals who use alcohol will be harmed by 15 MS. McNABB: Objection to foundation -- or 16 alcohol use and will get addicted to alcohol. And 16 form. 17 the same thing is true for YouTube. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm not really, you know, in Q. And could someone get addicted to and be 18 a position to do that, so I haven't done that. 19 harmed, in part, by watching your podcasts and other BY MR. ERCOLE: 19 20 content on YouTube? 20 Q. Right. A. It's theoretically possible because, again, 21 A. But the content --22 the harm is not primarily the content. The harm is 22 Q. Sorry. 23 the recursive feedback loop that gets people caught 23 A. -- of what I talk about in some of these 24 in the out-of-control use, the compulsive use, the 24 appearances is the harms of social media. So 25 craving, and the use -- continued use despite 25 indirectly I am warning people about the dangers of Page 319 Page 321 1 social media. 1 consequences. Q. But have you ever reached out, for Q. You haven't taken any steps to prevent 3 instance, to YouTube to say, "Hey, there's lots of 3 content that you created from being uploaded to 4 YouTube: correct? 4 Shorts of me on YouTube. There's lots of videos for 5 me on YouTube. You should put some disclosure in 5 A. That is correct. 6 connection with those videos that say 'Warning, you Q. And, in fact, you haven't taken any steps 7 know, watching these videos may lead to addiction"? 7 to prevent content that you have created from being 8 uploaded or shared on any other social media A. No, I haven't done that. 9 Q. And you haven't asked for YouTube to take 9 platform; correct? 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 10 down any of those videos; right? THE WITNESS: That is correct. 11 MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and 11 BY MR. ERCOLE: 12 answered. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I haven't -- I haven't done 13 Q. And some of the content that has been 14 uploaded on -- and strike that. 14 that. 15 I do think it's YouTube's responsibility as 15 Some of the content that has been uploaded 16 the owner and operator of YouTube platform to warn 16 or shared on social media involves the 17 people about the addictive nature of YouTube. I 17 Dopamine Nation book that you authored; correct? MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 18 don't really think that's primarily my 18

81 (Pages 318 - 321)

19 responsibility. And I feel that a lot of the work 20 that I do and the speaking and the writing that I do

So there are many different ways to get at,

21 is advocating for those kinds of warnings.

23 you know, what you're describing.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

Q. Sure.

22

24

25

25 Speculation.

19

20

21

24

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. And you benefit from the publicity you get

22 by having content about Dopamine Nation displayed or

MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation.

BY MR. ERCOLE:

23 shared on social media; correct?

Page 322 Page 324 1 Social media addiction is treatable: 1 feeling better, feeling less craving, less FOMO, and 2 correct? 2 less anxious, less depressed, getting more sleep, A. Yes. 3 having more time to do other things. And then we assess how they're doing. And 4 Q. And in your -- in your clinical experience, 5 psychiatric improvements occur within three to 5 then we talk about, you know, what to do going 6 four weeks of abstinence from social media: is that 6 forward. If it's a child or a teen, we almost 7 always involve family in that discussion and we try 7 correct? A. In about 80 percent of individuals who 8 to talk about, you know, whether it makes sense to 9 abstain from social media for three to four weeks. 9 try to go back to using social media in moderation, 10 we see significant improvements, yes. 10 obviously, or whether the individual should continue Q. And is the solution pretty straightforward 11 to abstain. 12 that you have to limit your dopamine intake? 12 Q. And you've reported on podcasts and other 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague. 13 places that approximately 80 percent of people will THE WITNESS: I mean, dopamine used in that 14 be improved or completely freed of their symptoms of 15 way is really metaphorical, you know, where that's 15 depression and anxiety by engaging in this type of 16 an oversimplification, as I've often said. Dopamine 16 dopamine fast; is that correct? 17 has become a sort of meme for describing an 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 18 addictive drug. Dopamine is not itself addictive. 18 THE WITNESS: What we see clinically is 19 It's a chemical we make in our brain that signals a 19 that individuals who are willing and able to abstain 20 "go, approach" response to a stimulus as opposed to 20 from their drug of choice, including social media 21 a "stop, withdraw" response. 21 for 4 weeks, about 80 percent of them feel So, you know, if I used that language, I 22 significantly better. 23 used it in a metaphorical, colloquial way. 23 It's not like their addiction is cured. As 24 BY MR. ERCOLE: 24 I state, addiction is a chronic, relapsing and 25 Q. Well, you've actually repeatedly used 25 remitting disorder. But that period of abstinence Page 323 Page 325 1 is the amount of time typically that people need in 1 the -- the phrase "dopamine fast"; correct? 2 order to see what they feel like when they're not A. That's true. Again, as a colloquial term. 3 constantly on social media. Q. And the "dopamine fast" is what you've So when we're caught in those addictive 4 talked about as a way of -- of treating social media 5 behaviors, it's really hard to see cause and effect 5 addiction; correct? 6 because it can feel like social media is A. Right. But I'm just emphasizing that when 7 self-medicating anxiety and depression when, in 7 asked to talk about the neuroscience and talk about 8 dopamine, I try to clarify that it's not that 8 fact, in many instances it's actually making those 9 symptoms worse. 9 dopamine is good or bad or that we get addicted to 10 dopamine per se. 10

11 And the dopamine fast is just a commonly

12 understood lingo for an abstinence trial.

Q. And -- and when you say -- so when you

14 recommend a dopamine fast, what does that entail for

15 someone who has social media addiction in your view?

A. That entails giving up social media for

17 four weeks.

18 Q. And then what happens after that four-week 19 period?

A. We do an assessment of how the individual

21 is feeling, how they felt initially. Most often

22 people feel worse before they feel better as they go

23 into some degree of withdrawal from social media.

24 But the longer they can abstain, the more

25 likely they are to get to a place where they're

So by abstaining for long enough, going 11 through the withdrawal phase, resetting reward

12 pathways, individuals often feel much better not

13 using. And then they have the motivation either to

14 continue to abstain, or if they're going to go back

15 to using, to go back to using with guardrails and

16 trying to use less.

17 BY MR. ERCOLE:

18 Q. And just so that, you know, my notes and

19 the record is clear, you said it's about 80 percent

20 of people fall within that bucket of getting better

21 after the 30-day dopamine fast?

A. Yeah, in my clinical experience, that's 22

23 right.

24 Q. And are there -- after this 30-day dopamine 25 fast for your patients who you believe have social

82 (Pages 322 - 325)

Page 326 1 media addiction, are there -- is there a specific

- 2 uniform set of guardrails that you establish for how
- 3 and when they can use social media again?
- 4 A. If after the 30 days of abstinence they
- 5 decide that they want to go back to using social
- 6 media, or if it's a child or a youth, the -- they
- 7 together with their parents or whatever, you know,
- 8 the circumstance is, then we try to set up
- 9 guardrails to help them stay within those limits.
- 10 Typically the more specific the plan for using, the
- 11 better.
- But it's -- it's difficult because the
- 13 social media platforms are so reinforcing that once
- 14 people go back to using them, they can quickly slide
- 15 back into overuse and addictive use. So it's very 16 challenging.
- 17 Q. What guardrails do you recommend?
- 18 A. Specifying the specific platform that
- 19 they'll use; time constraints; what days of the week
- 20 that they'll use; how it will be monitored; how
- 21 they'll remain accountable; efforts to limit the
- 22 addictive design features, which isn't easy to do,
- 23 but includes things like turning off notifications
- 24 when possible, having the default be something like
- 25 what I just described to you where if you open up
 - Page 327
- 1 YouTube, you don't automatically get suggested "for
- 2 you" videos but instead get a blank screen where you
- 3 then have to enter what you're looking for. If
- 4 there's any default modes, you know, to try to limit
- 5 access, quantity, potency, novelty, uncertainty.
- 6 I'm not saying that those are effective
- 7 because, frankly, I haven't seen them be
- 8 particularly effective. For most people, the design
- 9 features are so reinforcing that once folks go back
- 10 to using, it's -- it's very hard to stay within
- 11 those boundaries. But, you know, we keep trying.
- 12 Q. Just a couple more questions.
- With respect to YouTube, Dr. Lembke, are
- 14 you aware of any peer-reviewed study that has
- 15 focused specifically on the impact of YouTube
- 16 features independent of or controlling for content
- 17 on adolescent mental health?
- 18 MS. McNABB: Objection. Form.
- 19 BY MR. ERCOLE:
- 20 Q. Did you understand my question? I'm happy
- 21 to repeat it if you --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I believe I did understand your question.
- 25 And there is a study that I think is

- Page 328 1 important that looked specifically at YouTube and
- 2 how -- YouTube videos that are specifically designed
- 3 for that individual based on past viewing versus
- 4 general YouTube videos. This study compared those
- 5 two in a brain imaging design. This was Su,
- 6 et al -- S-U, et al. And showed that when viewing
- 7 YouTube, that the -- the brain's reward pathway
- 8 lights up or -- or activates more strongly when
- 9 they're specifically designed for that individual
- 10 versus for general consumption.
- 11 Q. And that's Su, et al.?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Okay. Any other peer-reviewed studies that
- 14 have looked at, in particular, YouTube features
- 15 independent of content or controlling for content to
- 16 evaluate whether YouTube features, in particular,
- 17 have an impact on mental -- adolescent mental
- 18 health?
- 19 A. Well, YouTube itself has done a lot of
- 20 internal studies, as I mentioned, both quantitative
- 21 and qualitative, showing how their specific design
- 22 features are reinforcing and make it difficult for
- 23 people to stop using them.
- Their internal documents also show how they
- 25 specifically augment and leverage those design

Page 329

- 1 features to increase watch time and the number of
- 2 users. To me, that's -- that's compelling evidence.
- 3 Q. How about peer -- I'm asking peer-reviewed 4 studies. Yeah.
- 5 A. I'm not aware of any other peer-reviewed
- 6 studies along those lines, although I will say that
- 7 many of the design features on YouTube are similar
- 8 to the design features on other platforms.
- 9 So I think those studies that don't
- 10 specifically involve YouTube also speak to YouTube's
- 11 addictive nature because of the similarities in the
- 12 design features.
- 13 Q. Dr. Lembke, do you have any substantive
- 14 opinions about the defense experts that you've
- 15 reviewed that are not reflected in your affirmative
- 16 report or contained in what we've already talked
- 17 about today?
- 8 A. Again, I think in order to answer that to
- 19 the best of my abilities, I would really have to see
- 20 the report again and have it right in front of me
- 21 right now. If you want to do that, we can do that.
- 22 Q. Just, did you -- coming in here today, did
- 23 you -- why didn't you review the reports and come in
- 24 with sort of a list of rebuttal opinions that you
- 25 could articulate on the record?

83 (Pages 326 - 329)

Page 330 Page 332 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. A. Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: I was not asked to produce a 2 Q. Okay. You only cite 16 Meta documents in 3 formal rebuttal report, so what I offered to you 3 your report, though; correct? A. If you say so. Again, I didn't count them. 4 today from memory is sort of what I can do today. Q. Okay. One Meta document that you cite 5 BY MR. ERCOLE: 6 Q. Fair enough. 6 repeatedly in your report is a research paper titled 7 But sort of -- this is the opportunity that 7 "Understanding Perceptions of Problematic Facebook 8 Use"; correct? 8 kind of we get to ask you some questions about --9 about this. And I know there's been a fight over A. Yes. 10 the amount of time that we get for your -- for your 10 Q. And you cited to this paper earlier today? 11 deposition. 11 A. Yes. 12 And so if you were going to come in today 12 MS. BARNHART: All right. I'm going to ask 13 and offer rebuttal opinions to the defense experts, 13 the court reporter to mark as Exhibit 10 that paper. 14 is there a reason you didn't come in with a series (Marked for identification purposes, 14 15 of notes that you could just articulate what those 15 Lembke Exhibit 10.) 16 rebuttal opinions are? 16 BY MS. BARNHART: 17 MS. McNABB: Objection to scope. 17 Q. So, Dr. Lembke, is Exhibit 10 a copy of the THE WITNESS: I was specifically asked to 18 paper titled "Understanding Perceptions of 18 19 not bring anything to this deposition but my report. 19 Problematic Facebook Use" that you cite in your MR. ERCOLE: Okay. I may have some 20 report? 21 additional questions after the defendants go. And 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 then if your counsel has some follow-up questions, I 22 Q. This is a paper authored by 23 may have some -- some other questions as well, but I 23 Dr. Moira Burke, Dr. Justin Cheng, and 24 think that's it for me at the moment. 24 Dr. Elena Davis; correct? 25 I do want to thank you for your -- for your 25 A. Yes. Page 331 Page 333 1 time. And to the extent I was talking over you at Q. Did you review the deposition testimony of 2 these authors? 2 times, I apologize for that. A. I'd have to check my Materials Considered. THE WITNESS: That's okay. 3 Q. Sitting here today, do you recall reviewing 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off? 4 MS. BARNHART: Yeah, let's go off the 5 the deposition testimony of these authors about this 5 6 paper that they wrote? 6 record. A. I believe that I did. But to be sure, I'd 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:15. We're 8 off the record. 8 have to check my Materials Considered. 9 Would you like me to do that? 9 (Recess taken from 5:15 to 5:16.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:16. We're 10 Q. I don't think that's necessary. If you --10 11 if you recall reviewing it, that's fine. 11 back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MS. BARNHART 12 My next question is, did you cite the 12 13 deposition testimony of any of these employees in 13 BY MS. BARNHART: 14 your report? 14 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Lembke. I don't know 15 A. I don't remember. 15 if we've formally met yet. I'm Lindsey Barnhart. I Q. You didn't. I'll represent to you that 16 represent Meta. 17 there -- there are no citations to the deposition 17 And thanks, again, for your time today. 18 transcripts of any of these authors in your report. 18 In preparing your report in the JCCP, you 19 reviewed over 170 Meta documents; correct? 19 A. M-hm. 20 Q. Is there a reason why you didn't cite their 20 A. I didn't count them, but I accept your 21 testimony about this paper in your report? 21 number. A. I must have found it not essential. Q. I can represent to you there's over 170 on 22 23 your Materials Considered list. 23 So there's a lot of things that I reviewed 24 that I didn't cite in this report. Had I cited 24 Is it fair to say you reviewed all of the

84 (Pages 330 - 333)

25 everything that was relevant, that I reviewed, it

25 materials on your Materials Considered list?

	Page 334		Page 336
	would be hundreds and hundreds of pages.		anemic in terms of acting on their findings.
2	,	2	BY MS. BARNHART:
	I didn't continue to cite along the same lines. I	3	Q. Okay. Well, we'll talk more about that in
4 5	just used representative examples.	5	a little bit.
_	Q. You didn't think it was essential to cite	_	But fair to say your report does not
	these authors' description of their findings in this paper in your discussion about the findings of this		mention the stated purpose of this research paper at all; correct?
	paper in your discussion about the initings of this paper?	8	A. I'll have to go back to where I mention the
9	A. Well, I I reviewed what they said about		report. Give me a moment.
	it, but I I didn't cite it in the report. I	10	Q. Yeah. I can direct you to page 28 of your
	didn't describe it in the report.		report.
12	•	12	What your report says is simply that
	right?	13	MS. McNABB: Hold on. She
14	MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates.	14	BY MS. BARNHART:
15	THE WITNESS: I didn't feel it was	15	Q this paper found
_	necessary to put it in the report.	16	MS. McNABB: She's not
17	BY MS. BARNHART:	17	(Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)
18	Q. Okay. And am I correct the Meta	18	MS. BARNHART: I'm directing her to the
	researchers here set out to conduct this research		language.
	because they felt (as read):	20	BY MS. BARNHART:
21	"A better understanding of	21	Q. So page 28
22	problematic Facebook use can inform the	22	MS. McNABB: If you're going to read
23	design context the design of	23	BY MS. BARNHART:
24	context-appropriate and supportive tools	24	Q. Are you on page 28?
25	to help people become more in control"?	25	MS. McNABB: she needs to turn
	Page 335		Page 337
1	A. That's what it says in the Abstract, yes.	1	MS. BARNHART: Okay.
2	Q. Do you agree that that's a good thing?	2	MS. McNABB: and give her a chance to
3	A. Yes.	3	turn to the page.
4	Q. It's good, it's you agree it's a good	4	MS. BARNHART: Right.
5	thing for Meta to conduct research on user's	5	BY MS. BARNHART:
6	experience and then make product design changes in	6	Q. So I'm at Section 8, Romanette as you
7	response to that		taught me Romanette viii, subsection B. And what
8	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked		you've observed about this study is simply that
9	BY MS. BARNHART:		(as read):
10	Q research; correct?	10	"3.1 percent of Facebook users
11	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and	11	developed severe social media addiction."
	answered.	12	Do you see that?
13	THE WITNESS: I believe it's important for	13	MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates the
	defendants, including Meta, to analyze the harms of		report.
	their products. I believe that they shouldn't be	15	THE WITNESS: It does say here that
	the only ones doing that. They should make that		(as read):
	data available widely, publicly available to	17	"They found that 3.1 percent of
	researchers, because it's the best data there is.	18	Facebook users developed severe social media addiction."
		19	Yes.
	And them not making it available is in and of itself	20	
20	problematic.	20	
20 21	problematic. I also am skeptical based on what I have	21	BY MS. BARNHART:
20 21 22	problematic. I also am skeptical based on what I have read and seen that they have they will use what	21 22	BY MS. BARNHART: Q. Okay. Nowhere in Exhibit 10 do the authors
20 21 22 23	problematic. I also am skeptical based on what I have read and seen that they have they will use what they find for good, that I Facebook has gathered	21 22 23	BY MS. BARNHART: Q. Okay. Nowhere in Exhibit 10 do the authors of this paper use the term "severe social media
20 21 22 23 24	problematic. I also am skeptical based on what I have read and seen that they have they will use what	21 22	BY MS. BARNHART: Q. Okay. Nowhere in Exhibit 10 do the authors

85 (Pages 334 - 337)

Page 338 Page 340 1 scale on their survey items measuring problematic 1 So if I testified to that earlier, I misspoke. 2 Compulsion does relate to low control over 2 use, a/k/a addiction, and based on the standard 3 experiences. 3 interpretation of a Likert scale, "very often and 4 always" corresponds to severe. "Sometimes" would be 4 BY MS. BARNHART: 5 mild. And "never, rarely" would be -- "never, 5 Q. Control is a separate component of your 6 rarely," would be mild, but sometimes would be 6 definition of addiction; correct? A. Control over time spent is one criteria. 7 7 moderate. 8 But control over experiences is broader and could 8 So I would have to read it again to say --9 include mental preoccupation with the drug. 9 to see whether or not they used the language 10 "severe" or some equivalent. I'm happy to do that. 10 So I think that compulsion could be 11 included. But I would agree with you that craving, Q. Yeah. Well, as -- as we go through this 12 tolerance, and withdrawal are not specifically in 12 study together, you can let me know if you ever see 13 any discussion of severe social media addiction. 13 the Meta definition or the Facebook definition. Q. Okay. So three of the six components of 14 A. M-hm. 15 your definition of "social media addiction" are not 15 Q. And you just testified just now that 16 actually reflected in the definition of "perceived 16 problematic use is also known as addiction. Did I hear you correctly? 17 problematic use" that's used in this paper; correct? A. As I said earlier, I am summarizing 11 DSM 18 A. The Meta definition of "problematic social 18 19 criteria into an easier shorthand way to remember 19 media use," which I've talked about previously, is a 20 them into 6 criteria. 20 pretty good definition of social media addiction. 21 Q. Okay. Earlier you testified that But it's not as if, like, there are 22 six criteria now and you need two of them, because 22 problematic use and social media addiction are 23 the four Cs encompass nine criteria. 23 different things as a definitional matter; correct? MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 24 So you can have multiple consequences 25 criteria, which means that the "negative life 25 testimony. Page 339 Page 341 1 THE WITNESS: I believe I testified that --1 impacts" part of their definition, probably -- not 2 that they weren't identical, but there was enough 2 probably, does encompass multiple criteria 3 overlap for me to view them as equivalent, and 3 overlapping with the DSM criteria. 4 that's how I used the terms. I used "problematic Q. My question, Dr. Lembke, was, isn't it true 5 social media use" as equivalent to "social media 5 that the definition of "perceived problematic use" 6 addiction," and I believe I testified that way. 6 used in the paper that we've marked as Exhibit 10 BY MS. BARNHART: 7 does not include three of the six components -Q. Actually, what you testified earlier is 8 craving, tolerance, or withdrawal -- that are part 9 that Meta's definition of perceived problematic use, 9 of your definition of "social media addiction"? 10 as used in this paper, does not consider criteria 10 A. That's fair. 11 such as craving, compulsion, tolerance, or 11 BY MS. McNABB: Objection. 12 withdrawal; correct? 12 BY MS. BARNHART: 13 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 13 Q. Okay. A. Yeah. 14 testimony. 14 15 BY MS. BARNHART: 15 Q. And, in fact, the authors of this paper --16 Q. Is that correct? 16 excuse me -- explicitly state that they are not 17 measuring addiction; correct? 17 A. Give me one second. A. Can you point me to that? 18 18 Can you say it again? 19 Q. You testified earlier today that Meta's 19 Q. Sure. 20 definition of "perceived problematic use," which is 20 So if you look at the second paragraph 21 under the first section, the Introduction section, 21 the definition used in Exhibit 10, does not consider 22 the authors write (as read): 22 criteria such as craving, compulsion, tolerance, or 23 withdrawal. 23 "We do not use the term 'addiction' 24 because there is no agreed-upon criteria 24 MS. McNABB: Same objection.

86 (Pages 338 - 341)

for diagnosis and because diagnoses of

25

THE WITNESS: It does include compulsion.

25

	Daga 242		Page 344
1	Page 342 clinical-level concerns would require	1	So, you know, we can argue about the
2	more formal assessment (i.e., by a mental		
3	health professional)."	1	language and who's using what term, you know, when.
4	<u>.</u>		The bottom line is, it's the same disease process,
5	•		whatever you call it.
	A. Let me just read it.	5	BY MS. BARNHART:
6	Ç	6	Q. I understand your position on that.
1	with that statement, but that is what it says here.	7	Can we agree, then, that this paper,
8	Č		Exhibit 10, does not ever in it state that
1	terms they plan to use in the paper; correct?		3.1 percent of Facebook users developed severe
10	E		social media addiction?
1	assumptions about diagnostic criteria for the	11	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and
	diagnosis of social media addiction, which, as I've		answered.
1	said in my testimony today and as I say in my	13	THE WITNESS: I think all I can agree on
	report, I don't agree with.	1	with that is that they don't use the word
15	I do feel that there is there are	15	"addiction," but
1	agreed-upon criteria, not every definition is	16	BY MS. BARNHART:
	identical. But the overall gestalt describing	17	Q. Or the word "severe"; correct?
	addictive behaviors is similar enough that I think	18	A. Well, let me look a little
1	we're talking about the same construct.	19	MS. McNABB: Objection. Asked and
20		20	answered.
21	"Because diagnoses of clinical-level	21	THE WITNESS: more closely.
22	concerns would require more formal	22	BY MS. BARNHART:
23	assessment (i.e., by a mental health	23	Q. And if you need to review this whole paper
24	1 /	24	to answer my question, I'd suggest we go off the
25	I disagree with that statement. I think a	25	record.
	Page 343		Page 345
	formal assessment by a mental health professional is	1	MS. McNABB: She has only taken a minute to
	only one way to get at clinical-level concerns. I		look at it this far. If she needs a few minutes,
3	think there are other ways to do that.		she can review it on the record. If she needs
4	The defendants' own documents get at those	1	ten minutes or half an hour, then we can go off the
1	clinical-level concerns. The medical literature	5	record. But she can give her a couple of minutes.
	looking at populations using survey scales on social	6	
7	media addiction get at those clinical concerns.	7	Q. Can you answer the question?
8	Q. Do you disagree with the statement that	8	A. I am not seeing their use of the word
9	these authors do not use the term "addiction" in	9	"severe" in here. But I don't think that undermines
10	this paper?	10	the point that I'm making by referencing this
11	A. I can agree with the statement that they do	11	article, which is that they found that 3.1 percent
12	not use the term "addiction." But that's the only	12	developed a social media addiction, even though they
13	part of that statement that I agree with.	13	didn't use that language.
14	Q. And leaving that aside, you, nevertheless,	14	Q. So are you, then, changing your language in
15	interpreted this paper, in which the term	15	your report to drop the word "severe"?
16	"addiction" is never used, to demonstrate that	16	MS. McNABB: Objection.
17	3.1 percent of Facebook users developed severe	17	BY MS. BARNHART:
18	social media addiction; correct?	18	Q. Do you think that's a misstatement in your
19	MS. McNABB: Objection.	19	report?
20	THE WITNESS: As I made clear in my	20	MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative.
21	testimony today and also specifically state in my	21	BY MS. BARNHART:
1	report, addiction is a commonly understood term that	22	Q. And I'm still on that same page, 28.
1	is synonymous with use disorder in the DSM and	23	
	other and other definitions of social media	24	
25	addiction.	25	answer this question, I have to look at footnote 90,

87 (Pages 342 - 345)

Page 346 Page 348 1 the Meta document footnote 90, to refresh my memory. 1 restrictive than some models. Therefore, 2 our estimate of 3.1 percent is an upper 2 Because I'm recalling that I saw a document that was 3 bound compared to other definitions with 3 an internal Facebook document that said that they 4 4 found that 3.1 percent developed severe problematic stricter criteria." 5 Do you see that? 5 use, or if they didn't use the word "severe," they 6 used some equivalent term, and that 55 percent 6 A. Okay. Yeah, I see that. 7 Q. You agree with me that "upper bound" means 7 developed a, actually, moderate use disorder. 8 a conservative estimate of perceived problematic So -- and I believe that is footnote 90. 9 So it would be great if I could see that and I could 9 use? 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 10 better answer your question. THE WITNESS: I agree with you that that's 11 Q. Well, unfortunately, your counsel has given 12 what they're claiming here. 12 me very limited time with you today. So I don't 13 have time to show you documents other than the one 13 BY MS. BARNHART: Q. Okay. Earlier today you testified that 14 that you cited to support this statement. 14 15 this paper measured mental health -- mental health MS. McNABB: Objection. Argumentative. 15 16 outcomes, including depression and anxiety. 16 We've given counsel --MS. BARNHART: There's no question to 17 Do you recall that testimony? 17 18 A. I'm not specifically recalling that. 18 object to. 19 Q. Do you agree with me that this paper does 19 MS. McNABB: -- extra time. 20 not, in fact, measure mental health outcomes, 20 MS. BARNHART: So ... 21 including depression and anxiety? 21 MS. McNABB: I'm objecting to your comment A. Well, this -- this paper looks at 22 22 that was argumentative. 23 consequences defined as "negative life impact 23 MS. BARNHART: Well, let me finish my 24 attributed to Facebook." 24 question then. And then you can object to a 25 question if you have an objection. 25 But you are correct, it doesn't Page 347 Page 349 1 BY MS. BARNHART: 1 specifically ask about depression or anxiety. O. So I want to look into -- to the definition Q. Okay. So you do not believe that this 3 paper is one that made any findings relating to any 3 that these authors used for problematic use. If you 4 look at the page ending in -78, at the bottom of 4 relationship between Facebook use and the 5 this under "Results." 5 development of depression or anxiety? A. Sorry, I'm not -- oh, yeah. MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 6 7 7 testimony. Yeah. Q. These authors state that they've actually 8 THE WITNESS: That's fair. 9 used a broader definition of problematic use than is 9 BY MS. BARNHART: 10 articulated in the literature, and they find that 10 Q. In your report, I'm looking at pages -- the 11 their estimate of 3.1 percent is an upper bound 11 bottom of page 25 going to the top of page 26. This 12 is another place where you cite Exhibit 10. And you 12 compared with other definitions with stricter 13 say that this study used the Bergen Social Media 13 criteria. 14 Do you see that? 14 Addiction Scale. 15 A. I'm sorry. I don't know where that is. 15 Do you see that? 16 Can you point to it? 16 A. Yes, I do. Q. And in your words, that scale measures Q. It's in the paragraph under "Who 17 18 experiences problematic use?" 18 addiction through six core components: salience, 19 A. M-hm. 19 tolerance, mood modification, relapse, withdrawal, Q. And then if you read down into that 20 and conflict; correct? 20 21 paragraph, it says (as read): A. Yes. 21 22 "Because of a lack of consensus in Q. And the definition of "perceived 23 prior literature about how to define 23 problematic use" that was used in Exhibit 10 does 24 not attempt to measure those six components, does 24 problematic use, we include the two most 25 common criteria. This is less 25 it?

88 (Pages 346 - 349)

Page 352 Page 350 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague. 1 the definition of "perceived problematic use" in 2 THE WITNESS: It attempts to measure some 2 this paper? 3 of those components but not all of those components. A. I mean, I disagree with that because their BY MS. BARNHART: 4 questions about consequences are vague, including 4 5 O. Correct. 5 whether or not Facebook has a, quote, very negative 6 And so it is not a true statement that the 6 impact on their lives. And that very negative 7 impact can take many different forms including, 7 Meta researchers who wrote this paper that is 8 Exhibit 10 used the Bergen Social Media Addiction 8 frankly, depression and anxiety. 9 Scale: correct? So I want to kind of reverse what I said 10 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. 10 earlier. I think you persuaded me to say something THE WITNESS: Well, if you go to the paper 11 11 that I don't think is true, looking at this more 12 itself and look at their Method section, 12 closely, which I stated earlier that this study is 13 specifically under "Problematic use survey," it 13 evidence that problematic social media use, social 14 states here (as read): 14 media addiction, can contribute to a myriad of "The survey contained questions about 15 negative consequences, including depression and 15 control and negative life impact adapted 16 16 anxiety. 17 from the Internet Addiction Test, the 17 And looking, again, here, I think it's 18 perfectly possible, if not probable, that the very 18 Generalized Problematic Internet Use 19 19 negative impacts on their lives could have included Scale, and the Bergen Facebook Addiction 20 Scale." 20 mood impacts. 21 Q. There's no specific finding in here about So I believe that that's an accurate 22 depression or anxiety, correct, and no specific 22 statement to say that they used at least some of the 23 questions from the Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale 23 question to the respondents about that topic? MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague and 24 BY MS. BARNHART: 24 25 25 compound. Q. And that's -- that's not what you said in Page 351 Page 353 1 your report; right? THE WITNESS: I'm not seeing specific So are you modifying your report now to say 2 questions asking about anxiety and depression. But 3 that these Meta researchers adapted some questions 3 the question, Has Facebook had a very negative 4 from a scale as opposed to using the Bergen media --4 impact on your life? Even the other questions, Has 5 social media addiction scale? 5 it hurt school or work performance? You know, Does MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates report 6 it get involved with sleep? I mean, I think there 7 and argumentative. 7 could -- embedded in that could be mood

THE WITNESS: So it looks like they adapted

9 these scales to create this scale. It's -- it's a

10 little hard to know exactly what they did.

But I would agree with you that they

12 didn't -- it doesn't appear that they used the

13 Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale in its entirety,

14 that they used some of the questions related to

15 out-of-control use and especially consequences.

16 BY MS. BARNHART:

Q. So they did not, in fact, employ the Bergen 17

18 social media addiction scale in conducting this

19 research; correct?

A. I disagree with that statement. It's clear

21 that they used the Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale

22 to inform their study, which still lends credibility

23 to the scale itself.

Q. They did not attempt to measure tolerance

25 or mood modification; correct? That was not part of

8 consequences.

9

18

BY MS. BARNHART:

10 Q. And you're speculating about that; right?

11 A. I wouldn't say I'm --

12 MS. McNABB: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm 13

14 speculating, especially about the statement

15 "Facebook has a very negative impact on their

16 lives," because if you look at Facebook's own

17 qualitative studies --

BY MS. BARNHART:

19 Q. I'm just asking you about Exhibit 10?

20

Q. -- Dr. Lembke. I'm not asking about any 21

22 other studies.

23 A. Yeah. But --

24 MS. McNABB: Just for the record to be 25 clean, please don't interrupt her answer. She's

89 (Pages 350 - 353)

Page 354 Page 356 1 giving you an answer. You can ask your question 1 how I would understand this finding, that those 2 when she's done. 2 individuals that find Facebook reinforcing and THE WITNESS: Yeah. 3 valuable are also the very same people who are more So this -- you know, this work by Facebook 4 likely to get addicted to Facebook. 4 5 is clearly building on a larger body of work within I would also say that as people become 6 Facebook that I evaluated, including qualitative 6 addicted to social media, they have what's called 7 studies that they did, one of which specifically 7 "delayed discounting" where they tend to value 8 identified problematic outcomes. 8 short-term rewards over longer-term rewards. BY MS. BARNHART: So the disease progression itself would 10 Q. Okay. Well, if you need to find it, we can 10 entail finding Facebook stimuli, you know, more 11 go off the record and find that. 11 reinforcing than other reinforcers. So there's a A. That's fine. 12 loss of salience in other activities and narrowing 12 13 Q. But, again, I have very limited time with 13 of focus on the drug of choice. 14 you today. BY MS. BARNHART: 14 A. I think I -- I've said mainly what I need 15 Q. Dr. Lembke, do you have any understanding 16 to say, which is that I do feel that this represents 16 of Meta's Teen Accounts feature? 17 a piece of evidence that has broad-based negative 17 A. I am somewhat familiar with that, yes. 18 consequences that could include mood consequences, 18 I've read about that. 19 for example, depression and anxiety. Q. And you understand that the Teen Accounts Q. If you look at the page ending in -81. 20 feature makes a number of time management tools 21 A. -81. 21 defaults for teen users? 22 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. Q. The second paragraph on that page. 23 A. Oh, in the study? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am aware of that. 24 Q. Yes. M-hm. 24 BY MS. BARNHART: 25 The authors have a finding on this page. 25 Q. And do you understand that 13- to Page 355 Page 357 1 Are you there? 1 15-year-old users of Instagram cannot opt out of 2 A. I'm there. 2 those defaults without parental approval? Q. I'm looking at the paragraph starting 3 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 3 4 "Despite feeling." THE WITNESS: If -- I'd be curious when 4 5 (As read): 5 that was implemented. My sense of all of these, "Despite feeling like there were 6 quote-unquote, well-being interventions is that 6 7 areas of their lives that were negatively 7 they're quite recent and that it is possible to opt 8 impacted by Facebook use, people in the 8 out of them. 9 problematic use group also rated Facebook 9 BY MS. BARNHART: 10 as more valuable in their lives than did 10 Q. So it's not -- you're not aware that 13- to 11 15-year-old Instagram users cannot opt out of the 11 people in the nonproblematic use group." 12 Teen Account defaults without parental approval? 12 Do you see that? MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 13 A. I do see that. 13 Q. So even those users who reported perceived 14 BY MR. ERCOLE: 15 problematic use received value and benefit from 15 Q. Is that information your counsel did not 16 using Facebook? 16 provide to you in connection with your report? MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates. And MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 17 17 18 also misstates the full sentence in the -- in the 18 Argumentative. And also calls for attorney-expert 19 study. 19 privilege. 20 THE WITNESS: It's not at all surprising to 20 So you can answer it "yes" or "no," but --21 me that people in the problematic use group rated 21 if you -- if you know. But don't go into details 22 Facebook as more valuable in their lives. We know 22 about what we've discussed. 23 very well that people who are vulnerable to a 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not specifically 24 certain addictive behavior find that addictive

90 (Pages 354 - 357)

MS. BARNHART: Let's go off the record.

24 recalling that.

25 behavior more salient or reinforcing. And that's

Page 358 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:46. We're A. No, I do not. Q. And I believe you testified before that 2 off the record. 3 other than YouTube, you don't presently use any of (Recess taken from 5:46 to 5:53.) 4 the defendants' platforms; is that right? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:53. We're 4 A. That is correct. 5 back on the record. 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAVIN 6 Q. Okay. Have you ever used Snapchat? 7 A. I have seen it used by others, my patients 7 BY MR. BLAVIN: 8 and my kids. And they've described to me their 8 Q. Good evening, Dr. Lembke. My name is 9 Jonathan Blavin. I'm an attorney at Munger, 9 usage. But I personally have not used it to 10 Tolles & Olson. We represent Snap in the case. 10 communicate with others. Q. Okay. So other than observing maybe your And I'm just going to ask you a few 11 12 children or hearing feedback who are using Snapchat 12 questions relating to Snapchat, and if anything is 13 unclear, please let me know. 13 or others or hearing feedback from your patients, 14 would it be fair to say that that's the extent of Sitting here today, are you offering any 15 where you've received your knowledge about how 15 opinions regarding Snapchat that are not disclosed 16 in your report? 16 Snapchat functions? 17 A. No. 17 A. I would add to that list my review of 18 Q. Okay. So if your report is silent, doesn't 18 Snapchat's internal documents. 19 Q. Okay. 19 address a particular feature of Snapchat, it's fair 20 A. Yeah. 20 to say that you do not intend to offer at trial any 21 Q. Dr. Lembke, when a user opens Snapchat, 21 opinions relating to that feature? 22 what part of the app do they initially see? 22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 23 A. I believe there's a home page. I don't 23 THE WITNESS: That might be an overreach. 24 If I'm presented with a feature and asked to 24 know if it's called that, but it's something like a 25 home page that they will see. 25 evaluate it in realtime, I may well offer an Page 359 1 opinion. Q. That's your opinion, that they see a home 2 BY MR. BLAVIN: 2 page when they open the app? A. It like their profile page or the page that Q. But other than that circumstance, in which 4 it could be presented to you in the context of your 4 has their friends on it. 5 testimony, you don't anticipate offering any Q. Okay. Dr. Lembke, would it surprise you 6 testimony relating to features which are not 6 that when a user opens the Snapchat app, they don't 7 specifically addressed in your report? 7 see a home page but they see a camera? A. That's correct. A. Oh. I guess that would surprise me a Q. Okay. Now, I believe earlier you testified 9 little bit, yes. 10 that you reviewed four of the defendants' experts' Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that it's news 11 reports: reports from Drs. Tucker, Kishida, 11 to you that when a user opens the Snapchat app, it 12 Auerbach, and Galvàn; is that correct? 12 actually opens to a camera? A. Yes. 13 A. That's news to me, yeah. 14 Q. It's fair to say, then, that you did not I know that -- I am aware that Snapchat 15 review the report from Dr. Nick Allen? 15 identifies itself as a -- a photography company. I A. I did not. 16 don't think they use that exact language, but that Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that, sitting 17 they see themselves as a photo-exchanging platform 18 here today, you don't have any rebuttal opinions 18 and that that's an important distinction to them. I 19 don't see it as an important distinction. 19 relating to Dr. Allen's report? 20 A. That's correct. 20 But thank you for clarifying for me that 21 Q. I believe you testified before, Dr. Lembke, 21 when they first open it, they see a camera.

91 (Pages 358 - 361)

Q. Okay. And given that that's the way that

A. Because whatever comes after the camera

23 the app opens, it opens to a camera, why wouldn't

24 that be an important distinction in your opinion?

22

24 25

22 that you do not have any accounts for the

Q. So you don't have a Snapchat account?

23 defendants' platforms; is that right?

A. That's correct.

Page 360

Page 361

Page 362 1 looks very similar to other defendants' platforms 1 2 with similar addictive design features, like the 3 endless scroll, the autoplay, the notifications, the 4 posts, comments, shares, likes, and then the 5 addition of other Snapchat-specific features, like 6 the Streaks, the BFFs, the trophies, things like 6 potential. 7 that. The filters, the Bit emojis. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know what comes after a user 9 uses a camera -- the camera function on the app? A. I've explored many of the different pages. 10 concert. 11 I can't say I know the specific sequence in which 11 12 they appear. 12 13 Q. Do you know that when a user uses the 14 Snapchat app and takes a picture, the app then A. Yeah. 15 prompts them to see if they want to send that image 15 16 to one of their friends? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Okay. Can you explain to me your 19 understanding of how Streaks works on the Snapchat 19 that right? 20 app? 20 21 A. My understanding is that Streaks functions 22 like a reward in and of itself for the number of

Page 363

1 them at least once a day to maintain your streak.

23 times you message someone else. And I believe that

24 Streaks specifically relies on daily messaging, so

25 there's a 24-hour cycle that you have to message

2 Q. Yeah.

3 And do you understand that a user must 4 choose to initiate and pursue a streak with another 5 user on the app?

A. Yes.

7 Q. And is it fair to say that if a user wanted 8 to maintain a streak on the Snapchat app, like you

9 said, it could just -- they need to do it once a

10 day -- that it would only take a few minutes for

11 them to continue that streak each day?

12 A. Yes, I am aware of that.

Q. Are you aware that other apps besides

14 Snapchat use a similar Streaks functionality?

15 A. I didn't know that, but I'm not surprised.

Q. Would it surprise you that the Duolingo

17 app, which teaches people how to use foreign

18 languages, has a Streak functionality to it?

19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation.

20 THE WITNESS: It doesn't surprise me, no.

21 BY MR. BLAVIN:

Q. Do you think Streaks used in other apps,

23 such as Duolingo, are inherently harmful?

MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation and 24

25 scope.

Page 364 THE WITNESS: I think Streaks are one of

2 the design features that create this compulsive way

3 of interacting on a platform. And given enough of

4 those features, especially in a vulnerable youth

5 population, can contribute to the addictive

I don't think I would stand here and say

8 that a streak by itself would make an app addictive.

9 But it's all of those different design features in

BY MR. BLAVIN:

Q. Okay. So a streak in -- just so I

13 understand your testimony --

Q. -- a streak in isolation on an app, in your

16 opinion, wouldn't necessarily make the app

17 addictive, but it's the streak in combination with

18 other features or functionalities on the app; is

A. Yeah. I think the emphasis is on not

21 necessarily. So it might, you know, in a vulnerable

22 user. But it's really the combination of these

23 various addictive design features that ultimately

24 makes them highly reinforcing.

25 Streaks is one of many features on Snapchat

Page 365

1 that makes it reinforcing.

Q. What other features in conjunction with

3 Streaks would reinforce the addictive nature of the

4 app, in your opinion?

A. So I do talk about this in detail in my

6 report, and I'm happy to go to that section.

Q. Well, I guess -- you don't need to do that.

A. Okay.

9 Q. My question really is, is there any feature

10 that you identify that is specifically tied to

11 communicating via Streak which adds to the addictive

12 nature of the Streak in your opinion, or are these

13 just other features of Snapchat unrelated to the

14 Streak which in your opinion makes the app generally

15 more addictive?

16 MS. McNABB: Objection. Compound. And 17 vague.

18 BY MR. BLAVIN:

19 Q. And if you don't know, it's fine to say

20 that you don't know.

21 A. I'm just trying to see --

22 Q. Yeah.

23 A. -- see if there are features on Snapchat

24 that are in combination with Streaks or whether

25 these are separate features. Your question ...

92 (Pages 362 - 365)

Page 366 Page 368 Q. Well, I'll let you think about that Do you know generally how much time 2 further. If something comes to mind, you can answer 2 Snapchat users on average spend using different 3 the question. 3 features on the app? A. Thank you. A. Let me just look at my report quickly. Q. Are you familiar with what sort of 5 Q. It's fair to say I didn't see that in your 5 6 information is displayed on a Snapchat user's 6 report? A. I do not. 7 profile? 7 8 A. Yes. Q. Okay. So you don't know, for example, if 9 users spend -- adult users or minor users, if they 9 Q. What information is displayed? A. Photographs, videos, Streaks, friends, 10 spend most of their time on the app messaging with 11 people who might be friends, tailored videos, 11 friends versus, for example, viewing content on 12 endless scroll videos that are not tailored but that 12 Spotlight? 13 other people have liked, maps, geolocation. 13 A. Yeah. I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did you ask anyone for that data? 14 Did I say --14 Q. On a user's profile? 15 A. I did not. 15 So --16 Q. Did you think it wasn't important to your 16 17 A. Oh, on a user's profile. 17 opinions? Q. -- is it -- do you -- is it your testimony 18 A. I think --19 that a user's friends list are displayed on their 19 MS. McNABB: Hold on. Sorry. 20 public profile on Snapchat? 20 Objection. Foundation. 21 A. I'm actually not sure what's on the public THE WITNESS: I think it's a great idea. I 21 22 didn't think about it. But if I had, I would have 22 profile. 23 Q. Okay. Do you know if Snap has likes, 23 asked for it. 24 24 Snapchat has likes? BY MR. BLAVIN: A. I don't believe so. 25 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Snapchat is Page 367 Page 369 Q. Okay. So the testimony that you've given 1 primarily used by its users as a communications 1 2 today about likes being an addictive feature of apps 2 tool? 3 would not apply to Snapchat; is that fair? 3 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. A. I don't think it's quite fair just because 4 THE WITNESS: Then I kind of wonder what 5 Snapchat has other features that are akin to likes, 5 you mean by that. 6 and in a way Streaks serve that role. Somebody that BY MR. BLAVIN: 7 you're Streaking with, that you bother to do that 7 Q. Well, for example, we talked about 8 every day, that's positive re-enforcement. So it 8 examining usage of various features on the app. As 9 works in a similar type of way. 9 I've talked about before, the app opens to a camera 10 Certainly the BFF functions are strongly 10 which then allows someone to share video image with 11 socially reinforcing, and so akin to the likes. 11 a friend. 12 Q. M-hm. 12 My question to you is, are you aware that 13 Snapchat users primarily use Snapchat as a method of 13 A. Friend emojis. 14 communication with one another as opposed to using Q. When a user posts a public story on 15 Snapchat, do you know if the number of times the 15 other features on the app? 16 story has been viewed is publicly available? A. I wasn't aware that that was how people 16 17 A. I don't know. 17 were primarily using it. Q. Okay. You testified before that you've 18 O. Okay. A. I do believe, though, that Spotlight 19 studied these apps. You consider yourself an expert 20 specifically highlights popular content. And 20 in the features of the app. 21 Snapchat internal documents make it clear that the So with respect to Snapchat's messaging 21

93 (Pages 366 - 369)

22 functionality, would you agree that it's similar to

23 texting messages to a friend using the iMessage

MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation.

24 feature on an iPhone?

25 Snapchat.

24

23 surface popular videos.

22 algorithm has been designed to, quote-unquote,

Q. Let's talk a little bit about the uses of

Page 370

CONFIDENTIAL

1 THE WITNESS: I would agree that it has 2 texting capabilities, but there are many other

- 3 additional features that make it really different
- 4 from just texting on an iPhone.
- 5 BY MR. BLAVIN:
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 But you haven't yourself studied, in terms
- 8 of time spent on the app, whether users primarily
- 9 use the app for these texting functionalities versus
- 10 these other features; correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And would that be a relevant -- I think you
- 13 said before that it would be a great idea to look at
- 14 that data?
- A. M-hm. 15
- Q. So you think that would be relevant to your
- 17 opinions relating to Snapchat?
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 18
- 19 testimony.
- THE WITNESS: It would be relevant, but the
- 21 finding that they're spending most of their time
- 22 texting wouldn't change my opinion about the
- 23 addictive nature of Snapchat.
- BY MR. BLAVIN: 24
- 25 Q. Okay. But I believe you testified earlier

Page 371

- 1 in this deposition -- you were asked various
- 2 questions about activities which could be addictive.
- 3 You were asked, is texting an addictive behavior?
- 4 And I believe you testified, no, that texting is not 5 addictive.
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates and 7 vague.
- THE WITNESS: I should have qualified that
- 9 answer with it depends on the platform on which the 10 texting is occurring.
- 11 BY MR. BLAVIN:
- 12 Q. So is texting on iMessage addictive?
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Scope. 13
- 14 THE WITNESS: I mean, it could be
- 15 potentially.
- But if I look at Snapchat, you know, you
- 17 say that they're using it as a communication tool.
- 18 But my analysis is that a lot of the exchanges that
- 19 are occurring on Snapchat, even if they're occurring
- 20 via texting, is in order to maintain some kind of
- 21 social status or be in an in group or maintain
- 22 Streaks or BFFs.
- 23 BY MR. BLAVIN:
- 24 Q. Where is that in your report?
- 25 In terms of the specific thing you just

Page 372 1 said, that texting on Snapchat is used to maintain

- 2 some type of social status, I did not see that in
- 3 your report.
- 4 A. M-hm.
- 5 Well, on page 52 of my report, I mention a
- 6 qualitative study that was done by Snapchat, which
- 7 specifically calls out Streaks, for example, as
- 8 addictive, and then quotes from users saying that
- 9 Streaks are stressful, that they feel obligated to
- 10 maintain Streaks because of friends' reactions if
- 11 they don't.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry, Dr. Lembke. I wasn't asking
- 13 about Streaks specifically. I understand that some
- 14 users can opt in to use Streaks.
- 15 I was just talking about the basic
- 16 functionality of messaging on Snapchat back and
- 17 forth with your friends.
- 18 A. M-hm.
- 19 Q. And are -- I did not see an opinion, other
- 20 than this paragraph on Streaks which you've
- 21 referenced, which talks about social status or
- 22 anything like that relating to Snapchat's use as a
- 23 communications tool.
- 24 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat your question?
- 25 Q. I'm just asking, can you -- I -- my

Page 373

- 1 question was focused not on Streaks, just using
- 2 Snapchat as a communications tool, back-and-forth
- 3 messaging with your friends. I didn't see any
- 4 opinion in your report which tied it to social
 - 5 status.
- A. So my assessment of Snapchat is that it's
- 7 not simply an innocuous communication tool between
- 8 friends; that a lot of the kids who use Snapchat,
- 9 especially those who get addicted to it, spend a lot
- 10 of time there trying to maintain this kind of social
- 11 validation and social status which the Snapchat
- 12 features have created.
- Q. Okay. Do you cite any studies or other 13
- 14 types of evidence to support that conclusion?
- 15 I'm not sure where that conclusion is
- 16 actually in your report itself.
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Compound. 17
- 18 BY MR. BLAVIN:
- 19 Q. Okay. Have you been able to find the part
- 20 of your report which establishes that?
- 21 A. I mean, my report focuses more on the
- 22 features, like the endless scroll --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. -- the video platforms.
- 25 Q. And that's, like, the Spotlight feature?

94 (Pages 370 - 373)

Page 374	Page 376
1 A. Yeah. The posts, the favorite shares, the	1 "A model of time spent on social
2 comments, the maps, the BFFs.	2 media "
3 Q. So not specifically the messaging	3 Do you see that?
4 functionality of Snapchat?	4 A. Third paragraph from yes, I do.
5 A. And to me, those are a part of the	5 Q. Okay. And it says (as read):
6 messaging functionality. But if you're specifically	6 "A model of time spent on social
7 talking about the texting feature, yeah, it's not	7 media reveals that consumers spend
8 focusing on the texting feature.	8 significantly more time on content media
9 MR. BLAVIN: I'd like to introduce an	9 than on chat media (e.g., Snapchat and
10 exhibit. I believe this is Tab 1 in our set of	10 Messenger)."
11 documents. I apologize. It's not stapled. It's a	Do you see that?
12 big document.	12 A. M-hm.
13 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)	13 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with
14 (Marked for identification purposes,	14 that opinion?
15 Lembke Exhibit 11.)	MS. McNABB: Objection.
16 BY MR. BLAVIN:	16 THE WITNESS: I mean
17 Q. Dr. Lembke, if you look at page 10 of your	MS. McNABB: Speculation. Foundation.
18 report, in subpoint D, it says (as read):	18 THE WITNESS: no.
19 "A February 2025 study 'Young	19 BY MR. BLAVIN:
20 Consumers and Social Media.'"	Q. Okay. This is one of the studies that you
21 Do you see that?	21 relied upon in your report; correct?
22 A. Yes.	22 A. M-hm.
Q. And that's if you go to page 8, that's	23 Q. Okay. Go to page 32 of this document. And
24 under the heading of your report (as read):	24 if you go four paragraphs up from the bottom, it
25 "Social media addiction has been	25 says (as read):
Page 375	Page 377
1 accepted and validated as a psychiatric	1 "Content-based platforms such as
2 condition by recognized authorities and	2 video-sharing and entertainment-focused
3 peer-reviewed literature."	3 social media such as TikTok and Instagram
4 Do you see that?	4 were associated with significantly more
5 A. I'm sorry.	5 overuse than chat-based media such as
6 Q. And this is just I'm sorry, I was	6 Snapchat and Messenger."
7 reading the heading on page 8 under which this	7 Do you see that?
8 report falls.	8 A. I'm sorry. Which paragraph? I'm at the
9 A. Oh, okay. Yes.	9 page, but I'd like
10 Q. Okay. So this is an example of, in your	10 Q. Sorry. It's the fourth paragraph from the
11 opinion, a study which has established that social	11 bottom. It starts "Content-based platforms" on
12 media addiction is accepted and validated as a	12 page 32.
13 psychiatric condition by recognized authorities in	13 A. Yes, I do see that.
14 peer-reviewed literature; is that right?	14 Q. Yes.
15 A. Well, the report acknowledges an absence of	15 A. M-hm.
16 a diagnosis of social media addiction in the DSM and	16 Q. And, again, it says (as read):
17 the ICD-11. But it really uses the term "social	17 "Content-based platforms such as
18 media addiction"	18 video-sharing and entertainment-focused
19 Q. M-hm.	19 social media such as TikTok and Instagram
20 A as measured by the various scales.	were associated with significantly more
21 Q. Okay. And if you could go to page 7 of	21 overuse than chat-based media such as
22 this report, and you'll see from the bottom of the	22 Snapchat and Messenger."
23 page, the third paragraph up	23 Do you see that?
24 A. M-hm.	24 A. I do see that.
25 Q it starts with (as read):	25 Q. And do you have any reason to disagree with
2. Z. It starts with (as read).	2. This do you have any reason to disagree with

95 (Pages 374 - 377)

Page 378 Page 380 1 that opinion? Q. Got it. A. No. 2 A. Yeah. Q. Okay. In page 48 of your report, that's 3 Q. And that, in your opinion, is a clinical or 4 when you begin your Snapchat-specific analysis; is 4 diagnostic tool used in psychiatry? 5 that correct? A. It's similar to frameworks for 6 MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates report. 6 understanding how and why people get triggered to THE WITNESS: That's where I take a deeper 7 engage in the behaviors to get rewards which then 7 8 lead to habit formation and in severe cases 8 dive into specific --BY MR. BLAVIN: 9 addiction. 10 Q. Right. 10 Q. I believe before you said that you didn't 11 request any data relating to time spent on the A. -- Snapchat documents. 11 Q. The prior parts of your report discuss your 12 Snapchat app. 13 own methodology and your overview of the literature 13 Did you request any outside datasets or 14 of social media addiction at large; is that correct? 14 research on user experience or well-being from Snap? A. That's correct. MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates prior 15 Q. And this part of your report discusses 16 testimony. 16 17 Snapchat specifically. 17 THE WITNESS: I did not request any outside And this part of your report is based 18 analysis. I based my review on materials 19 entirely on Snap's internal documents; is that 19 considered. 20 right? 20 MR. BLAVIN: Thank you very much, 21 21 Dr. Lembke. A. Yes. 22 Q. And were any of these Snap documents that 22 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 23 you relied on published in peer-reviewed scientific 23 MR. BLAVIN: No further questions. 24 24 journals? MS. LEHMAN: Can we go off the record while 25 A. I don't believe so, no. 25 we switch? Page 379 Page 381 1 Q. And were any of them reviewed or validated 1 MR. BLAVIN: Yeah. 2 by independent experts? 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:20. We're A. I don't --3 3 off the record. 4 MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague. 4 (Recess taken from 6:20 to 6:22.) THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 5 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:21. We're BY MR. BLAVIN: 6 6 back on the record. 7 Q. Okay. Did any of them involve clinical or 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. LEHMAN 8 diagnostic tools used in psychiatry or psychology? 8 BY MS. LEHMAN: MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague. And Q. Good afternoon, Doctor. My name is 10 speculation. 10 Katie Lehman. We haven't met before today. I 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 represent TikTok. 12 BY MR. BLAVIN: 12 Are you prepared to continue and finish up 13 O. Which one? 13 your deposition today? A. So this is Nir Eyal's hook analysis of how 14 14 A. I am. 15 to get people addicted to things, and that was Q. Okay. And are you prepared to give your 16 referenced in Snapchat's internal documents. 16 final opinions as they relate to TikTok today? Q. Are you -- are you refer -- what page are A. What do you mean by "final opinions"? 17 18 you on? I'm sorry. Q. I mean do you anticipate doing any 19 A. I'm on page 54. 19 additional work to develop any additional opinions 20 Q. Oh, this is "The Hook" image. 20 related to TikTok? 21 A. Yes. 21 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. Q. Is that what you're referencing? 22 22 THE WITNESS: I mean, I continue to review 23 A. Yeah. M-hm. 23 the literature. But at this time, you know, the Q. Okay. And --24 24 discovery is closed, so this is my report. 25 A. The habit -- the habit-forming flywheel. 25 ///

96 (Pages 378 - 381)

Page 382 Page 384 1 BY MS. LEHMAN: A. So, you know, I -- I did say that, but I 2 Q. And are all of your opinions as they relate 2 think that I was on YouTube Shorts. And so I think 3 to TikTok reflected in your report? 3 I misspoke. Because I don't think I was on TikTok. MS. McNABB: Objection. 4 I think I was on YouTube Shorts. 4 5 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) Q. Okay. But it's -- it would be inaccurate 6 THE WITNESS: Again, the same answer as 6 to say that you had ever spent three hours on 7 before. If I'm asked to opine on a new document 7 TikTok; correct? 8 presented to me, I'm happy to offer that opinion. A. I think that's inaccurate. I think I 9 But these are my opinions. 9 misspoke. 10 THE STENOGRAPHER: I wasn't able to get 10 Q. And are you able to estimate how many times 11 your full objection. 11 you've actually looked over the shoulder of someone 12 who's using TikTok or showing you something on 12 MS. McNABB: I just said objection. 13 Misstates prior testimony. 13 TikTok? THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 14 14 A. No. 15 BY MS. LEHMAN: 15 Q. Are you able to estimate how much time in Q. Is it correct that you do not currently 16 total you spent looking over the shoulder of someone 16 17 have a TikTok account? 17 who's showing you something on TikTok? A. That is correct. 18 A. No. 19 19 Q. Have you ever had a TikTok account? Q. Then do you -- strike that. 20 Do you have any information about how 20 21 Q. Have any of your children ever had TikTok 21 users, when they are on TikTok -- how they use that 22 accounts? 22 time, like, what they are doing to engage with the 23 A. Yes. I believe so. 23 app? 24 24 Q. How many? A. I have not seen any data on the breakdown 25 25 of what users are doing on that time. A. I'm not sure. Page 383 Page 385 Wait a second. Let me look at my report Q. And is it correct that the only time that 2 for one second. 2 you have personally engaged with TikTok was looking I've looked at broad usage, not a breakdown 3 over the shoulder of someone, either your child or 3 4 of what they've been doing on the platform. 4 an acquaintance, who was using TikTok? Q. And when you say "broad usage," what do you A. No, that's not entirely correct. 6 mean by that? Q. Okay. When was the other occasions when A. Time spent, the time of day that it was 7 you engaged with TikTok? A. My patients showing me the kinds of 8 spent, as well as qualitative research on how it was 9 affecting them. 9 behaviors that they're struggling with on TikTok and 10 also TikTok's own internal documents as well as some 10 Q. But you have not looked at any data that 11 attempts to analyze how users use the time that 11 studies in the medical literature researching 12 they're on TikTok; correct? 12 TikTok. A. When you say "how they use the time," I'm 13 13 Q. Okay. And is it -- is it correct that -- I 14 want to make sure that I have the full universe --14 not sure I'm --15 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.) 15 the only time that you have engaged with TikTok 16 BY MS. LEHMAN: 16 would be the internal documents that you reviewed, 17 medical literature that you reviewed, looking over 17 Q. Right. So whether they're posting something, 18 the shoulder of your child or someone else that 18 19 you're friends with, or when your patients have 19 whether they're looking at content posted by someone 20 else, whether they're -- how exactly they're using 20 described their own use of TikTok; is that correct? 21 the app once they're on the app. 21 A. That's right. A. I've not looked at a breakdown of the Q. Now, have you previously said during 23 interviews that the first time you used TikTok you 23 specifics of how they're -- where they're spending

97 (Pages 382 - 385)

24 their time when they're on the app.

Q. Okay. And have you ever personally

25 without you realizing it?

24 were on it for three hours and that time passed

Page 386 Page 388 1 conducted any study of TikTok? 1 what I want to specifically talk to you about is I A. No. 2 want to specifically talk to you about withdrawal; Q. Do you cite in your report any scientific 3 okav? 4 peer-reviewed literature that specifically studies 4 So when someone goes into withdrawal from 5 TikTok and determines that TikTok, the app, so the 5 crack cocaine, what do they experience? 6 specific app, is addictive? A. Crack cocaine is a stimulant, so withdrawal A. Can you say your question again? Sorry. 7 7 symptoms are usually in the category of sedation 8 Q. Of course. 8 because withdrawal is usually the opposite of Do you cite in your report any scientific 9 whatever the drug does, although that's not 10 peer-reviewed literature that specifically studies 10 universally the case. So they'll feel sedated, 11 TikTok and determines that the TikTok app, that 11 lethargic, depressed, anxious, irritable, unable to 12 specific app, is addictive? 13 A. Well, there is the study by Su, et al., Q. Okay. Can it interfere with their ability 13 14 that I talked about earlier that looks at whether a 14 to go to work and perform normal functions of their 15 tailored video -- TikTok videos are more reinforcing 15 everyday life? 16 than general videos, and finds that they are. 16 A. Yes. But nothing beyond that. 17 Q. Okay. And if somebody goes into withdrawal Q. Did you conduct a Bradford Hill analysis 18 18 from social media, what symptoms do they experience? 19 specific to TikTok? A. In severe cases of social media addiction, 20 A. I mean, I'm always doing a Bradford Hill 20 they can be quite similar to that. People can have 21 analysis in my study of this. I didn't write one up 21 the psychological symptoms of withdrawal from any 22 for this report because my understanding is that --22 addictive substance, which are anxiety, 23 and I know that another expert has done that, and 23 irritability, insomnia, depression, craving. 24 the judge didn't want duplicative reports. 24 And I've also seen kids, in particular, get 25 And in my analysis, I include TikTok in 25 physiologic symptoms from withdrawal with social Page 387 Page 389 1 that. But I didn't do a separate one just for 1 media, nausea, headache, flu-like symptoms, as well 2 as severe emotion dysregulation, temper tantrums, 2 TikTok. 3 you know, screaming, flinging themselves against the Q. Well, your report doesn't include a 4 wall, things like that. 4 Bradford Hill analysis for any -- for either social 5 media generally or for any individual platform; Q. How many patients have you seen fling 6 themselves against the wall while they're in social 7 media withdrawal? 7 A. Not in my report, no. Q. Now, you have said previously -- you've A. Well, I don't --9 9 previously made comments comparing TikTok to crack MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates 10 testimony. 10 cocaine; correct? 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I've not -- I don't A. I believe it. I'm not remembering the 12 see them do that. This is their parents describing 12 specific comment. 13 these problems. Q. Okay. Well, a comment like that, do you 14 agree, would be hyperbolic or exaggerated for 14 BY MS. LEHMAN: Q. So that's not something you've ever 15 effect? 15 16 personally observed? 16 MS. McNABB: Object. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it's 17 A. Well, how could I? I mean, I'm not in 17 18 exaggerated. I think that TikTok is especially 18 these people's homes. 19 pernicious when it comes to safety and kids. 19 It's, you know, when they bring their 20 children in or my adult patients describing their 20 BY MS. LEHMAN:

98 (Pages 386 - 389)

21 experiences in the past.

A. Yes.

Q. And you would agree that there are other

23 substances that can be quite dangerous when a user 24 goes into detox or stops using them; correct?

Q. So you believe that TikTok is the

A. I believe that TikTok is a highly addictive

Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about that. And

22 equivalent of crack cocaine?

24 medium and harmful for kids.

21

3

17

25

Page 390 Q. So, for example, when someone stops

- 2 drinking alcohol, they can -- they can go into very
- 3 serious withdrawal, can't they?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And someone who is an alcoholic and who is
- 6 going into detox for alcohol, you would recommend
- 7 that they be under the care of a medical
- 8 professional to ensure that they do so safely,
- 9 wouldn't you?
- 10 A. The vast majority of people with alcohol
- 11 use disorder can withdraw from alcohol, stop using
- 12 alcohol without having serious medical sequelae.
- 13 It's a minority of individuals who needs a medically
- 14 monitored detox.
- 15 Q. And -- but for -- there are people who are
- 16 severe alcoholics who could even be at risk of death
- 17 going into detox from alcohol; correct?
- 18 A. That's correct. But most substances that
- 19 people get addicted to do not have life-threatening
- 20 withdrawal.
- 21 So the exceptions are alcohol,
- 22 benzodiazepines, and in some cases opioids. But,
- 23 for example, crack cocaine, although very
- 24 uncomfortable and painful, is usually not associated
- 25 with a life-threatening withdrawal.

Page 391

- 1 Q. Okay. And what are the withdrawal symptoms 2 that someone can experience if they are an IV opiate
- 3 user?
- 4 A. So opioid withdrawal has classic symptoms,
- 5 like nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, piloerection,
- 6 irritability, anxiety, dysphoria, craving, autonomic
- 7 instability, flu-like symptoms.
- 8 Q. So I think you said -- you said it quite
- 9 nicely, but someone who's in detox from IV heroin, I
- 10 mean, these are people who can lose control of their
- 11 bowels; correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. And would you agree that when
- 14 someone is going into withdrawal, that that is
- 15 really just a physical manifestation of the desire
- 16 or the longing for that substance?
- 17 A. I'm not quite sure of your use of the words
- 18 a "desire" or a "longing for that substance." I'm
- 19 not quite sure what you -- you mean by that.
- 20 Q. Sure.
- 21 How would you describe what withdrawal is
- 22 to -- to a layman on the street if they asked you to
- 23 describe withdrawal? What would you tell them?
- 24 A. I would describe the process of
- 25 neuroadaptation, which I often do using that

Page 392

- 1 metaphor of the balance and the -- (inaudible)
- 2 (Stenographer interrupted for clarification
 - of the record.)
- 4 THE WITNESS: -- the balance and the
- 5 gremlins, talking about how with repeated exposure
- 6 to any reinforcing substance or behavior, our brain
- 7 adapts to that stimulus such that over time we need
- 8 more and more to get the same effect, or it just
- 9 stops working as well as it used to.
- And then when we try to cut back or stop,
- 11 our brain has a built-in response that makes us feel
- 12 very uncomfortable as a way to try to get us to use
- 13 again to restore the new baseline homeostasis.
- 14 So I wouldn't use -- you know, with a
- 15 layperson on the street, it would take more time to
- 16 go through that. But that's the basic idea.
 - BY MS. LEHMAN:
- 18 Q. And for someone who is -- has been using
- 19 social media, you talked at length today about how 20 long it takes for them to recover and detox.
- We don't need to go over that again, but is
- 22 it correct that their brain returns to baseline
- 23 after they stop using social media?
- 24 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation.
 - THE WITNESS: We don't really know. You

Page 393

- 1 know, again, we are sort of observing phenomenology,
- 2 patterns of behavior over many different patients.
- 3 And typically what we see is that by about Week 3 to
- 4 4 in most people, the symptoms related to their
- 5 addiction are largely improved or improving. But
- 6 that's not true in every case.
- 7 But we do suspect that once someone has
- 8 been addicted to a substance or behavior, that their
- 9 brain is probably permanently changed in some way
- 10 such that even with sustained abstinence, with a
- 11 single exposure to their addictive substance, they
- 12 can, you know, be plummeted right back into those
- 13 addictive behaviors without the same kind of ramp-up
- 14 period that was required to initially get them into
- 15 that addicted state.
- 16 BY MS. LEHMAN:
- 17 Q. And can you cite me to any peer-reviewed
- 18 study that establishes that point for social media?
- 19 MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Which point exactly?
- 21 BY MS. LEHMAN:
- 22 Q. The point that once someone has sustained
- 23 abstinence to the exposures, their addictive
- 24 substance, it can plummet, but they can go right25 back to their addictive behaviors without the same

99 (Pages 390 - 393)

Page 394 Page 396 1 kind of ramp-up period? 1 BY MS. LEHMAN: A. I mean, work by Telzer shows that there is 2 Q. You mentioned TikTok's internal documents. 3 neuroadaptation or tolerance to social media, and 3 Were any of the internal documents that you 4 other studies that I cite show that there are very 4 reviewed from TikTok, were they published in any 5 similar brain changes, as we see with drug and 5 peer-reviewed journals? 6 alcohol addiction. A. I don't believe so, no. But my, you know, explanation of what 7 Q. Were any of the TikTok internal documents 8 happens with withdrawal and then returning to 8 that you reviewed -- did they include data of a 9 addictive behaviors with exposure are primarily 9 review from third-party sources or validation of 10 based on my clinical experience. 10 data from third-party sources or experts? Q. Now, in your report on page 68, you state MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague. And 11 12 that TikTok has no age verification process. 12 compound. What is the basis for that statement? 13 13 THE WITNESS: Can I ask what you mean by A. That's internal documents from TikTok that 14 "validation of sources from third-party experts"? 14 BY MS. LEHMAN: 15 I reviewed. 15 Q. Okay. So you're not -- you're not familiar 16 Q. Sure. Did any of them include validated data that 17 with TikTok's multi-step age assurance program? 17 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 18 had been reviewed by any expert or anyone outside of 18 19 THE WITNESS: I believe, and I -- I say 19 TikTok? 20 here, too, that although they have a process -- so 20 A. Not that I know of. 21 maybe I should have stated this a little bit 21 Q. Okay. Have you ever told a patient that it 22 differently -- although they have a process, it's 22 would be impossible for them to stop using social 23 not verified, it's not authenticated. Kids can go 23 media? 24 on TikTok and say that they're 18 even if they're 24 A. I don't think so. 25 not or say that they're 13 even if they're not. 25 Q. Would you ever tell them that? Page 395 Page 397 1 BY MS. LEHMAN: 1 MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. Q. And what is your understanding about the 2 THE WITNESS: I mean -- I mean, I don't 3 process that happens after someone gives their age 3 think so. There's always hope for recovery. I 4 don't think I would say that to a patient.

5 BY MS. LEHMAN:

6 Q. You've talked about the four expert 7 reports.

8 Do you know Dr. Tucker?

9 A. What do you mean by "know" him?

10 Q. Are you personally acquainted with

11 Dr. Tucker?

12 A. I mean, I've encountered him in opioid

13 litigation and in other -- and one other case, but I

14 don't personally know him. I've only ever met him

15 in the context of litigation.

Q. Have you ever met or worked with

17 Dr. Kishida?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Have you ever met or worked with

20 Dr. Auerbach?

A. No. 21

Q. Have you ever met or worked with 22

23 Dr. Galván?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Have you reviewed any peer-reviewed article

4 once they're using TikTok?

5 MS. McNABB: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: My understanding is that if 7 they give the age of 13 or higher, then they can

8 create an account.

9 BY MS. LEHMAN:

Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding 11 about the age assurance steps that TikTok has in

12 place after someone provides their date of birth?

MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation. 13

14 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

15 BY MS. LEHMAN:

Q. Have you requested any information about 17 that from plaintiffs' counsel?

A. I didn't specifically request that.

19 Q. Have you requested to review any of the

20 expert reports that specifically outline the

21 multi-steps in TikTok's age assurance program?

22 MS. McNABB: Objection. Foundation.

23 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically ask for

24 that.

25 ///

100 (Pages 394 - 397)

Page 398 Page 400 1 written by Dr. Galván? 1 on this is "TikTok History 101 - U.S."? A. No. 2 A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed any peer-reviewed article 3 Q. Okay. Now, in your review of TikTok 4 written by Dr. Auerbach? 4 internal company documents, did you see that TikTok A. No. 5 categorizes its user demographics into five Q. Have you reviewed any peer-reviewed article 6 different categories, L1 through L5? 7 written by Dr. Kishida? A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. And those relate to different age 9 ranges? 9 Q. What article is that? A. Yes. 10 A. I'm not recalling the name, but it was an 10 11 article he coauthored on the impact of social 11 Q. And so L1 is those who are 13 to 15? 12 context on dopamine release. And it was a very A. I believe so. I think I reference that in 12 13 quick review, just to try to get a sense of what the 13 my report. I'm not remembering the exact label. 14 article was about. Q. Okay. Well, I will -- I will tell you that Q. And when did you review that article by 15 I -- I believe that to be the correct age range. So 16 please do tell me if you think that's wrong, but I 16 Dr. Kishida? A. Sometime after I read his report. 17 think that that's correct. Q. Is that the only peer-reviewed article by 18 18 A. Yeah, I accept your representation of that. 19 Dr. Kishida that you've read? 19 Q. All right. And then is it your A. I believe so, yes. 20 understanding that L2 is 15 to 17 year olds? Q. And have you reviewed any peer-reviewed 21 A. Sure. 22 articles written by Dr. Tucker? 22 Q. Okay. L3 is 18 to 24 year olds? 23 23 A. Okay. A. No. Q. And L5 is 35 plus? 24 24 Q. Do you agree that companies across 25 different industries, so not just focusing on social 25 A. Okay. Page 399 Page 401 1 media, that they study the people who use their 1 Q. Okay. And L4 is 24 to 34? 2 products? 2 A. Okay. 3 Q. All right. So then if you'll turn in the Q. And do you have any criticism of the fact 4 document that I've given to you -- well, first off, 5 that companies study the people who use their 5 do you remember reviewing this document? 6 products? A. I've reviewed a lot of documents. I'm not A. I mean, I don't have criticism of the fact 7 specifically recalling this document. 8 that they study the people. But I have criticism Q. Okay. Well, I will represent to you that 9 that when they find that their products cause harm, 9 you cite this document in footnote 300 --10 they don't issue a warning about the product, they 10 A. Okay. 11 don't try to change the product to make it safer. 11 Q. -- on page 68 of your report. 12 And specifically with the defendants, I 12 And within the document itself, I'd like to 13 also would criticize that they're not making their 13 direct you to the page ending -17. 14 data publicly available to researchers so that we 14 A. M-hm. 15 can have better data to understand the harm that 15 Q. And just let me know when you're there. 16 their products are causing. 16 A. Okay. Yeah. MS. LEHMAN: I'm going to respectfully move 17 Q. All right. And do you see over on the 18 to strike as non-responsive starting with "But I 18 right side of the page there are some -- some 19 have criticism." 19 comments? All right. I want to show you a document. 20 A. Yes, I do see that. 21 We'll mark this as Exhibit No. 12. Q. All right. And do you see that the 21 (Marked for identification purposes, 22 22 comments are -- they're commenting on some text, and 23 Lembke Exhibit 12.) 23 the text says (as read): 24 BY MS. LEHMAN: 24 "The goal of our first taste cluster

101 (Pages 398 - 401)

analysis was to size the meme gamer

25

Q. All right. And can you confirm the title

Page 402 Page 404 1 change taste cluster and get an idea for 1 BY MS. LEHMAN: 2 our main L34 user segments." 2 Q. Now, is it your opinion that aspects of 3 Do you see that? 3 social media that make -- or strike that. 4 A. I do see that. Is it your opinion that aspects of social 4 5 media that reduce or make the material available 5 Q. Okay. And do you understand "L34" to 6 reference the groups for L3 and L4? 6 less voluminous would decrease the addictive 7 potential of social media? 8 Q. Okay. Have you seen that nomenclature in 8 MS. McNABB: Objection. Compound. Vague. 9 other TikTok internal documents that you have THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that anything 10 reviewed? 10 that reduces the friction to access or increases the 11 quantity increases the addictive potential. So it 11 A. Yes. 12 makes logical sense that if you reduced that, that 12 Q. Okay. And if you look over to the right in 13 the comments, do you see that actually Comment 15 13 it would be less addictive. That's the hope, 14 asks (as read): 14 anyway. "For my own learning, what does 'L34' 15 15 BY MS. LEHMAN: refer to?" 16 Q. And then to unpack that a little bit 16 17 A. I do see that, yes. 17 further, would it also be your opinion that anything Q. Okay. And the response, it says (as read): 18 that increases the friction to access would decrease 18 19 "L12345 refers to the age of users. 19 the addictive potential? 20 We usually focus on L345, 18 plus." 20 A. I think it would, yes. 21 Do you see that? 21 Q. Would you agree that an effort to increase 22 A. I do see that. 22 friction to watching videos would be a good thing 23 Q. Okay. And then do you see over to the 23 for TikTok to do? 24 right, then there's the breakdown of the different A. I mean, you'd have to tell me what the 25 age groups between L3, L4, and L5? 25 specific methodology was. In general, I can agree Page 403 Page 405 1 A. Where exactly is that? 1 with that, but I'd really want to know what specific 2 Q. It's in Comment 16. 2 intervention you're talking about. A. Okay. Yes, I do see that. Q. Okay. Would you agree that an effort to 3 4 allow TikTok users to have more control over what Q. Okay. And according to this internal 5 they see on TikTok is a good thing to do? 5 document from TikTok, TikTok is focusing on users 6 who are 18 and older; correct? A. I'm sorry. Say that again. 7 Q. It's okay. I see -- I see you're counting A. Well, I mean, this is one small, little 8 time. So don't worry. We're still in time. 8 comment in a wealth of documentation that makes it 9 very clear that TikTok is focusing on kids. Would you agree that an effort to allow 10 TikTok users to have increased control over what Q. Okay. Well, looking -- I'm looking at --11 this is an internal company document; correct? 11 they see on TikTok is a good thing to do? 12 A. Yeah. 12 A. Not necessarily. Q. All right. And what the commenter says is 13 Q. You think it's better for users to have 13 14 (as read): 14 less control? 15 "We usually focus on L345." 15 A. I think this is a complicated issue. A lot 16 Do you see that? 16 of times, you know, the companies talk about A. Well, that particular individual may be 17 increasing user control, but what that really 18 focusing on L345, but it's very clear, if you look 18 amounts to is more tailored -- more tailored 19 at the totality of the evidence, that TikTok is 19 content, which I believe increases the addictive 20 focusing on kids. 20 potential. O. Okay. 21 21 If we're talking about user control in the 22 sense of user agency so that they can decrease their MS. LEHMAN: And I respectfully move to 23 strike as nonresponsive starting with "but it's very 23 risk of out-of-control, compulsive use, that would 24 clear." 24 be good. I have not seen, you know, any evidence

102 (Pages 402 - 405)

25 that TikTok has effectively created those kinds of

25 ///

Page 406

CONFIDENTIAL

1 controls for its users.

- Q. Would you agree that reminding users how
- 3 long they have been on TikTok would be a good thing
- A. I think I was really hopeful that by
- 6 telling users about time spent that it would help
- 7 them reduce their compulsive overconsumption. But
- 8 I'm not seeing that that's effective, and TikTok's
- 9 own internal documents as well as the documents of
- 10 other defendants shows that very few people are
- 11 using those, quote-unquote, well-being measures and
- 12 it's all opt in and they often use them for a time
- 13 and then opt out.
- Q. You would agree, even -- even if a small
- 15 percentage of users avail themselves of something
- 16 like that, you would agree that's still a good thing
- 17 to have available to users; correct?
- A. I've not seen evidence that tracking time
- 19 actually helps people who are addicted reduce their
- 21 Q. Would you agree that turning off push
- 22 notifications at night is a good thing for TikTok to
- 23 do?
- 24 A. I do agree with that, yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, we talked about the reports,

- 2 that she's given us what the opinions are, and so
- 3 she's now having the opportunity to review them.

And so I'm just -- I'm just telling you

Page 408

Page 409

- 4 And if you're not allowing her to -- to answer
- 5 questions, that's your decision.
- 6 MS. McNABB: I'm not not allowing her to 7 answer the questions. What I'm saying is, if you
- 8 want her to review the reports, she has the
- 9 opportunity to do that on the record. You all have
- 10 had more than sufficient time. You have asked
- 11 duplicative questions over and over. If you wanted
- 12 to use the time to get into the rebuttal reports,
- 13 you all could have done that when you had time.
- MS. LEHMAN: No, it is not our job to give 15 her time on the record to review the things that she
- 16 wants to have opinions about; otherwise, we could
- 17 ask one question today.
- 18 So she can either review them off the
- 19 record and give us her opinions or she can tell us
- 20 that she doesn't have any new opinions, that she has
- 21 exhausted them. It's one or the other. It's a
- 22 binary choice.
- 23 So please do let us know.
- 24 MS. McNABB: We are in disagreement on
- 25 that, so ...

2

Page 407

- 1 and you've said several times that if you had copies
- 2 of the reports from Drs. Galván, Auerbach, Tucker,
- 3 and Kishida -- I have copies of those reports. I'm
- 4 happy to go off the record, and let you review those
- 5 at whatever length you need to because my question
- 6 to you is, do you have any other opinions about
- 7 their reports that you have not already discussed
- 8 with us today?
- MS. McNABB: Counsel, if you want to go on
- 10 the record and she reviews it, which you have
- 11 six minutes to do, and I don't think that's
- 12 sufficient time to do that -- we're not going off
- 13 the record for you to ask questions about their
- 14 reports.
- 15 MS. LEHMAN: Well, if she needs more than a
- 16 minute or two, that's absolutely what we're going to
- 17 do, because she came here today and this is our
- 18 opportunity to ask her about that.
- 19 And so if you're saying "I'm not going to
- 20 allow you all," the defense, "the opportunity to do
- 21 that," then that's fine. That's -- you can give
- 22 that instruction.
- But I am making them available to her.
- 24 This is her opportunity to tell us what their
- 25 opinions are.

- 1 MS. LEHMAN: Okay.
 - BY MS. LEHMAN:
- Q. Well, Doctor, do you have any other
- 4 opinions about them?
- I am going to offer you the opportunity to
- 6 review them off -- off the record, as much as time
- 7 as you'd like, to review the records and give us any
- 8 additional opinions that you have.
- A. I would be happy to go through each of
- 10 those together with you on the record and tell you
- 11 what my opinions are, but we only have five minutes
- 12 left.
- Q. Okay. Well, I'll tell you what, do you 13
- 14 have any opinions about the defendants' platforms
- 15 that you have not disclosed to us today or that are
- 16 not included in your report?
- MS. McNABB: Objection. Speculation. 17
- 18 BY MS. LEHMAN:
- 19 Q. Oh, and I don't want you to speculate about
- 20 what your opinions are. I want you to just tell me
- 21 what they are.
- 22 A. Can you repeat the question?
- 23 Q. Absolutely.
- 24 Without speculating, do you have any
- 25 opinions today about the defendants' platforms or

103 (Pages 406 - 409)

	Page 410		Page 412
	social media that are either not included in your	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	report or that you have not discussed with us and	2	BY MS. McNABB:
	shared with us today?	3	Q. And do you agree that the companies'
4	A. No.		internal documents you have read form a sufficient
5	MS. McNABB: Objection. Calls for		basis for your opinions in conjunction with your
	speculation.		training, experience, and literature review?
7	MS. LEHMAN: All right. Then I think let's	7	MR. ERCOLE: Objection to form.
	go off the record because it sounds like we have	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	five minutes. So we'll just take a quick break and	9	MS. McNABB: Thank you, Dr. Lembke. That
	make sure that we're done; okay?		is all I have for you today.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:54. We're	11	MR. ERCOLE: Can we go off the record?
	off the record.	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:05. We're
13	(Recess taken from 6:54 to 7:03.)		off the record.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:03. We're	14	(Recess taken from 7:05 to 7:09.)
	on the record.	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:09. We're
16	MS. LEHMAN: I got excited.		back on the record.
17	That's all the questions I have. Thank	17	EXAMINATION BY MR. ERCOLE
	you.	18	BY MR. ERCOLE:
19	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	19	Q. Dr. Lembke, you just in response to your
20	MR. ERCOLE: I think for for the		counsel's questions, you just provided testimony
21			about warnings.
	those are the questions that the defendants have.	22	Do you recall that?
23	MS. McNABB: Okay. Thank you.	23	A. Yes.
24	I only have a few questions. So I can sit	24	Q. Where in your strike that.
25	here and do that if you want to look at the camera,	25	Your report does not provide any opinion
	Page 411		Page 413
1	or I can Brian and I can switch spots, whatever	1	with respect to warnings; correct?
2	you prefer.	2	MS. McNABB: Objection. Misstates report.
3	THE WITNESS: Why don't you switch if you	3	THE WITNESS: I believe that in my report I
4	want me to look over there.		do, first of all, cite the Surgeon General's report
5	MS. McNABB: Okay. So let's go off the	5	on the need for warnings for social media.
6	record just so we can start and	6	I'm sorry. I'm just trying to find that
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:04. We're		place in my report.
8	off the record.	8	BY MR. ERCOLE:
9	(Recess taken from 7:04 to 7:05.)	9	Q. Other than a reference to this
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:05. We're	10	MS. McNABB: Counsel, you're out of time.
11	back on the record.	11	There are no more questions.
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. McNABB	12	MR. ERCOLE: No. We're going to object
13	BY MS. McNABB:		if if you if you don't if you don't allow
14	Q. Dr. Lembke, is it your opinion that the		us to ask a couple of questions in response to the
	social media companies at issue here should have		direct question you asked, which seems to provide
16	warned about the addictive nature of their	16	entirely new opinions, we'll have to take this up
17	platforms?	17	
18	MR. ERCOLE: Objection to the form.		be super happy about if we have, like, a minute or
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.		two of additional questions to ask about this.
20	BY MS. McNABB:	20	MS. McNABB: We can take it up with
21	Q. And, Dr. Lembke, is it your opinion that	21	Judge Kuhl.
22	the social media companies at issue here should have	22	MR. ERCOLE: Okay.
23	warned about the mental health harms to adolescents	23	MS. McNABB: But that is you are out of
	by using of their platforms?	24	time. There are no additional questions.
			<u>*</u>
24 25	MR. ERCOLE: Objection to form.	25	MR. ERCOLE: We

104 (Pages 410 - 413)

Page 414	
1 MR. BLAVIN: You have a pending question.	1 MS. McNABB: That is
2 MR. ERCOLE: One, we have a pending	2 MR. ERCOLE: position?
3 question. But two, beyond that	3 MS. McNABB: my instruction.
4 MS. McNABB: You cut off your prior	4 MR. ERCOLE: Okay.
5 question.	5 MS. McNABB: And that is my position.
6 MR. ERCOLE: I didn't withdraw the question	6 MR. ERCOLE: Okay.
7 at all.	7 MS. McNABB: And you have used your time
8 So you are I mean, your client is taking	8 completely. You have asked duplicative and
9 some period of time to look for some opinion on	9 repetitive questions throughout the day. You have
10 warnings that's not even detailed in the the	10 asked questions that were not relevant to what
11 Opinions section.	11 Dr. Lembke is opining on.
BY MR. ERCOLE:	So you used your time as Judge Kuhl said
13 Q. But you can respond to the question,	13 that you could. And you are out of time now. And
14 Dr. Lembke.	14 so, yes, the deposition is over.
MS. McNABB: Dr. Lembke, if you have	BY MR. ERCOLE:
16 anything additional to say than you already did	16 Q. Okay. So you're not going to answer my
17 (Simultaneous speakers - unclear.)	17 question?
18 THE WITNESS: I do believe I talk about	18 A. (Shaking head.)
19 warnings in my report. I can't find it right now.	19 Q. I can't you have to give it for the
20 MS. McNABB: That's	20 record, "yes" or "no"?
21 BY MR. ERCOLE:	21 MS. McNABB: Are you going to follow the
22 Q. Have you	22 advice of your counsel is what he is asking?
MS. McNABB: That's it for the questioning.	23 BY MR. ERCOLE:
24 MR. ERCOLE: I'm going to ask I'm going	24 Q. Are you going to answer my question?
25 to ask another question. If you can if you want	25 A. We're out of time.
Page 415	Page 417 1 Q. Are you Dr. Lembke, are you going to
2 MS. McNABB: And I will instruct her not to	2 the record is still open.
3 answer.	3 So are you going to answer my question or
4 MR. ERCOLE: That's fine.	4 not?
5 BY MR. ERCOLE:	5 MS. McNABB: You can ask the you can
6 Q. Dr. Lembke, have you ever crafted a warning	6 tell him you can respond to his question, or are
7 for any product whatsoever?	7 you going to follow advice from counsel?
8 MS. McNABB: Dr. Lembke, do not answer the	1
9 questions. This deposition is over. They are out	9 advice.
10 of time.	10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Total time for defense
11 MR. ERCOLE: Okay. Well, I'll put on	11 is 8 hours, 31 minutes.
12 the on the record, just as a as a statement,	12 Plaintiffs, 1 minute.
13 we have not even taken the full eight and a half	13 Time: 7:13.
14 hours. In fact, you've cut us off before finishing	14 We're off the record.
15 asking those questions.	15 (Proceedings concluded at 7:14 p.m. PDT.)
16 In addition, we were have not been able	160O
17 to fully address the questions that you raised on a	17
18 direct examination. So if you're going to cut off	18
19 the deposition, that's fine. We'll hold it open,	19
_	
20 and we'll take it up with Judge Kuhl as appropriate.	20
So you may be coming back here, Dr. Lembke,	21
22 when all you would have to do is sit for basically a	22
23 minute or two to answer three or four more	23
24 questions	1.24
24 questions. 25 But is that your your	24 25

105 (Pages 414 - 417)

	D 410
1	Page 418 DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	DECLARATION UNDER FENALTT OF FERJURT
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under
	the laws of the State of California that the
	foregoing is true and correct.
6	foregoing is true and correct.
	Executed at on
,	
0	(Place) (Date)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	ANNA LEMBKE, MD
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 410
1	Page 419
1	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand
2	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of
2 3 4	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was
2 3 4 5	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth,
2 3 4 5 6	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of June, 2025.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of June, 2025.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of June, 2025.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STENOGRAPHER'S CERTIFICATE I, LORRIE L. MARCHANT, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 10523, for the State of California, hereby certify that ANNA LEMBKE, MD was by me duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that request [] was [X] was not made to read and correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of June, 2025.

106 (Pages 418 - 419)